

Where do the probabilities come from?

- Probabilities come from:
 - ▶ Experts
 - ▶ Data

Learning Probabilities

Observe tosses of thumbtack:

n_0 instances of *Heads* = *false*

n_1 instances of *Heads* = *true*

what should we use as $P(\text{heads})$?



Learning Probabilities

Observe tosses of thumbtack:

n_0 instances of *Heads* = *false*

n_1 instances of *Heads* = *true*

what should we use as $P(\text{heads})$?

- Empirical frequency: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1}{n_0 + n_1}$




Learning Probabilities

Observe tosses of thumbtack:

n_0 instances of *Heads* = *false*

n_1 instances of *Heads* = *true*

what should we use as $P(\text{heads})$?



- Empirical frequency: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1}{n_0 + n_1}$
- Laplace smoothing [1812]: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1 + 1}{n_0 + n_1 + 2}$


Learning Probabilities

Observe tosses of thumbtack:

n_0 instances of *Heads* = *false*

n_1 instances of *Heads* = *true*

what should we use as $P(\text{heads})$?



- Empirical frequency: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1}{n_0 + n_1}$
- Laplace smoothing [1812]: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1 + 1}{n_0 + n_1 + 2}$
- Informed priors: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1 + c_1}{n_0 + n_1 + c_0 + c_1}$

for some informed pseudo counts $c_0, c_1 > 0$.

$c_0 = 1, c_1 = 1$, expressed ignorance (uniform prior)

Pseudo-counts convey prior knowledge. Consider: “how much more would I believe α if I had seen one example with α true than if I has seen no examples with α true?”

Learning Probabilities

Observe tosses of thumbtack:

n_0 instances of *Heads* = *false*

n_1 instances of *Heads* = *true*

what should we use as $P(\text{heads})$?



- Empirical frequency: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1}{n_0 + n_1}$
- Laplace smoothing [1812]: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1 + 1}{n_0 + n_1 + 2}$
- Informed priors: $P(\text{heads}) = \frac{n_1 + c_1}{n_0 + n_1 + c_0 + c_1}$

for some informed pseudo counts $c_0, c_1 > 0$.

$c_0 = 1, c_1 = 1$, expressed ignorance (uniform prior)

Pseudo-counts convey prior knowledge. Consider: “how much more would I believe α if I had seen one example with α true than if I has seen no examples with α true?”

— empirical frequency overfits to the data.

Example of Overfitting

- We have a web site where people rate restaurants with 1 to 5 stars.
- We want to report the most liked restaurant(s).
- How can we determine the most liked restaurant?

Example of Overfitting

- We have a web site where people rate restaurants with 1 to 5 stars.
- We want to report the most liked restaurant(s).
- How can we determine the most liked restaurant?
- Is the restaurant with the highest average rating the most liked restaurant?

Example of Overfitting

- We have a web site where people rate restaurants with 1 to 5 stars.
- We want to report the most liked restaurant(s).
- How can we determine the most liked restaurant?
- Is the restaurant with the highest average rating the most liked restaurant?
- Which restaurants have the highest average rating?


Example of Overfitting

- We have a web site where people rate restaurants with 1 to 5 stars.
- We want to report the most liked restaurant(s).
- How can we determine the most liked restaurant?
- Is the restaurant with the highest average rating the most liked restaurant?
- Which restaurants have the highest average rating?
- Which restaurants have a rating of 5?

Example of Overfitting

- We have a web site where people rate restaurants with 1 to 5 stars.
- We want to report the most liked restaurant(s).
- How can we determine the most liked restaurant?
- Is the restaurant with the highest average rating the most liked restaurant?
- Which restaurants have the highest average rating?
- Which restaurants have a rating of 5?
- Solution: add some “average” ratings for each restaurant!


Bayesian Learning



aispace: <http://artint.info/code/aispace/beta.xml>

- *Probability_of_Heads* is a random variable representing the probability of heads.
- Range is $\{0.0, 0.1, 0.2, \dots, 0.9, 1.0\}$ or interval $[0, 1]$.
- $P(\text{Toss}\#n=\text{Heads} \mid \text{Probability_of_Heads}=v) =$


Bayesian Learning



aispace: <http://artint.info/code/aispace/beta.xml>


- *Probability_of_Heads* is a random variable representing the probability of heads.
- Range is $\{0.0, 0.1, 0.2, \dots, 0.9, 1.0\}$ or interval $[0, 1]$.
- $P(\text{Toss}\#n=\text{Heads} \mid \text{Probability_of_Heads}=v) = v$
- *Toss#i* is independent of *Toss#j* (for $i \neq j$) given *Probability_of_Heads*
- **i.i.d.** or **independent and identically distributed**.

