

Learning Objectives

At the end of the class you should be able to:

- Explain the components and the architecture of a learning problem
- Explain why a learner needs a bias
- Identify the sources of error for a prediction

Learning is the ability to improve one's behavior based on experience.


- The range of behaviors is expanded: the agent can do more.
- The accuracy on tasks is improved: the agent can do things better.
- The speed is improved: the agent can do things faster.

Components of a learning problem


The following components are part of any learning problem:

- **task** The behavior or task that's being improved.
For example: classification, acting in an environment
- **data** The experiences that are being used to improve performance in the task.
- **measure of improvement** How can the improvement be measured?
For example: increasing accuracy in prediction, new skills that were not present initially, improved speed.

Black-box Learner



Learning architecture



Choosing a representation for models

- The richer the representation, the more useful it is for subsequent problem solving.
- The richer the representation, the more difficult it is to learn.

Common Learning Tasks

- **Supervised classification** Given a set of pre-classified training examples, classify a new instance.
- **Unsupervised learning** Find natural classes for examples.
- **Reinforcement learning** Determine what to do based on rewards and punishments.
- **Analytic learning** Reason faster using experience.
- **Inductive logic programming** Build richer models in terms of logic programs.
- **Statistical relational learning** learning relational representations that also deal with uncertainty.

Example Classification Data

Training Examples:

	Action	Author	Thread	Length	Where
e1	skips	known	new	long	home
e2	reads	unknown	new	short	work
e3	skips	unknown	old	long	work
e4	skips	known	old	long	home
e5	reads	known	new	short	home
e6	skips	known	old	long	work

New Examples:

e7	???	known	new	short	work
e8	???	unknown	new	short	work

We want to classify new examples on feature *Action* based on the examples' *Author*, *Thread*, *Length*, and *Where*.

Learning tasks can be characterized by the feedback given to the learner.

- **Supervised learning** What has to be learned is specified for each example.
- **Unsupervised learning** No classifications are given; the learner has to discover categories and regularities in the data.
- **Reinforcement learning** Feedback occurs after a sequence of actions.

Measuring Success

- The measure of success is not how well the agent performs on the training examples, but how well the agent performs for new examples.
- Consider two agents:
 - ▶ **P** claims the negative examples seen are the only negative examples. Every other instance is positive.
 - ▶ **N** claims the positive examples seen are the only positive examples. Every other instance is negative.

Measuring Success

- The measure of success is not how well the agent performs on the training examples, but how well the agent performs for new examples.
- Consider two agents:
 - ▶ **P** claims the negative examples seen are the only negative examples. Every other instance is positive.
 - ▶ **N** claims the positive examples seen are the only positive examples. Every other instance is negative.
- Both agents correctly classify every training example, but disagree on every other example.

- The tendency to prefer one hypothesis over another is called a **bias**.
- Saying a hypothesis is better than N 's or P 's hypothesis isn't something that's obtained from the data.
- To have any inductive process make predictions on unseen data, an agent needs a bias.
- What constitutes a good bias is an empirical question about which biases work best in practice.

Learning as search

- Given a representation, data, and a bias, the problem of learning can be reduced to one of search.
- Learning is search through the space of possible representations looking for the representation or representations that best fits the data, given the bias.
- These search spaces are typically prohibitively large for systematic search. E.g., use **gradient descent**.
- A learning algorithm is made of a search space, an evaluation function, and a search method.

- Data isn't perfect:
 - ▶ the features given are inadequate to predict the classification
 - ▶ there are examples with missing features
 - ▶ some of the features are assigned the wrong value
- **overfitting** occurs when distinctions appear in the training data, but not in the unseen examples.

Errors in learning

Errors in learning are caused by:

- Limited representation (representation bias)
- Limited search (search bias)
- Limited data (variance)
- Limited features (noise)

Characterizations of Learning

- Find the best representation given the data.
- Delineate the class of consistent representations given the data.
- Find a probability distribution of the representations given the data.

