


George Konidaris
gdk@cs.duke.edu


Search



Basic to problem solving:

How to take action to reach a goal?

Search





Choices have consequences!

Search

Formalizing the problem statement ...

- Problem can be in various states.
- Start in an initial state.
- Have some actions available.
- Each action has a cost.
- Want to reach some goal, minimizing cost.

Happens in simulation.

Not web search.



Formal Definition

Set of states S

Start state $s \in S$



Goal test $g(s) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

Cost function $C(s, a, s') \to \mathbb{R}^+$

So a search problem is specified by a tuple, (S, s, A, g, C).



Problem Statement

Find a sequence of actions $a_1, ..., a_n$ and corresponding states $s_1, ..., s_n$



... such that:

$$s_0 = s$$

 $s_i = a_i(s_{i-1}), i = 1, ..., n$
 $g(s_n) = 1$

start state legal moves end at the goal

while minimizing:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} C(s_{i-1}, a, s_i)$$
 minimize sum of costs - rational agent

Formal Models

What are they good for?



Example

Sudoku

States: all legal Sudoku boards.

_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
5	3			7				
6			1	9	5			
	9	8					6	
8				6				3
4			8		3			1
7				2				6
	6					2	8	
			4	1	9			5
				8			7	9



Start state: a particular, partially filled-in, board.

Actions: inserting a valid number into the board.

Goal test: all cells filled and no collisions.

Cost function: I per move.

Example

Flights - e.g., ITA Software.

States: airports, times.

Start state: TF Green, 5pm.

Actions: available flights from each airport.


Goal test: reached Tokyo by midnight tomorrow.

Cost function: time and/or money.




The Search Tree

Classical conceptualization of search.




The Search Tree






Important Quantities

Breadth (branching factor)



The Search Tree




 $O(b^d)$ leaves in a tree of breadth b, depth d. $\sum_{i=0}^{d} b^i \in O(b^d)$ total nodes in the same tree

The Search Tree

Expand the tree one node at a time.

Frontier: set of nodes in tree, but not expanded.



Key to a search algorithm: which node to expand next?

Searching

```
visited = {}
frontier = \{s_0\}
goal_found = false
while not goal_found:
     node = frontier.next()
     frontier.del(node)
     if(g(node)):
        goal found = true
     else:
        visited.add(node)
        for child in node.children:
           if(not visited.contains(child)):
              frontier.add(child)
```



expand tree!

How to Expand?

Uninformed strategy:

nothing known about likely solutions in the tree.



What to do?

- Expand deepest node (depth-first search)
- Expand closest node (breadth-first search)

Properties


- Completeness
- Optimality
- Time Complexity (total number of nodes visited)
- Space Complexity (size of frontier)


Expand deepest node





Expand deepest node









Expand deepest node s0



Expand deepest node s0







$$O((b-1)d) = O(bd)$$


Properties:

- Completeness: Only for finite trees.
- Optimality: No.
- Time Complexity: $O(b^d)$
- Space Complexity: O(bd)


Note that when reasoning about DFS, m is depth of found solution (not necessarily min solution depth).

The deepest node happens to be the one you most recently visited - easy to implement recursively OR manage frontier using LIFO queue.






























BFS: Space



$$O(b^{m+1})$$

Properties:

- Completeness: Yes.
- Optimality: Yes for constant cost.
- Time Complexity: $O(b^{m+1})$
- Space Complexity: $O(b^{m+1})$



Manage frontier using FIFO queue.



Iterative Deepening Search

Combine these two strengths.


The core problems in DFS are a) not optimal, and b) not complete ... because it fails to explore other branches.

Otherwise it's a very nice algorithm!

Iterative Deepening:

- Run DFS to a fixed depth z.
- Start at z=1. If no solution, increment z and rerun.






Optimal for constant cost! Proof?

How can that be a good idea? It duplicates work.




- Low memory requirement (equal to DFS).
- Not many more nodes expanded than BFS. (About twice as many for binary tree.)






IDS (Reprise)





revisits

nodes at level i

DFS worst case:







Key Insight:

Many more nodes at depth m+1 than at depth m.



MAGIC.

"In general, iterative deepening search is the preferred uninformed search method when the state space is large and the depth of the solution is unknown." (R&N)

Next Week

Informed searches ... what if you know something about the the solution?

What form should such knowledge take?

How should you use it?