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help



H is the help page the user is interested in.
We observe the words in the query.

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help




H is the help page the user is interested in.

We observe the words in the query.

What probabilities are required?

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help




H is the help page the user is interested in.

We observe the words in the query.

What probabilities are required?

What counts are required?

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help



H is the help page the user is interested in.


We observe the words in the query.

What probabilities are required?

What counts are required?

- number of times each help page h_i is the best one
- number of times word w_j is used when h_i is the help page.

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help



H is the help page the user is interested in.

We observe the words in the query.


What probabilities are required?

What counts are required?

- number of times each help page h_i is the best one
- number of times word w_j is used when h_i is the help page.

When can the counts be updated?

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help



H is the help page the user is interested in.

We observe the words in the query.

What probabilities are required?


What counts are required?

- number of times each help page h_i is the best one
- number of times word w_j is used when h_i is the help page.

When can the counts be updated?

- When the correct page is found.

Naive Bayes Classifier: User's request for help



H is the help page the user is interested in.

We observe the words in the query.

What probabilities are required?

What counts are required?

- number of times each help page h_i is the best one
- number of times word w_j is used when h_i is the help page.

When can the counts be updated?

- When the correct page is found.

What prior counts should be used? Can they be zero?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?
- Can some pages never be found?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?
- Can some pages never be found?
- What about common words?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?
- Can some pages never be found?
- What about common words?
- What about words that affect other words, e.g. "not"?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?
- Can some pages never be found?
- What about common words?
- What about words that affect other words, e.g. "not"?
- What about new words?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?
- Can some pages never be found?
- What about common words?
- What about words that affect other words, e.g. "not"?
- What about new words?
- What do we do with new help pages?

If you were designing such a system, many issues arise such as:

- What if the most likely page isn't the correct page?
- What if the user can't find the correct page?
- What if the user mistakenly thinks they have the correct page?
- Can some pages never be found?
- What about common words?
- What about words that affect other words, e.g. "not"?
- What about new words?
- What do we do with new help pages?
- How can we transfer the language model to a new help system?

Clustering / Unsupervised Learning

- The target features are not given in the training examples
- The aim is to construct a natural classification that can be used to predict features of the data.

Clustering / Unsupervised Learning

- The target features are not given in the training examples
- The aim is to construct a natural classification that can be used to predict features of the data.
- The examples are partitioned into **clusters** or **classes**. Each class predicts feature values for the examples in the class.
 - ▶ In **hard clustering** each example is placed definitively in a class.
 - ▶ In **soft clustering** each example has a probability distribution over its class.
- Each clustering has a prediction error on the examples. The best clustering is the one that minimizes the error.

k -means algorithm

The k -means algorithm is used for hard clustering.

Inputs:

- training examples
- the number of classes, k

Outputs:

- a prediction of a value for each feature for each class
- an assignment of examples to classes

k -means algorithm formalized

- E is the set of all examples
- the input features are X_1, \dots, X_n
 $X_j(e)$ is the value of feature X_j for example e .
- there is a class for each integer $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

k -means algorithm formalized

- E is the set of all examples
- the input features are X_1, \dots, X_n
 $X_j(e)$ is the value of feature X_j for example e .
- there is a class for each integer $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

The k -means algorithm outputs

- function $class : E \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$.
 $class(e) = i$ means e is in class i .
- prediction $\hat{X}_j(i)$ for each feature X_j and class i .

k -means algorithm formalized

- E is the set of all examples
- the input features are X_1, \dots, X_n
 $X_j(e)$ is the value of feature X_j for example e .
- there is a class for each integer $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

The k -means algorithm outputs

- function $class : E \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$.
 $class(e) = i$ means e is in class i .
- prediction $\hat{X}_j(i)$ for each feature X_j and class i .