Learning Objectives

At the end of the class you should be able to:

- identify a supervised learning problem
- characterize how the prediction is a function of the error measure
- avoid mixing the training and test sets

Supervised Learning

Given:

- a set of **inputs features** X_1, \dots, X_n
- a set of **target features** Y_1, \dots, Y_k
- a set of **training examples** where the values for the input features and the target features are given for each example
- a new example, where only the values for the input features are given

predict the values for the target features for the new example.

Supervised Learning

Given:

- a set of **inputs features** X_1, \dots, X_n
- a set of **target features** Y_1, \dots, Y_k
- a set of **training examples** where the values for the input features and the target features are given for each example
- a new example, where only the values for the input features are given

predict the values for the target features for the new example.

- **classification** when the Y_i are discrete
- **regression** when the Y_i are continuous

Example Data Representations

A travel agent wants to predict the preferred length of a trip, which can be from 1 to 6 days. (No input features).

Two representations of the same data:

- Y is the length of trip chosen.
- Each Y_i is an **indicator variable** that has value 1 if the chosen length is i , and is 0 otherwise.

Example	Y	Example	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3	Y_4	Y_5	Y_6
e_1	1	e_1	1	0	0	0	0	0
e_2	6	e_2	0	0	0	0	0	1
e_3	6	e_3	0	0	0	0	0	1
e_4	2	e_4	0	1	0	0	0	0
e_5	1	e_5	1	0	0	0	0	0

What is a prediction?

Evaluating Predictions

Suppose we want to make a prediction of a value for a target feature on example e :

- o_e is the observed value of target feature on example e .
- p_e is the predicted value of target feature on example e .
- The **error** of the prediction is a measure of how close p_e is to o_e .
- There are many possible errors that could be measured.

Sometimes p_e can be a real number even though o_e can only have a few values.

Measures of error

E is the set of examples, with single target feature. For $e \in E$, o_e is observed value and p_e is predicted value:

- **absolute error** $L_1(E) = \sum_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$

Measures of error

E is the set of examples, with single target feature. For $e \in E$, o_e is observed value and p_e is predicted value:

- **absolute error** $L_1(E) = \sum_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$
- **sum of squares error** $L_2^2(E) = \sum_{e \in E} (o_e - p_e)^2$

Measures of error

E is the set of examples, with single target feature. For $e \in E$, o_e is observed value and p_e is predicted value:

- **absolute error** $L_1(E) = \sum_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$
- **sum of squares error** $L_2^2(E) = \sum_{e \in E} (o_e - p_e)^2$
- **worst-case error**: $L_\infty(E) = \max_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$

Measures of error

E is the set of examples, with single target feature. For $e \in E$, o_e is observed value and p_e is predicted value:

- **absolute error** $L_1(E) = \sum_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$
- **sum of squares error** $L_2^2(E) = \sum_{e \in E} (o_e - p_e)^2$
- **worst-case error**: $L_\infty(E) = \max_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$
- **number wrong**: $L_0(E) = \#\{e : o_e \neq p_e\}$

Measures of error

E is the set of examples, with single target feature. For $e \in E$, o_e is observed value and p_e is predicted value:

- **absolute error** $L_1(E) = \sum_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$
- **sum of squares error** $L_2^2(E) = \sum_{e \in E} (o_e - p_e)^2$
- **worst-case error**: $L_\infty(E) = \max_{e \in E} |o_e - p_e|$
- **number wrong**: $L_0(E) = \#\{e : o_e \neq p_e\}$
- A **cost-based error** takes into account costs of errors.

Measures of error (cont.)

With binary feature: $o_e \in \{0, 1\}$:

- likelihood of the data

$$\prod_{e \in E} p_e^{o_e} (1 - p_e)^{(1-o_e)}$$

Measures of error (cont.)

With binary feature: $o_e \in \{0, 1\}$:

- likelihood of the data

$$\prod_{e \in E} p_e^{o_e} (1 - p_e)^{(1-o_e)}$$

- log likelihood

$$\sum_{e \in E} (o_e \log p_e + (1 - o_e) \log(1 - p_e))$$

is negative of number of bits to encode the data given a code based on p_e .

Information theory overview

- A **bit** is a binary digit.
- 1 bit can distinguish 2 items
- k bits can distinguish 2^k items
- n items can be distinguished using $\log_2 n$ bits
- Can we do better?

