

Deep Belief Networks

Seung-Hoon Na

Chonbuk National University

Boltzmann Machines

- Energy-based model define over a d -dimensional binary random vector $\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d$

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(-E(\mathbf{x}))}{Z}$$


$$E(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Boltzmann Machines with latent variables

- *Latent variables* can model higher-order interactions among the visible units.
- Boltzmann machine becomes a universal approximator of probability mass functions over discrete variables (Le Roux and Bengio, 2008)
- Decompose \mathbf{x} into the visible units \mathbf{v} and the latent (or hidden) units \mathbf{h} .

$$E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = -\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{h}$$


Boltzmann Machines



$$E(v, h) = -v^\top R v - v^\top W h - h^\top S h - b^\top v - c^\top h$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- No connections are permitted between visible units and hidden units → Bipartite graph



$$E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = -\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{h}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- Energy-based model

$$P(\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}))$$

$$E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = -\mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}$$

$$Z = \sum_{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \exp \{-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})\}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine: Conditional Distributions

- The conditional distributions $P(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{v})$ and $P(\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{h})$ are factorial and relatively simple to compute and to sample from

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{v}) &= \frac{P(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v})}{P(\mathbf{v})} \\ &= \frac{1}{P(\mathbf{v})} \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left\{ \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z'} \exp \left\{ \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z'} \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} c_j h_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}_{:,j} h_j \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z'} \prod_{j=1}^{n_h} \exp \left\{ c_j h_j + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}_{:,j} h_j \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine: Conditional Distributions


$$\begin{aligned} P(h_j = 1 \mid \mathbf{v}) &= \frac{\tilde{P}(h_j = 1 \mid \mathbf{v})}{\tilde{P}(h_j = 0 \mid \mathbf{v}) + \tilde{P}(h_j = 1 \mid \mathbf{v})} \\ &= \frac{\exp\{c_j + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}_{:,j}\}}{\exp\{0\} + \exp\{c_j + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}_{:,j}\}} \\ &= \sigma\left(c_j + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}_{:,j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$P(\mathbf{h} \mid \mathbf{v}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_h} \sigma\left((2\mathbf{h} - 1) \odot (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{v})\right)_j$$

$$P(\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{h}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_v} \sigma\left((2\mathbf{v} - 1) \odot (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h})\right)_i$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

$$E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m w_{ij} h_i v_j - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j v_j - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i h_i$$



Restricted Boltzmann Machine


$$P(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-E(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v})) \quad Z = \sum_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}} \exp(-E(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}))$$

$$E(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}) = -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{h} - \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{h}$$

$$E(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}) = -\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}_{-j}^T \mathbf{h}_{-j} - c_j h_j \\ - \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_{-j} \mathbf{h}_{-j} - \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j h_j$$

 \mathbf{h}_{-j} 와 h_j 의 항으로 **decomposition**

$$P(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}) \\ = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_{-j}^T \mathbf{h}_{-j} + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_{-j} \mathbf{h}_{-j}) \exp(c_j h_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j h_j) \\ = f(\mathbf{h}_{-j}) \exp(c_j h_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j h_j)$$

 h_j 와 독립인 항

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

$$\begin{aligned} P(h_j, \mathbf{v}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{-j}} P(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}_{-j}} f(\mathbf{h}_{-j}) \exp(c_j h_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j h_j) \\ &= \tilde{Z} \exp(c_j h_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j h_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(h_j = 1 | \mathbf{v}) &= \frac{P(h_j = 1, \mathbf{v})}{P(\mathbf{v})} = \frac{P(h_j = 1, \mathbf{v})}{P(h_j = 0, \mathbf{v}) + P(h_j = 1, \mathbf{v})} \\ &= \frac{\exp(c_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j)}{\exp(0) + \exp(c_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j)} \\ &= \sigma(c_j + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{W}_j) \end{aligned}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- The gradient of the Log-likelihood wrt \mathbf{W}