The sum-of-squares error for $class$ and $\hat{X}_j(i)$ is

k -means algorithm formalized

- E is the set of all examples
- the input features are X_1, \dots, X_n
 $X_j(e)$ is the value of feature X_j for example e .
- there is a class for each integer $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

The k -means algorithm outputs

- function $class : E \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$.
 $class(e) = i$ means e is in class i .
- prediction $\hat{X}_j(i)$ for each feature X_j and class i .

The sum-of-squares error for $class$ and $\hat{X}_j(i)$ is

$$\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{X}_j(class(e)) - X_j(e) \right)^2.$$

Aim: find $class$ and prediction function that minimize sum-of-squares error.

Minimizing the error

The sum-of-squares error for *class* and $\hat{X}_j(i)$ is

$$\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{X}_j(\text{class}(e)) - X_j(e) \right)^2.$$

- Given *class*, the \hat{X}_j that minimizes the sum-of-squares error is

Minimizing the error

The sum-of-squares error for *class* and $\hat{X}_j(i)$ is

$$\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{X}_j(\text{class}(e)) - X_j(e) \right)^2.$$

- Given *class*, the \hat{X}_j that minimizes the sum-of-squares error is the mean value of X_j for that class.

Minimizing the error

The sum-of-squares error for *class* and $\hat{X}_j(i)$ is

$$\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{X}_j(\text{class}(e)) - X_j(e) \right)^2.$$

- Given *class*, the \hat{X}_j that minimizes the sum-of-squares error is the mean value of X_j for that class.
- Given \hat{X}_j for each j , each example can be assigned to the class that

Minimizing the error

The sum-of-squares error for *class* and $\hat{X}_j(i)$ is

$$\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{X}_j(\text{class}(e)) - X_j(e) \right)^2.$$

- Given *class*, the \hat{X}_j that minimizes the sum-of-squares error is the mean value of X_j for that class.
- Given \hat{X}_j for each j , each example can be assigned to the class that minimizes the error for that example.

k -means algorithm

Initially, randomly assign the examples to the classes.

Repeat the following two steps:

- For each class i and feature X_j ,

$$\hat{X}_j(i) \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{e: \text{class}(e)=i} X_j(e)}{|\{e : \text{class}(e) = i\}|},$$

- For each example e , assign e to the class i that minimizes

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\hat{X}_j(i) - X_j(e) \right)^2.$$

until the second step does not change the assignment of any example.

k -means algorithm

Sufficient statistics:

- $cc[c]$ is the number of examples in class c ,
- $fs[j, c]$ is the sum of the values for $X_j(e)$ for examples in class c .

then define $pn(j, c)$, current estimate of $\hat{X}_j(c)$

$$pn(j, c) =$$

k-means algorithm

Sufficient statistics:

- $cc[c]$ is the number of examples in class c ,
- $fs[j, c]$ is the sum of the values for $X_j(e)$ for examples in class c .

then define $pn(j, c)$, current estimate of $\hat{X}_j(c)$

$$pn(j, c) = fs[j, c] / cc[c]$$

k-means algorithm

Sufficient statistics:

- $cc[c]$ is the number of examples in class c ,
- $fs[j, c]$ is the sum of the values for $X_j(e)$ for examples in class c .

then define $pn(j, c)$, current estimate of $\hat{X}_j(c)$

$$pn(j, c) = fs[j, c] / cc[c]$$

$$class(e) =$$

k-means algorithm

Sufficient statistics:

- $cc[c]$ is the number of examples in class c ,
- $fs[j, c]$ is the sum of the values for $X_j(e)$ for examples in class c .

then define $pn(j, c)$, current estimate of $\hat{X}_j(c)$

$$pn(j, c) = fs[j, c] / cc[c]$$

$$class(e) = \arg \min_c \sum_{j=1}^n (pn(j, c) - X_j(e))^2$$


These can be undated in one pass through the training data.

```


1: procedure k-means( $Xs, Es, k$ )
2:   Initialize fs and cc randomly (based on data)
3:   def pn( $j, c$ ) =  $fs[j, c] / cc[c]$ 
4:   def class( $e$ ) =  $\arg \min_c \sum_{j=1}^n (pn(j, c) - X_j(e))^2$ 
5:   repeat
6:     fsn and ccn initialized to be all zero
7:     for each example  $e \in Es$  do
8:        $c := class(e)$ 
9:        $ccn[c] + = 1$ 
10:      for each feature  $X_j \in Xs$  do
11:         $fsn[j, c] + = X_j(e)$ 
12:       $stable := (fsn = fs) \text{ and } (ccn = cc)$ 
13:       $fs := fsn$ 
14:       $cc := ccn$ 
15:   until stable
16:   return class, pn