Information and Probability

Consider a code to distinguish elements of $\{a, b, c, d\}$ with

$$P(a) = \frac{1}{2}, P(b) = \frac{1}{4}, P(c) = \frac{1}{8}, P(d) = \frac{1}{8}$$

Consider the code:

a	0	b	10	c	110	d	111
-----	---	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

This code uses 1 to 3 bits. On average, it uses

$$\begin{aligned} &P(a) \times 1 + P(b) \times 2 + P(c) \times 3 + P(d) \times 3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{3}{8} + \frac{3}{8} = 1\frac{3}{4} \text{ bits.} \end{aligned}$$

The string *aacabbda* has code

Information and Probability

Consider a code to distinguish elements of $\{a, b, c, d\}$ with

$$P(a) = \frac{1}{2}, P(b) = \frac{1}{4}, P(c) = \frac{1}{8}, P(d) = \frac{1}{8}$$

Consider the code:

a	0	b	10	c	110	d	111
-----	---	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

This code uses 1 to 3 bits. On average, it uses

$$\begin{aligned} &P(a) \times 1 + P(b) \times 2 + P(c) \times 3 + P(d) \times 3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{3}{8} + \frac{3}{8} = 1\frac{3}{4} \text{ bits.} \end{aligned}$$

The string *aacabbda* has code 00110010101110.

The code 0111110010100 represents string

Information and Probability

Consider a code to distinguish elements of $\{a, b, c, d\}$ with

$$P(a) = \frac{1}{2}, P(b) = \frac{1}{4}, P(c) = \frac{1}{8}, P(d) = \frac{1}{8}$$

Consider the code:

a	0	b	10	c	110	d	111
-----	---	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----

This code uses 1 to 3 bits. On average, it uses

$$\begin{aligned} &P(a) \times 1 + P(b) \times 2 + P(c) \times 3 + P(d) \times 3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{4} + \frac{3}{8} + \frac{3}{8} = 1\frac{3}{4} \text{ bits.} \end{aligned}$$

The string *aacabbda* has code 00110010101110.

The code 0111110010100 represents string *adcabba*

Information Content

- To identify x , we need $-\log_2 P(x)$ bits.
- Give a distribution over a set, to identify a member, the expected number of bits

$$\sum_x -P(x) \times \log_2 P(x).$$

is the **information content** or **entropy** of the distribution.

- The expected number of bits it takes to describe a distribution given evidence e :

$$I(e) = \sum_x -P(x|e) \times \log_2 P(x|e).$$


Information Gain

Given a test that can distinguish the cases where α is true from the cases where α is false, the **information gain** from this test is:


$$I(\text{true}) - (P(\alpha) \times I(\alpha) + P(\neg\alpha) \times I(\neg\alpha)).$$

- $I(\text{true})$ is the expected number of bits needed before the test
- $P(\alpha) \times I(\alpha) + P(\neg\alpha) \times I(\neg\alpha)$ is the expected number of bits after the test.

Linear Predictions



Linear Predictions



Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is $(\text{maximum} + \text{minimum})/2$

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is $(\text{maximum} + \text{minimum})/2$
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that maximizes the likelihood on E is

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is $(\text{maximum} + \text{minimum})/2$
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that maximizes the likelihood on E is the empirical probability.

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is $(\text{maximum} + \text{minimum})/2$
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that maximizes the likelihood on E is the empirical probability.
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that minimizes the entropy on E is

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is $(\text{maximum} + \text{minimum})/2$
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that maximizes the likelihood on E is the empirical probability.
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that minimizes the entropy on E is the empirical probability.

Point Estimates

To make a single prediction for feature Y , with examples E .

- The prediction that minimizes the sum of squares error on E is the mean (average) value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the absolute error on E is the median value of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the number wrong on E is the mode of Y .
- The prediction that minimizes the worst-case error on E is $(\text{maximum} + \text{minimum})/2$
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that maximizes the likelihood on E is the empirical probability.
- When Y has values $\{0, 1\}$, the prediction that minimizes the entropy on E is the empirical probability.

But that doesn't mean that these predictions minimize the error for future predictions....