$$\log p(\mathbf{v}) = \log \sum_h P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \underbrace{\log \sum_h \exp(-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}))}_{(A)} - \underbrace{\log Z}_{(B)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(A)}{\partial \mathbf{W}} &= \sum_h \frac{\exp(-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})) \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T}{\sum_h \exp(-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}))} = \sum_h \frac{P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})}{P(\mathbf{v})} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T \\ &= \sum_h P(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T = \mathbf{v} \sum_h P(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{h}^T = \mathbf{v} E[\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v}]^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(B)}{\partial \mathbf{W}} &= \frac{\sum_v \sum_h \exp(-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})) \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T}{Z} = \sum_v \sum_h P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T \\ &= \sum_v \sum_h P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T = \sum_v P(\mathbf{v}) \sum_h P(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T = E[\mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}^T] \end{aligned}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbb{E}[h_i v_j | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] - \mathbb{E}[h_i v_j]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbb{E}[h_i | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] - \mathbb{E}[h_i]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_j} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbb{E}[v_j | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] - \mathbb{E}[v_j]$$

- Notation

$$\mathbb{E}[h_i v_j | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] = \langle h_i v_j \rangle_{data}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[h_i v_j] = \langle h_i v_j \rangle_{model}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- The gradient of the log-likelihood wrt $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$
- Vectorize everything

$$-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{c}$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{h} \mathbf{v}^T | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] - \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{h} \mathbf{v}^T]$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{b}} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{h} | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] - \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{h}]$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{c}} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n] - \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{v}]$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- Z : Partition function

$$\log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \log \left(\sum_{\mathbf{h}} \exp(-E(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{h})) \right) - \log Z$$

Partition function



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \overbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) \middle| \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n \right]}^{\text{positive statistic}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) \right]}_{\text{negative statistic}}$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine: MC sampling

- The negative statistic is the real problem \rightarrow MC sampling
- With M true samples $(\mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{h}_m)$ from the distribution defined by the RBM, we could approximate

$$\mathbb{E}[h_i v_j] \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M h_{mi} v_{mj}$$

- We can get these samples by initializing N independent Markov chain at each data point \mathbf{v}_n and running until convergence $(\mathbf{v}_n^\infty, \mathbf{h}_n^\infty)$

$$\mathbb{E}[h_i v_j] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N h_{ni}^\infty v_{nj}^\infty$$


Restricted Boltzmann Machine: MCMC - Gibbs

- Alternating Gibbs


$$\mathbf{v}_n^0 = \mathbf{v}_n$$

$$\mathbf{h}_n^k \sim P(\mathbf{h} | \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n^k) \text{ for } k \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{v}_n^k \sim P(\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_n^{k-1}) \text{ for } k \geq 1$$



A picture of the maximum likelihood learning algorithm for an RBM




Start with a training vector on the visible units.

Then alternate between updating all the hidden units in parallel and updating all the visible units in parallel.

$$\frac{\partial \log p(v)}{\partial w_{ij}} = \langle v_i h_j \rangle^0 - \langle v_i h_j \rangle^\infty$$

<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~hinton/csc253>

RBM as an infinite logistic belief net with tied weights



$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{v}^0)}{\partial w_{ij}} = \langle v_i^0 h_j^0 \rangle - \langle v_i^\infty h_j^\infty \rangle$$

The learning rule of RBM is the same as the maximum likelihood learning rule for the infinite logistic belief net with tied weights [Hinton et al '06]

an infinite logistic belief net
with tied weights

Restricted Boltzmann Machine: Contrastive divergence

- Run the Markov chain for only one step, get samples $(\mathbf{v}_n^1, \mathbf{h}_n^1)$, assume that

$$\mathbb{E}[h_i v_j] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N h_{ni}^1 v_{nj}^1$$

→ 1-step CD

- Use the smoothed “reconstructions” in their place in gradient calculations

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^1 = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_n^0] = \sigma(W^T \mathbf{h}_n^0 + c)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_n^1 = \sigma(W \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v} | \mathbf{h}_n^0] + b) = \sigma(W \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^1 + b)$$