```


Example Data




Random Assignment to Classes



Assign Each Example to Closest Mean



Reassign Each Example to Closest Mean




Properties of k -means

- An assignment of examples to classes is **stable** if running both the M step and the E step does not change the assignment.
- This algorithm will eventually converge to a stable local minimum.
- Any permutation of the labels of a stable assignment is also a stable assignment.
- It is not guaranteed to converge to a global minimum.
- It is sensitive to the relative scale of the dimensions.
- Increasing k can always decrease error until k is the number of different examples.

EM Algorithm

- Used for soft clustering — examples are probabilistically in classes.
- k -valued random variable C

Model



Data

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4
t	f	t	t
f	t	t	f
f	f	t	t
...			

⇒ Probabilities

$$P(C)$$


$$P(X_1|C)$$

$$P(X_2|C)$$

$$P(X_3|C)$$

$$P(X_4|C)$$

EM Algorithm



EM Algorithm Overview

- Repeat the following two steps:
 - ▶ **E-step** give the expected number of data points for the unobserved variables based on the given probability distribution.
 - ▶ **M-step** infer the (maximum likelihood or maximum a posteriori probability) probabilities from the data.
- Start either with made-up data or made-up probabilities.
- EM will converge to a local maxima.

Augmented Data — E step

Suppose $k = 3$, and $\text{dom}(C) = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

$$P(C = 1 | X_1 = t, X_2 = f, X_3 = t, X_4 = t) = 0.407$$

$$P(C = 2 | X_1 = t, X_2 = f, X_3 = t, X_4 = t) = 0.121$$

$$P(C = 3 | X_1 = t, X_2 = f, X_3 = t, X_4 = t) = 0.472:$$


X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	$Count$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	100
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



$A[X_1, \dots, X_4, C]$					
X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	$Count$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40.7
t	f	t	t	2	12.1
t	f	t	t	3	47.2
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots


M step

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	<i>Count</i>
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40.7
t	f	t	t	2	12.1
t	f	t	t	3	47.2
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



M step

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	<i>Count</i>
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40.7
t	f	t	t	2	12.1
t	f	t	t	3	47.2
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



$$P(C=v_i)$$

$$P(X_k = v_j | C=v_i)$$

EM sufficient statistics

- cc , a k -valued array, $cc[c]$ is the sum of the counts for $class=c$.
- fc , a 3-dimensional array such that $fc[i, v, c]$, is the sum of the counts of the augmented examples t with $X_i(t) = val$ and $class(t) = c$.

```


1: procedure  $EM(Xs, Es, k)$ 
2:    $cc[c] := 0; fc[i, v, c] := 0$ 
3:   repeat
4:      $cc\_new[c] := 0; fc\_new[i, v, c] := 0$ 
5:     for each example  $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle \in Es$  do
6:       for each  $c \in [1, k]$  do
7:          $dc := P(C = c \mid X_1 = v_1, \dots, X_n = v_n)$ 
8:          $cc\_new[c] := cc\_new[c] + dc$ 
9:         for each  $i \in [1, n]$  do
10:           $fc\_new[i, v_i, c] := fc\_new[i, v_i, c] + dc$ 
11:        $stable := (cc \approx cc\_new) \text{ and } (fc \approx fc\_new)$ 
12:        $cc := cc\_new$ 
13:        $fc := fc\_new$ 
14:   until  $stable$ 
15:   return  $cc, fc$ 

```

Learning a Belief Network

- If you
 - ▶ know the structure
 - ▶ have observed all of the variables
 - ▶ have no missing data
- you can learn each conditional probability separately.

Learning belief network example



Data

<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>
<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>
<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>
<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>
...				

→ Probabilities

$$P(A)$$

$$P(B)$$

$$P(E \mid A, B)$$

$$P(C \mid E)$$

$$P(D \mid E)$$

Learning conditional probabilities

- Each conditional probability distribution can be learned separately:
- For example:

$$\begin{aligned} P(E = t \mid A = t \wedge B = f) \\ = \frac{(\text{\#examples: } E = t \wedge A = t \wedge B = f) + c_1}{(\text{\#examples: } A = t \wedge B = f) + c} \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 and c reflect prior (expert) knowledge ($c_1 \leq c$).