Training and Test Sets

To evaluate how well a learner will work on future predictions, we divide the examples into:

- **training examples** that are used to train the learner
- **test examples** that are used to evaluate the learner

...these must be kept separate.

Learning Probabilities

- Empirical probabilities do not make good predictors of test set when evaluated by likelihood or entropy.
- Why?

Learning Probabilities

- Empirical probabilities do not make good predictors of test set when evaluated by likelihood or entropy.
- Why? A probability of zero means “impossible” and has infinite cost if there is one true case in test set.

Learning Probabilities

- Empirical probabilities do not make good predictors of test set when evaluated by likelihood or entropy.
- Why? A probability of zero means “impossible” and has infinite cost if there is one true case in test set.
- Solution: (**Laplace smoothing**) add (non-negative) pseudo-counts to the data.
Suppose n_i is the number of examples with $X = v_i$, and c_i is the pseudo-count:

$$P(X = v_i) = \frac{c_i + n_i}{\sum_{i'} c_{i'} + n_{i'}}$$

- Pseudo-counts convey prior knowledge. Consider: “how much more would I believe v_i if I had seen one example with v_i true than if I has seen no examples with v_i true?”

Learning Objectives

At the end of the class you should be able to:

- show an example of decision-tree learning
- explain how to avoid overfitting in decision-tree learning
- explain the relationship between linear and logistic regression
- explain how overfitting can be avoided

Basic Models for Supervised Learning

Many learning algorithms can be seen as deriving from:

- decision trees
- linear (and non-linear) classifiers
- Bayesian classifiers

Learning Decision Trees



- Representation is a decision tree.
- Bias is towards simple decision trees.
- Search through the space of decision trees, from simple decision trees to more complex ones.

Decision trees

A (binary) **decision tree** (for a particular output feature) is a tree where:

- Each nonleaf node is labeled with an test (function of input features).
- The arcs out of a node labeled with values for the test.
- The leaves of the tree are labeled with point prediction of the output feature.

Example Decision Trees



Equivalent Logic Program

$skips \leftarrow long.$

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge new.$

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge follow_up \wedge known.$

$skips \leftarrow short \wedge follow_up \wedge unknown.$

or with negation as failure:

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge new.$

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge \sim new \wedge known.$

Issues in decision-tree learning

- Given some training examples, which decision tree should be generated?
- A decision tree can represent any discrete function of the input features.
- You need a **bias**. Example, prefer the smallest tree. Least depth? Fewest nodes? Which trees are the best predictors of unseen data?
- How should you go about building a decision tree? The space of decision trees is too big for systematic search for the smallest decision tree.

Searching for a Good Decision Tree

- The input is a set of input features, a target feature and, a set of training examples.
- Either:
 - ▶ Stop and return the a value for the target feature or a distribution over target feature values
 - ▶ Choose a test (e.g. an input feature) to split on. For each value of the test, build a subtree for those examples with this value for the test.

Choices in implementing the algorithm

- When to stop:

Choices in implementing the algorithm

- When to stop:
 - ▶ no more input features
 - ▶ all examples are classified the same
 - ▶ too few examples to make an informative split

Choices in implementing the algorithm

- When to stop:
 - ▶ no more input features
 - ▶ all examples are classified the same
 - ▶ too few examples to make an informative split
- Which test to split on isn't defined. Often we use **myopic** split: which single split gives smallest error.
- With multi-valued features, the text can be can to split on all values or split values into half. More complex tests are possible.

Example Classification Data

Training Examples:


	Action	Author	Thread	Length	Where
e1	skips	known	new	long	home
e2	reads	unknown	new	short	work
e3	skips	unknown	old	long	work
e4	skips	known	old	long	home
e5	reads	known	new	short	home
e6	skips	known	old	long	work

New Examples:

e7	???	known	new	short	work
e8	???	unknown	new	short	work

We want to classify new examples on feature *Action* based on the examples' *Author*, *Thread*, *Length*, and *Where*.

