Advanced Deep Learning Assignment1

2021 Fall

1 (50 points) In this problem, we will derive the formula of *backpropagation* for a multilayer perceptron (MLP) consisting of *L* layers. Figure 1 shows an architecture of the three-layer MLP which consists of an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer.




Figure 1: A neural network architecture of the three-layer MLP.

As shown in Figure 1, a MLP is formed by iteratively applying multiple steps of non-liner transformations each of which sequentially performs a linear regression and a nonlinear activation. Typically, ReLU is used for the nonlinear activation function. At the final layer, its nonlinear activation becomes the logistic regression in the classification setting. Formally, the three-layer MLP is presented as:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$$
 $\mathbf{h} = max(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{0})$
 $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{d}$
 $\mathbf{y} = softmax(\mathbf{o})$

where \mathbf{x} is the input vector and \mathbf{z} (or \mathbf{h}) is the *pre*-activation (or *post*-activation) vector. Generally, L-layer MLP is described as follows:

$$\mathbf{h}^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{z}^{(l)} = \mathbf{W}^{(l)}\mathbf{h}^{(l-1)} + \mathbf{b}^{(l)}$$

$$\mathbf{h}^{(l)} = f^{(l)}(\mathbf{z}^{(l)})$$

$$\mathbf{y} = softmax(\mathbf{z}^{(L)})$$
(1)

where $f^{(l)}$ is a nonlinear activiation function at l-th layer; $\mathbf{z}^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{(l)}$ are the *pre*-activation and *post*-activation vectors at l-th layer, respectively.

In addition, suppose that the gradients of the loss function J with respect to $\mathbf{z}^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{(l)}$ are $\boldsymbol{\delta}_z^{(l)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}_h^{(l)}$ as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{z}^{(l)} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{(l)}}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_{h}^{(l)} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{h}^{(l)}}$$

Let the gradient vectors of the loss function J with respect to parameter vectors $\mathbf{W}^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{(l)}$ be $\Delta \mathbf{W}^{(l)}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{b}^{(l)}$ as defined in:

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}^{(l)} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(l)}}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{b}^{(l)} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{(l)}}$$

Suppose that J is the L2-regularized loss function as follows.

$$J = -\sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbf{t}^T \ln \left(MLP^{(L)}(\mathbf{x}) \right) + \lambda \|\mathbf{\Theta}\|^2$$
 (2)

where $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ is a set of minibatch training examples, $MLP^{(L)}$ is the L-layer MLP described using Eq. 1 and $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ is the concatenated vectors of all the weight matrices $\{\mathbf{W}^{(l)}\}_{i=1}^L$ defined as follows.

$$\Theta = \left[vec\left(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}\right); \dots; vec\left(\mathbf{W}^{(L)}\right) \right]$$
(3)

Please answer the following questions.

- (i) To derive a general rule for the backpropagation algorithm, please express $\boldsymbol{\delta}_z^{(l)}$ using $\boldsymbol{\delta}_h^{(l)}$ and $f^{(l)\prime}$ and derive its formula where $f^{(l)\prime}$ is the derivative function of $f^{(l)}$. Specially, when $f^{(l)}$ is ReLU, express $\boldsymbol{\delta}_z^{(l)}$ using $\boldsymbol{\delta}_h^{(l)}$.
- (ii) Please further express $\boldsymbol{\delta}_h^{(l-1)}$ using $\boldsymbol{\delta}_z^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{(l)}$ and derive it.
- (iii) Please write $\Delta \mathbf{W}^{(l)}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{b}^{(l)}$ using $\boldsymbol{\delta}_z^{(l)}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{(l-1)}$ and derive it.
- (iv) Please derive the formula of $\boldsymbol{\delta}_z^{(L)}$ at the output layer under the loss function J of Eq. 2.
- (v) Combining together above answers, please describe the full algorithm of backpropagation of MLP, under the standard stochastic gradient descent setting where gradient vectors of the parameters are obtained for each minibatch set.
- 2 (30 points) We will derive the backpropagation algorithm of recurrent neural networks (RNN). Figure 2 shows an architecture of typical RNN.




Figure 2: A neural network architecture of a RNN.

Formally, the RNN is described as follows.

$$\mathbf{z}^{(t)} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}^{(t)} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{h}^{(t-1)} + \mathbf{b}$$

$$\mathbf{h}^{(t)} = \tanh(\mathbf{z}^{(t)})$$

$$\mathbf{o}^{(t)} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}^{(t)} + \mathbf{c}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{(t)} = softmax(\mathbf{o}^{(t)})$$
(4)

where $\mathbf{z}^{(t)}$ and $\mathbf{h}^{(t)}$ are pre-activation and post-activation vectors of the hidden layer at t-th time step.