- When there are many parents to a node, there can be little or no data for each probability estimate:

Learning conditional probabilities


- Each conditional probability distribution can be learned separately:
- For example:

$$P(E = t \mid A = t \wedge B = f) \\ = \frac{(\text{\#examples: } E = t \wedge A = t \wedge B = f) + c_1}{(\text{\#examples: } A = t \wedge B = f) + c}$$

where c_1 and c reflect prior (expert) knowledge ($c_1 \leq c$).

- When there are many parents to a node, there can little or no data for each probability estimate: use supervised learning to learn a decision tree, linear classifier, a neural network or other representation of the conditional probability.
- A conditional probability doesn't need to be represented as a table!

Unobserved Variables



- What if we had only observed values for A , B , C ?

A	B	C
t	f	t
f	t	t
t	t	f
...		

EM Algorithm

Augmented Data

<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>H</i>	<i>Count</i>
<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	0.7
<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>	0.3
<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>f</i>	0.9
<i>f</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>t</i>	0.1
...				...

E-step



M-step

Probabilities

$$P(A)$$

$$P(H \mid A)$$

$$P(B \mid H)$$

$$P(C \mid H)$$

EM Algorithm

- Repeat the following two steps:
 - ▶ **E-step** give the expected number of data points for the unobserved variables based on the given probability distribution. Requires probabilistic inference.
 - ▶ **M-step** infer the (maximum likelihood) probabilities from the data. This is the same as the full observable case.
- Start either with made-up data or made-up probabilities.
- EM will converge to a local maxima.

Belief network structure learning (I)

Given examples \mathbf{e} , and model m :

$$P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) \times P(m)}{P(\mathbf{e})}.$$

- A model here is a belief network.
 - A bigger network can always fit the data better.
 - $P(m)$ lets us encode a preference for simpler models (e.g, smaller networks)
- search over network structure looking for the most likely model.

A belief network structure learning algorithm

- Search over total orderings of variables.
- For each total ordering X_1, \dots, X_n use supervised learning to learn $P(X_i \mid X_1 \dots X_{i-1})$.
- Return the network model found with minimum:
 - $\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) - \log P(m)$
 - ▶ $P(\mathbf{e} \mid m)$ can be obtained by inference.
 - ▶ How to determine $-\log P(m)$?

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) Score

$$P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) \times P(m)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

$$-\log P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) \propto -\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) - \log P(m)$$

- $-\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m)$ is the negative log likelihood of model m : number of bits to describe the data in terms of the model.
- If $|\mathbf{e}|$ is the number of examples, there are different probabilities to distinguish. Each one can be described in bits.
- If there are $||m||$ independent parameters ($||m||$ is the dimensionality of the model):
$$-\log P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) \propto$$

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) Score

$$P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) \times P(m)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

$$-\log P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) \propto -\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) - \log P(m)$$

- $-\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m)$ is the negative log likelihood of model m : number of bits to describe the data in terms of the model.
- If $|\mathbf{e}|$ is the number of examples, there are $|\mathbf{e}| + 1$ different probabilities to distinguish. Each one can be described in $\log(|\mathbf{e}| + 1)$ bits.
- If there are $\|m\|$ independent parameters ($\|m\|$ is the dimensionality of the model):

$$-\log P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) \propto -\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) + \|m\| \log(|\mathbf{e}| + 1)$$

This is (approximately) the BIC score.

Belief network structure learning (II)

- Given a total ordering, to determine $parents(X_i)$ do independence tests to determine which features should be the parents
- XOR problem: just because features do not give information individually, does not mean they will not give information in combination
- Search over total orderings of variables

Missing Data

- You cannot just ignore missing data unless you know it is missing at random.
- Is the reason data is missing correlated with something of interest?
- For example: data in a clinical trial to test a drug may be missing because:

Missing Data


- You cannot just ignore missing data unless you know it is missing at random.
 - Is the reason data is missing correlated with something of interest?
 - For example: data in a clinical trial to test a drug may be missing because:
 - ▶ the patient dies
 - ▶ the patient had severe side effects
 - ▶ the patient was cured
 - ▶ the patient had to visit a sick relative.
- ignoring some of these may make the drug look better or worse than it is.
- In general you need to model why data is missing.