Example: possible splits



Handling Overfitting

- This algorithm can overfit the data.
This occurs when

Handling Overfitting

- This algorithm can overfit the data.
This occurs when noise and correlations in the training set that are not reflected in the data as a whole.
- To handle overfitting:
 - ▶ restrict the splitting, and split only when the split is useful.
 - ▶ allow unrestricted splitting and prune the resulting tree where it makes unwarranted distinctions.
 - ▶ learn multiple trees and average them.

Linear Function

A **linear function** of features X_1, \dots, X_n is a function of the form:

$$f^{\overline{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = w_0 + w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n$$

We invent a new feature X_0 which has value 1, to make it not a special case.

$$f^{\overline{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i X_i$$

Linear Regression

- Aim: predict feature Y from features X_1, \dots, X_n .
- A feature is a function of an example.
 $X_i(e)$ is the value of feature X_i on example e .
- **Linear regression**: predict a linear function of the input features.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}^{\bar{w}}(e) &= w_0 + w_1 X_1(e) + \dots + w_n X_n(e) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n w_i X_i(e) ,\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{Y}^{\bar{w}}(e)$ is the predicted value for Y on example e .
It depends on the weights \bar{w} .

Sum of squares error for linear regression

The sum of squares error on examples E for output Y is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error}_E(\overline{w}) &= \sum_{e \in E} (Y(e) - \hat{Y}^{\overline{w}}(e))^2 \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - \sum_{i=0}^n w_i X_i(e) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Goal: find weights that minimize $\text{Error}_E(\overline{w})$.

Finding weights that minimize $Error_E(\overline{w})$

- Find the minimum analytically.
Effective when it can be done (e.g., for linear regression).

Finding weights that minimize $Error_E(\overline{w})$

- Find the minimum analytically.
Effective when it can be done (e.g., for linear regression).
- Find the minimum iteratively.
Works for larger classes of problems.
Gradient descent:

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i - \eta \frac{\partial Error_E(\overline{w})}{\partial w_i}$$

η is the gradient descent step size, the **learning rate**.

Linear Classifier

- Assume we are doing binary classification, with classes $\{0, 1\}$ (e.g., using indicator functions).

Linear Classifier

- Assume we are doing binary classification, with classes $\{0, 1\}$ (e.g., using indicator functions).
- There is no point in making a prediction of less than 0 or greater than 1.
- A **squashed linear function** is of the form:

$$f^{\overline{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(w_0 + w_1X_1 + \dots + w_nX_n)$$

where f is an **activation function**.

Linear Classifier

- Assume we are doing binary classification, with classes $\{0, 1\}$ (e.g., using indicator functions).
- There is no point in making a prediction of less than 0 or greater than 1.
- A **squashed linear function** is of the form:

$$f^{\overline{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(w_0 + w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n)$$

where f is an **activation function**.

- A simple activation function is the step function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$


Error for Squashed Linear Function

The sum of squares error is:

$$Error_E(\overline{w}) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - f\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) \right)^2.$$


If f is differentiable, we can do gradient descent.

The sigmoid or logistic activation function



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

The sigmoid or logistic activation function



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

$$f'(x) = f(x)(1 - f(x))$$


A **logistic function** is the sigmoid of a linear function.

Logistic regression: find weights to minimise error of a logistic function.

Gradient Descent for Logistic Regression


```
1: procedure LogisticRegression( $X, Y, E, \eta$ )
2:     •  $X$ : set of input features,  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ 
3:     •  $Y$ : output feature
4:     •  $E$ : set of examples
5:     •  $\eta$ : learning rate
6:     initialize  $w_0, \dots, w_n$  randomly
7:     repeat
8:         for each example  $e$  in  $E$  do
9:              $p \leftarrow f(\sum_i w_i X_i(e))$ 
10:             $\delta \leftarrow Y(e) - p$ 
11:            for each  $i \in [0, n]$  do
12:                 $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta \delta p(1 - p) X_i(e)$ 
13:        until some stopping criterion is true
14:    return  $w_0, \dots, w_n$ 
```


Simple Example




Ex	new	short	home	reads		δ	error
				Predicted	Obs		
e1	0	0	0	$f(0.4) = 0.6$	0	-0.6	0.36
e2	1	1	0		0		
e3	1	0	1		1		