Restricted Boltzmann Machine: Contrastive divergence

- The contrastive divergence gradients on data point \mathbf{v}_n

$$\mathbf{h}_n^0 \sim P(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_n)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^1 = \sigma(W^T \mathbf{h}_n^0 + c)$$


$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_n^1 = \sigma(W \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^1 + b)$$

$$\nabla_W^{CD} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \sigma(W \mathbf{v}_n + b) \mathbf{v}_n^T - \hat{\mathbf{h}}_n^1 \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^{1T}$$

$$\nabla_b^{CD} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \sigma(W \mathbf{v}_n + b) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}_n^1$$

$$\nabla_c^{CD} \log P(\mathbf{v}_n) = \mathbf{v}_n - \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n^1$$

Contrastive divergence: A quick way to learn an RBM



Start with a training vector on the visible units.

Update all the hidden units in parallel

Update the all the visible units in parallel to get a “reconstruction”.

Update the hidden units again.

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \varepsilon (\langle v_i h_j \rangle^0 - \langle v_i h_j \rangle^1)$$

This is not following the gradient of the log likelihood. But it works well. It is approximately following the gradient of another objective function (Carreira-Perpinan & Hinton, 2005).

Partition function

- Normalized probability

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Partition function

- Partition function

$$\int \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \qquad \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})$$

Log-Likelihood Gradient

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underbrace{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{Positive phrase}} - \underbrace{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{Negative phrase}}$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log Z = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x})} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \log Z &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} Z}{Z} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})}{Z} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})}{Z} \end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \exp(\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}))}{Z}}{Z} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \exp(\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})}{Z} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})}{Z} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

MCMC Algorithm: Basic

- Burning in a set of Markov chains from a random initialization every time the gradient is needed

Algorithm 18.1 A naive MCMC algorithm for maximizing the log-likelihood with an intractable partition function using gradient ascent.

Set ϵ , the step size, to a small positive number.

Set k , the number of Gibbs steps, high enough to allow burn in. Perhaps 100 to train an RBM on a small image patch.

while not converged **do**

 Sample a minibatch of m examples $\{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\}$ from the training set.

$\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$.

 Initialize a set of m samples $\{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(m)}\}$ to random values (e.g., from a uniform or normal distribution, or possibly a distribution with marginals matched to the model's marginals).

for $i = 1$ to k **do**

for $j = 1$ to m **do**

$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)} \leftarrow \text{gibbs_update}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)})$.

end for

end for


$\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$.

$\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + \epsilon \mathbf{g}$.


end while

MCMC Algorithm

The positive phase



The negative phase



Contrastive Divergence [Hinton '00]

- Initializes the Markov chain at each step with samples from the data distribution

Algorithm 18.2 The contrastive divergence algorithm, using gradient ascent as the optimization procedure.

Set ϵ , the step size, to a small positive number.

Set k , the number of Gibbs steps, high enough to allow a Markov chain sampling from $p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ to mix when initialized from p_{data} . Perhaps 1-20 to train an RBM on a small image patch.

while not converged **do**

 Sample a minibatch of m examples $\{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\}$ from the training set.

$\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$.

for $i = 1$ to m **do**

$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$.

end for

for $i = 1$ to k **do**

for $j = 1$ to m **do**

$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)} \leftarrow \text{gibbs_update}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(j)})$.

end for

end for

$\mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \tilde{p}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$.


$\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + \epsilon \mathbf{g}$.

end while

Contrastive Divergence

- The negative phase of CD can fail to suppress spurious modes

A spurious mode



Restricted Boltzmann Machine: Summary

- Conditional probs.

- $P(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{j=1} \sigma((2\mathbf{h} - 1) \odot (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{v}))_j$

- $P(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{h}) = \prod_{j=1} \sigma((2\mathbf{v} - 1) \odot (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}))_j$

- Easy to sample from.