Suppose that a single example sequence is given as $(\{\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{\tau}, \{\mathbf{t}^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{\tau})$ where $\mathbf{t}^{(t)}$ is a target one-hot vector at t-th time step. Given the above example, let J be the sequential labelling loss considered over all the time steps.

$$J = -\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \mathbf{t}^{(t)T} \ln \left(RNN^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) \right)$$
 (5)

where $RNN^{(t)}$ is the RNN function that follows Eq. 4, where $RNN^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}) = \mathbf{y}^{(t)}$. Using the general rules for backpropagation of Problem 1, given the example $(\{\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{\tau}, \{\mathbf{t}^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{\tau})$, please derive the following gradients of the parameters:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{U}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{c}} = \frac{\partial$$

- **3** (20 points) Show that the training RNN suffers from the problem of vanishing and exploding gradients when using the backpropagation for RNN (derived in Problem 2).
- 4 (40 points) LSTM (long short term memory) is a variant of RNN, which is known that it resolves vanishing gradients. Please answer the following questions.
 - (i) The detailed components of LSTM with the full definition of its recurrence formula. What are the parameters of LSTM?
 - (ii) Given the example $(\{\mathbf{x}^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{\tau}, \{\mathbf{t}^{(t)}\}_{i=1}^{\tau})$ and the loss function of Eq. 5, derive the gradients of the loss function J with respect to parameters.
 - (iii) Show how LSTM does not suffer from vanishing gradients, unlike the vanillar RNN.
- 5 (20 points) Read the section 7.1.1 in the deep learning book. Suppose that $J(\mathbf{w})$ is the original unregularized loss function and $\mathbf{w}^* = argmin_{\mathbf{w}}J(\mathbf{w})$. To analyze the effect of L2 regularization, we first approximate $J(\mathbf{w})$ using a quadratic function as follows (from Eq. 7.6 in the textbook).

$$\hat{J}(\mathbf{w}) = J(\mathbf{w}^*) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)^T \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)$$
(6)

where **H** is the Hessian matrix of J with respect to **w** at \mathbf{w}^* .

Please answer the following questions.

(i) Consider the following regularized loss (i.e., Eq. 7.2 in the textbook):

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + J(\mathbf{w}) \tag{7}$$

By approximating J using Eq. 6, derive the following optimal solution of the approximated regularized loss (i.e., Eq. 7.10 in the textbook):

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{H} + \alpha \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{w}^* \tag{8}$$

(ii) Derive Eq. 7.13 in the textbook, using the eigenvalue decomposition of **H** of:

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Q}\Lambda\mathbf{Q}^T \tag{9}$$

(iii) Explain the effect of L2 regularization from the following figure (i.e. Figure 7.1).




Figure 3: An illustration of the effect of L2 regularization on the evalue of the optimal w.

6 (20 points) Read the section 7.1.2 in the deep learning book. Consider L1 regularized loss as follows (i.e. Eq. 7.19 in the text book):

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \alpha \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 + J(\mathbf{w}) \tag{10}$$

To analyze the effect of L1 regularization on the optimal weight vector, we again approximate $J(\mathbf{w})$ using a quadractic function but using the diagonal Hessian matrix as follows:

$$\mathbf{H} = diag\left([H_{1,1}, \cdots, H_{n,n}] \right) \tag{11}$$

Please answer the following questions.

- (i) Derive that the optimal solution to minimize the L1 regularized loss of Eq. 7.22 is given by Eq. 7.23 in the textbook.
- (ii) Discuss how L1 regularization leads to a sparse solution.
- 7 (40 points) Read [1] of variational auto-encoder. Suppose that the generation process of data sample \mathbf{x} is based on the joint distribution $p_{(\theta)}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$ as follows.
 - Generate a latent vector \mathbf{z} using $p_{(\theta)}(\mathbf{z})$.
 - Generate a observation vector \mathbf{x} given \mathbf{z} using $p_{(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$.

Please answer the following questions.

- (i) Why the posterior probability $p_{(\theta)}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ is intractable?
- (ii) To approximate the log-likelihood of the data sample vector \mathbf{x} , let $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ be a variational model. As in the variational inference, derive the following equation (i.e., Eq. (1) of [1]).

$$\log p_{(\theta)} = KL (q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) || p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})) + \mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi; \mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi; \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} [-\log q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) + \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})]$$
(12)

where $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}; \mathbf{x})$ is the variational lower bound.