Simple Example



Ex	new	short	home	reads		δ	error
				Predicted	Obs		
e1	0	0	0	$f(0.4) = 0.6$	0	-0.6	0.36
e2	1	1	0	$f(-1.2) = 0.23$	0		
e3	1	0	1	$f(0.9) = 0.71$	1		

Simple Example



Ex	new	short	home	reads		δ	error
				Predicted	Obs		
e1	0	0	0	$f(0.4) = 0.6$	0	-0.6	0.36
e2	1	1	0	$f(-1.2) = 0.23$	0	-0.23	0.053
e3	1	0	1	$f(0.9) = 0.71$	1	0.29	0.084

Linearly Separable

- A classification is **linearly separable** if there is a hyperplane where the classification is *true* on one side of the hyperplane and *false* on the other side.
- For the sigmoid function, the hyperplane is when:


Linearly Separable

- A classification is **linearly separable** if there is a hyperplane where the classification is *true* on one side of the hyperplane and *false* on the other side.
- For the sigmoid function, the hyperplane is when:

$$w_0 + w_1X_1 + \dots + w_nX_n = 0$$

This separates the predictions > 0.5 and < 0.5 .

- linearly separable implies the error can be arbitrarily small




Linearly Separable

- A classification is **linearly separable** if there is a hyperplane where the classification is *true* on one side of the hyperplane and *false* on the other side.
- For the sigmoid function, the hyperplane is when:

$$w_0 + w_1X_1 + \dots + w_nX_n = 0$$

This separates the predictions > 0.5 and < 0.5 .

- linearly separable implies the error can be arbitrarily small



Kernel Trick: use functions of input features (e.g., product)

Variants in Linear Separators

Which linear separator to use can result in various algorithms:

- Perceptron
- Logistic Regression
- Support Vector Machines (SVMs)
- ...

Bias in linear classifiers and decision trees

- It's easy for a logistic function to represent
“at least two of X_1, \dots, X_k are true”:

$$\frac{w_0 \quad w_1 \quad \cdots \quad w_k}{\quad}$$

Bias in linear classifiers and decision trees

- It's easy for a logistic function to represent "at least two of X_1, \dots, X_k are true":

$$\begin{array}{cccc} w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_k \\ \hline -15 & 10 & \cdots & 10 \end{array}$$

This concept forms a large decision tree.

- Consider representing a conditional: "If X_7 then X_2 else X_3 ":
 - Simple in a decision tree.
 - Complicated (possible?) for a linear separator

Bayesian classifiers


- Idea: if you knew the classification you could predict the values of features.

$$P(Class|X_1 \dots X_n) \propto P(X_1, \dots, X_n|Class)P(Class)$$

- Naive Bayesian classifier:** X_i are independent of each other given the class.


Requires: $P(Class)$ and $P(X_i|Class)$ for each X_i .

$$P(Class|X_1 \dots X_n) \propto \prod_i P(X_i|Class)P(Class)$$




Learning Probabilities

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	<i>Count</i>
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40
t	f	t	t	2	10
t	f	t	t	3	50
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



Learning Probabilities


X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	<i>Count</i>
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40
t	f	t	t	2	10
t	f	t	t	3	50
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



$$P(C=v_i) = \frac{\sum_{t \models C=v_i} \text{Count}(t)}{\sum_t \text{Count}(t)}$$

$$P(X_k = v_j | C=v_i) = \frac{\sum_{t \models C=v_i \wedge X_k=v_j} \text{Count}(t)}{\sum_{t \models C=v_i} \text{Count}(t)}$$


...perhaps including pseudo-counts



- The domain of H is the set of all help pages.
The observations are the words in the query.
- What probabilities are needed?
What pseudo-counts and counts are used?
What data can be used to learn from?

Composite Models

Many methods can be seen as:



E.g., neural networks, regression trees, random forest, ...

Some combinations don't help.

Handling Overfitting

- Overfitting occurs when the system finds regularities in the training set that are not in the test set.
- Prefer simpler models. How do we trade off simplicity and fit to data?
- Test it on some hold-out data.