Causality

- An **intervention** on a variable changes its value by some mechanism outside of the model.
- A **causal model** is a model which predicts the effects of interventions.


- An **intervention** on a variable changes its value by some mechanism outside of the model.
- A **causal model** is a model which predicts the effects of interventions.
- The parents of a node are its direct causes.
- We would expect that a causal model to obey the independence assumption of a belief network.
 - ▶ All causal networks are belief networks.
 - ▶ Not all belief networks are causal networks.

Sprinkler Example



- Which probabilities change if we observe sprinkler on?

Sprinkler Example



- Which probabilities change if we observe sprinkler on?
- Which probabilities change if we turn the sprinkler on?

In a causal model:

- To intervene on a variable:
 - ▶ remove the arcs into the variable from its parents
 - ▶ set the value of the variable
- An intervention has a different effect than an observation.

In a causal model:

- To intervene on a variable:
 - ▶ remove the arcs into the variable from its parents
 - ▶ set the value of the variable
- An intervention has a different effect than an observation.
- Intervening on a variable only affects its descendants.

In a causal model:

- To intervene on a variable:
 - ▶ remove the arcs into the variable from its parents
 - ▶ set the value of the variable
- An intervention has a different effect than an observation.
- Intervening on a variable only affects its descendants.
- Can be modelled by each variable X having a new parent, “Force X ”, where X is true if “Force X ” is true and X depends on its other parents if “Force X ” is false.

Causality

- One of the following is a better causal model of the world:



...same as belief networks, but different as causal networks

Causality

- One of the following is a better causal model of the world:



...same as belief networks, but different as causal networks

- Alspace Example: <http://artint.info/tutorials/causality/marijuana.xml>

Causality

- One of the following is a better causal model of the world:



...same as belief networks, but different as causal networks

- Alspace Example: <http://artint.info/tutorials/causality/marijuana.xml>
- We can't learn causal models from observational data unless we are prepared to make modeling assumptions.
- Causal models can be learned from randomized experiments.

Causality

- One of the following is a better causal model of the world:



...same as belief networks, but different as causal networks

- Alspace Example: <http://artint.info/tutorials/causality/marijuana.xml>
- We can't learn causal models from observational data unless we are prepared to make modeling assumptions.
- Causal models can be learned from randomized experiments.
- Conjecture: causal belief networks are more natural and more concise than non-causal networks.
- Conjecture: causal model are more stable to changing circumstances (transportability)

General Learning of Belief Networks

- We have a mixture of observational data and data from randomized studies.
- We are not given the structure.
- We don't know whether there are hidden variables or not. We don't know the domain size of hidden variables.
- There is missing data.

... this is too difficult for current techniques!

Learning Objectives

At the end of the class you should be able to:

- derive Bayesian learning from first principles
- explain how the Beta and Dirichlet distributions are used for Bayesian learning.

Model Averaging (Bayesian Learning)

We want to predict the output Y of a new case that has input $X = x$ given the training examples \mathbf{e} :

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \wedge m \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

Model Averaging (Bayesian Learning)

We want to predict the output Y of a new case that has input $X = x$ given the training examples \mathbf{e} :

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \wedge m \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \mid m \wedge x \wedge \mathbf{e}) P(m \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

Model Averaging (Bayesian Learning)

We want to predict the output Y of a new case that has input $X = x$ given the training examples \mathbf{e} :

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \wedge m \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \mid m \wedge x \wedge \mathbf{e}) P(m \mid x \wedge \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{m \in M} P(Y \mid m \wedge x) P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) \end{aligned}$$

M is a set of mutually exclusive and covering models (hypotheses).

- What assumptions are made here?

Learning Under Uncertainty

- The posterior probability of a model m given examples \mathbf{e} :



$$P(m \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) \times P(m)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

- The **likelihood**, $P(\mathbf{e} \mid m)$, is the probability that model m would have produced examples \mathbf{e} .
- The **prior**, $P(m)$, encodes the learning bias
- $P(\mathbf{e})$ is a normalizing constant so the probabilities of the models sum to 1.