(iii) Derive the rewritten form of the variational lower bound as follows (as in Eq. (3) in [1]:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}; \mathbf{x}) = -KL\left(q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \| p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{z})\right) + \mathbb{E}_{q_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})}\left[\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})\right]$$
(13)

- (iv) To maximize the variational lower bound, we can estimate the gradient $\Delta_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} [\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})]$ using a Monte Carlo sampling method, like REINFORCE algorithm [2]. Describe the detailed formula of using a Monte Carlo method to approximately estimate $\Delta_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} [\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})]$.
- (v) What is the problem of the Monte Carlo method to estimate $\Delta_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} [\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})]$?
- (vi) What is the reparameterization trick of [1]?
- (vii) Let the variational approximate posterior be a multivariate Gaussian with a diagonal covariance structure as follows (i.e. Eq. (9) in [1]).

$$\log q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \log \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\right)$$
(14)

where μ and σ^2 are outputs of MLPs. Under this setting, apply reparameterization trick of [1] and derive a full formula using M noise samples.

8 (30 points) Read the papers [3] of generative adversarial networks (GAN). GAN is based on a discriminator D and a generator G that play the two-player minimax game with value function, as follows.

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D, G) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{data}(\mathbf{x})} \left[\log D(\mathbf{x}) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})} \left[\log \left(1 - D(G(\mathbf{z})) \right) \right]$$
(15)

Please answer the following questions.

- (i) What is the key idea of GAN?
- (ii) Prove the **Proposition 1** of [3]; For G fixed, the optimal discriminator D is given as follows.

$$D_G^*(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p_{data}(\mathbf{x})}{p_{data}(\mathbf{x}) + p_g(\mathbf{x})}$$
(16)

- (iii) Prove the **Theorem 1** of [3].
- (iv) Please explain how to train GAN in the following figure.




Figure 4: Steps of training generative adversarial nets.

9 (20 points) Read the papers [4, 5] of neural machine translation.

Please answer the following questions.

(i) What is the input feeding method of [5]? Present full detailed formal equations of the decoder using the general scoring function for the attention, following the notations of [5].




Figure 5: Input feedbacking method.

- (ii) What is the advantage of the input feeding method?
- 10 (20 points) Read the papers [6, 7] of transformer architecture.

What is the key idea of [7]? Read section 4 of [7] and derive how transform the feedforward sublayer into an attention layer with details.




Figure 6: An illustration of the effect of L2 regularization on the evalue of the optimal w.

- 11 (30 points) Read the paper of [8]. Please answer the following questions.
 - (i) What is the key idea?
 - (ii) Can we view the proposed method of [8] as a copying mechanism? What is the difference b/w the original copying mechanism and the method of [8]?
 - (iii) [8] involves with the retrieval over the contextual memory with the size N. But, when the memory size N is huge, the dense retrieval over N contextual representations is computationally not efficient. What is the method used for the dense retrieval in the [8]? How to improve the efficiency of the dense retrieval?
- 12 (30 points) Read the paper of [9]. Please present its summary. Describe how LIME is applied on the text classification and the image classification problems, respectively.
- 14 (20 points) Read the paper of [10]. Please present its summary. Describe how logical neural networks are applied on NLP and computer vision tasks. Please propose two examples each of which is chosen from NLP an computer vision area.

References

- [1] Diederik P. Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. In Yoshua Bengio and Yann LeCun, editors, 2nd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2014, Banff, AB, Canada, April 14-16, 2014, Conference Track Proceedings, 2014.
- [2] Ronald J. Williams. Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. *Machine Learning*, 8(3–4):229–256, 1992.
- [3] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 27, 2014.
- [4] Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. 2014.
- [5] Thang Luong, Hieu Pham, and Christopher D. Manning. Effective approaches to attention-based neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1412–1421.
- [6] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2017.
- [7] Sainbayar Sukhbaatar, Edouard Grave, Guillaume Lample, Hervé Jégou, and Armand Joulin. Augmenting self-attention with persistent memory. *CoRR*, abs/1907.01470, 2019.
- [8] Urvashi Khandelwal, Omer Levy, Dan Jurafsky, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Mike Lewis. Generalization through Memorization: Nearest Neighbor Language Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020.
- [9] Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. "why should I trust you?": Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, San Francisco, CA, USA, August 13-17, 2016, pages 1135–1144, 2016.

[10] Ryan Riegel, Alexander G. Gray, Francois P. S. Luus, Naweed Khan, Ndivhuwo Makondo, Ismail Yunus Akhalwaya, Haifeng Qian, Ronald Fagin, Francisco Barahona, Udit Sharma, Shajith Ikbal, Hima Karanam, Sumit Neelam, Ankita Likhyani, and Santosh K. Srivastava. Logical neural networks. *CoRR*, abs/2006.13155, 2020.

Required submissions

For this assignment, you should submit the followings:

- 1. Full reports of addressing all the problems (derivation and summary)
- 2. Latex source codes and PDF file of solving Problems $1\sim10$.