Description Length

Bayes Rule:

$$P(h|d) \propto P(d|h)P(h)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\arg \max_h P(h|d) &= \arg \max_h P(d|h)P(h) \\ &= \arg \max_h (\log P(d|h) + \log P(h))\end{aligned}$$

Bayes Rule:

$$P(h|d) \propto P(d|h)P(h)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\arg \max_h P(h|d) &= \arg \max_h P(d|h)P(h) \\ &= \arg \max_h (\log P(d|h) + \log P(h))\end{aligned}$$

- $\log P(d|h)$ measures fit to data
- $\log P(h)$ measures model complexity

Regularization

Logistic regression:

$$\text{minimize } Error_E(\bar{w}) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - f\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) \right)^2.$$

L2 regularization:

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - f\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i w_i^2$$

L1 regularization:

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - f\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i |w_i|$$

λ is a parameter to be learned.

Cross Validation

Idea: split the **training set** into:

- new training set
- validation set

Use the new training set to train on. Use the model that works best on the validation set.

- To evaluate your algorithm, the test should must not be used for training or validation.
- Many variants: k-fold cross validation, leave-one-out cross validation, . . .

Case-based Reasoning

- **Idea:** experiences themselves are stored. These are called **cases**.
- Given a new example, the most appropriate case(s) in the knowledge base are found and these are used to predict properties of the new example.

Extremes of Case-based Reasoning

- The cases are simple and for each new example the agent has seen many identical instances. Use the statistics of the cases.
- The cases are simple but there are few exact matches. Use a distance metric to find the closest cases.
- The cases are complex, there are no matches. You need sophisticated reasoning to determine why an old case is like the new case.

Examples: legal reasoning, case-based planning.

k -nearest Neighbors

- Need a distance metric between examples.
- Given a new example, find the k nearest neighbors of that example.
- Predict the classification by using the mode, median, or interpolating between the neighbors.
- Often want $k > 1$ because there can be errors in the case base.

Euclidean Distance

- Define a metric for each dimension (convert the values to a numerical scale).
- The **Euclidean distance** between examples x and y is:

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_A w_A (x_A - y_A)^2}$$

- ▶ x_A is the numerical value of attribute A for example x
- ▶ w_A is a nonnegative real-valued parameter that specifies the relative weight of attribute A .

- Like a decision tree, but examples are stored at the leaves.
- The aim is to build a balanced tree; so a particular example can be found in $\log n$ time when there are n examples.
- Not all leaves will be an exact match for a new example.
- Any exact match can be found in $d = \log n$ time
- All examples that miss on just one attribute can be found in $O(d^2)$ time.

Learning Objectives

At the end of the class you should be able to:

- derive Bayesian learning from first principles
- explain how the Beta and Dirichlet distributions are used for Bayesian learning.

Model Averaging (Bayesian Learning)

We want to predict the output Y of a new case that has input $X = x$ given the training examples \mathbf{e} :

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \wedge m|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

Model Averaging (Bayesian Learning)

We want to predict the output Y of a new case that has input $X = x$ given the training examples \mathbf{e} :

$$\begin{aligned}P(Y|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \wedge m|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\&= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y|m \wedge x \wedge \mathbf{e})P(m|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\&= \end{aligned}$$

Model Averaging (Bayesian Learning)

We want to predict the output Y of a new case that has input $X = x$ given the training examples \mathbf{e} :

$$\begin{aligned}P(Y|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \wedge m|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\&= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y|m \wedge x \wedge \mathbf{e})P(m|x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\&= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y|m \wedge x)P(m|\mathbf{e})\end{aligned}$$

M is a set of mutually exclusive and covering hypotheses.

- What assumptions are made here?