Plate Notation

- Examples $\mathbf{e} = [e_1, \dots, e_k]$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) given m if

$$P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) = \prod_{i=1}^k P(e_i \mid m)$$



Bayesian Learning of Probabilities

- Y has two outcomes y and $\neg y$.
We want the probability of y given training examples \mathbf{e} .
- We can treat the probability of y as a real-valued random variable on the interval $[0, 1]$, called ϕ . Bayes' rule gives:

$$P(\phi=p \mid \mathbf{e}) =$$

Bayesian Learning of Probabilities

- Y has two outcomes y and $\neg y$.
We want the probability of y given training examples \mathbf{e} .
- We can treat the probability of y as a real-valued random variable on the interval $[0, 1]$, called ϕ . Bayes' rule gives:

$$P(\phi=p \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e} \mid \phi=p) \times P(\phi=p)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

- Suppose \mathbf{e} is a sequence of n_1 instances of y and n_0 instances of $\neg y$:

$$P(\mathbf{e} \mid \phi=p) =$$

Bayesian Learning of Probabilities

- Y has two outcomes y and $\neg y$.
We want the probability of y given training examples \mathbf{e} .
- We can treat the probability of y as a real-valued random variable on the interval $[0, 1]$, called ϕ . Bayes' rule gives:


$$P(\phi=p \mid \mathbf{e}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{e} \mid \phi=p) \times P(\phi=p)}{P(\mathbf{e})}$$

- Suppose \mathbf{e} is a sequence of n_1 instances of y and n_0 instances of $\neg y$:

$$P(\mathbf{e} \mid \phi=p) = p^{n_1} \times (1 - p)^{n_0}$$

- Uniform prior: $P(\phi=p) = 1$ for all $p \in [0, 1]$.

Posterior Probabilities for Different Training Examples (beta distribution)



MAP model

- The **maximum a posteriori probability** (MAP) model is the model m that maximizes $P(m \mid \mathbf{e})$. That is, it maximizes:

$$P(\mathbf{e} \mid m) \times P(m)$$

- Thus it minimizes:

$$(-\log P(\mathbf{e} \mid m)) + (-\log P(m))$$

which is the number of bits to send the examples, \mathbf{e} , given the model m plus the number of bits to send the model m .

Averaging Over Models

- **Idea:** Rather than choosing the most likely model, average over all models, weighted by their posterior probabilities given the examples.
- If you have observed a sequence of n_1 instances of y and n_0 instances of $\neg y$, with uniform prior:
 - ▶ the most likely value (MAP) is $\frac{n_1}{n_0 + n_1}$
 - ▶ the expected value is $\frac{n_1 + 1}{n_0 + n_1 + 2}$

Beta Distribution

$$Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(p) = \frac{1}{K} p^{\alpha_1-1} \times (1-p)^{\alpha_0-1}$$

where K is a normalizing constant. $\alpha_i > 0$.

- The uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ is $Beta^{1,1}$.
- The expected value is $\alpha_1/(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)$.

If the prior probability of a Boolean variable is $Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$, the posterior distribution after observing n_1 true cases and n_0 false cases is:

Beta Distribution

$$Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}(p) = \frac{1}{K} p^{\alpha_1-1} \times (1-p)^{\alpha_0-1}$$

where K is a normalizing constant. $\alpha_i > 0$.

- The uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ is $Beta^{1,1}$.
- The expected value is $\alpha_1/(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)$.

If the prior probability of a Boolean variable is $Beta^{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$, the posterior distribution after observing n_1 true cases and n_0 false cases is:

$$Beta^{\alpha_0+n_0, \alpha_1+n_1}$$

Dirichlet distribution

- Suppose Y has k values.
- The **Dirichlet distribution** has two sorts of parameters,
 - ▶ positive counts $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$
 α_i is one more than the count of the i th outcome.
 - ▶ probability parameters p_1, \dots, p_k
 p_i is the probability of the i th outcome

$$\text{Dirichlet}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(p_1, \dots, p_k) = \frac{1}{K} \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{\alpha_j - 1}$$

where K is a normalizing constant

- The expected value of i th outcome is

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\sum_j \alpha_j}$$


Hierarchical Bayesian Model

Where do the priors come from?


Example: S_{XH} is true when patient X is sick in hospital H .

We want to learn the probability of Sick for each hospital.

Where do the prior probabilities for the hospitals come from?



(a)



(b)