Learning Under Uncertainty



- The posterior probability of a model given examples \mathbf{e} :

$$P(m|\mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e}|m) \times P(m)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

- The **likelihood**, $P(\mathbf{e}|m)$, is the probability that model m would have produced examples \mathbf{e} .
- The **prior**, $P(m)$, encodes the learning bias
- $P(\mathbf{e})$ is a normalizing constant so the probabilities of the models sum to 1.
- Examples $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) given m if

$$P(\mathbf{e}|m) = \prod_{i=1}^k P(e_i|m)$$

Plate Notation



Bayesian Learning of Probabilities

- Y has two outcomes y and $\neg y$.
We want the probability of y given training examples \mathbf{e} .
- We can treat the probability of y as a real-valued random variable on the interval $[0, 1]$, called ϕ . Bayes' rule gives:

$$P(\phi=p|\mathbf{e}) =$$

Bayesian Learning of Probabilities

- Y has two outcomes y and $\neg y$.
We want the probability of y given training examples \mathbf{e} .
- We can treat the probability of y as a real-valued random variable on the interval $[0, 1]$, called ϕ . Bayes' rule gives:

$$P(\phi=p|\mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e}|\phi=p) \times P(\phi=p)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

- Suppose \mathbf{e} is a sequence of n_1 instances of y and n_0 instances of $\neg y$:

$$P(\mathbf{e}|\phi=p) =$$

Bayesian Learning of Probabilities

- Y has two outcomes y and $\neg y$.
We want the probability of y given training examples \mathbf{e} .
- We can treat the probability of y as a real-valued random variable on the interval $[0, 1]$, called ϕ . Bayes' rule gives:


$$P(\phi=p|\mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e}|\phi=p) \times P(\phi=p)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

- Suppose \mathbf{e} is a sequence of n_1 instances of y and n_0 instances of $\neg y$:

$$P(\mathbf{e}|\phi=p) = p^{n_1} \times (1 - p)^{n_0}$$

- Uniform prior: $P(\phi=p) = 1$ for all $p \in [0, 1]$.

Posterior Probabilities for Different Training Examples (beta distribution)



MAP model

- The **maximum a posteriori probability** (MAP) model is the model m that maximizes $P(m|\mathbf{e})$. That is, it maximizes:

$$P(\mathbf{e}|m) \times P(m)$$

- Thus it minimizes:

$$(-\log P(\mathbf{e}|m)) + (-\log P(m))$$

which is the number of bits to send the examples, \mathbf{e} , given the model m plus the number of bits to send the model m .

Averaging Over Models

- **Idea:** Rather than choosing the most likely model, average over all models, weighted by their posterior probabilities given the examples.
- If you have observed a sequence of n_1 instances of y and n_0 instances of $\neg y$, with uniform prior:
 - ▶ the most likely value (MAP) is $\frac{n_1}{n_0 + n_1}$
 - ▶ the expected value is $\frac{n_1 + 1}{n_0 + n_1 + 2}$

Beta Distribution

$$Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(p) = \frac{1}{K} p^{\alpha_1-1} \times (1-p)^{\alpha_0-1}$$

where K is a normalizing constant. $\alpha_i > 0$.

- The uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ is $Beta^{1,1}$.
- The expected value is $\alpha_1/(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)$.

If the prior probability of a Boolean variable is $Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$, the posterior distribution after observing n_1 true cases and n_0 false cases is:

Beta Distribution

$$Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(p) = \frac{1}{K} p^{\alpha_1-1} \times (1-p)^{\alpha_0-1}$$

where K is a normalizing constant. $\alpha_i > 0$.

- The uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ is $Beta^{1,1}$.
- The expected value is $\alpha_1/(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)$.

If the prior probability of a Boolean variable is $Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$, the posterior distribution after observing n_1 true cases and n_0 false cases is:

$$Beta^{\alpha_0+n_0, \alpha_1+n_1}$$

Dirichlet distribution

- Suppose Y has k values.
- The **Dirichlet distribution** has two sorts of parameters,
 - ▶ positive counts $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$
 α_i is one more than the count of the i th outcome.
 - ▶ probability parameters p_1, \dots, p_k
 p_i is the probability of the i th outcome

$$\text{Dirichlet}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(p_1, \dots, p_k) = \frac{1}{K} \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{\alpha_j - 1}$$

where K is a normalizing constant

- The expected value of i th outcome is

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\sum_j \alpha_j}$$


Hierarchical Bayesian Model

Where do the priors come from?


Example: S_{XH} is true when patient X is sick in hospital H .

We want to learn the probability of Sick for each hospital.

Where do the prior probabilities for the hospitals come from?



(a)



(b)