- Training RBM


- $-\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{v})}{\partial w_{ij}} = \langle v_i h_j \rangle_{data} - \langle v_i h_j \rangle_{model}$

- $\Delta w_{ij} = \eta (\langle v_i h_j \rangle_{data} - \langle v_i h_j \rangle_{model})$

- Based on sampling: MCMC, CD, PSD

Deep Belief Networks (DBN)

- Generative models with several layers of latent variables
- No intra-layer connections
 - The connections between the top two layers are undirected
 - The connections between all other layers are directed



a hybrid graphical model involving both directed and undirected connections

A DBN with only one hidden layer = RBM

Training DBN:

Greedy layer-wise pretraining


- The first layer RBM is trained to approximately maximize $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log p(\mathbf{v})$
 - Contrastive divergence or stochastic maximum likelihood
- The second RBM is trained to approximately maximize $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{v} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{h}^{(1)} \sim p^{(1)}(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}|\mathbf{v})} \log p^{(2)}(\mathbf{h}^{(1)})$

DBN: Representation Learning


Greedy layer-wise unsupervised pretraining [Hinton '06]

- Proceeds one layer at a time, training the k-th layer while keeping the previous ones fixed
- The lower layers are not adapted when the upper


$$\text{Maximize } E_{v \sim p_{data}} \log p(v)$$



1. Train RBM for x



2. Train RBM for h_1




3. Train RBM for h_2 and y

Approximately
maximize

$$\mathbb{E}_{v \sim p_{data}} \mathbb{E}_{h^{(1)} \sim p^{(1)}(h^{(1)}|v)} \log p^{(2)}(h^{(1)})$$

Training DBN: Wake-sleep fine tuning



- **Untie** the recognition weights W_i^T from the generative weights W_i
- Perform wake-sleep algorithm
- 1) **Up-pass (wake stage)**

- Picks a state for every hidden variable using W_i^T
- Adjust generative weights W_i

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{v}^0)}{\partial w_{ij}^{00}} = \langle h_j^0 (v_i^0 - \hat{v}_i^0) \rangle$$


- 2) **Down-pass (sleep stage)**
 - Stochastically activate each lower layer using W_i
 - Update only recognition weights W_i^T

DBN for classification:

A model of digit recognition

The top two layers form an associative memory whose energy landscape models the low dimensional manifolds of the digits.

The energy valleys have names →



The model learns to generate combinations of labels and images.


To perform recognition we start with a neutral state of the label units and do an up-pass from the image followed by a few iterations of the top-level associative memory.

Deep Boltzmann Machine

- Unlike DBN, DBM is an entirely undirected model

$$P\left(v, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}\right) = \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \exp\left(-E(v, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}; \theta)\right)$$


$$E(v, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}; \theta) = -v^{\top} W^{(1)} h^{(1)} - h^{(1)\top} W^{(2)} h^{(2)} - h^{(2)\top} W^{(3)} h^{(3)}$$



Connections are only between units in neighboring layers. There are no intralayer connections

Deep Boltzmann Machine

- The bipartite structure of the DBM
 - We can apply the same equations we have previously used for the conditional distributions of an RBM to determine the conditional distributions in a DBM



Deep Boltzmann Machine

- DBM with two hidden layers

$$P(v_i = 1 \mid \mathbf{h}^{(1)}) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{W}_{i,:}^{(1)} \mathbf{h}^{(1)} \right)$$

$$P(h_i^{(1)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)}) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{W}_{:,i}^{(1)} + \mathbf{W}_{i,:}^{(2)} \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$P(h_k^{(2)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{h}^{(1)}) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{h}^{(1)\top} \mathbf{W}_{:,k}^{(2)} \right)$$

- The bipartite structure
 - Makes Gibbs sampling in a DBM efficient
 - Gibbs sampling can be divided into two blocks of updates
 - Block1: including all even layers (including the visible layer)
 - Block2: including all odd layers.

Deep Boltzmann Machine

- Interesting Properties
 - The posterior distrib. $P(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v})$ is simple
 - Allows richer approximations of the posterior
 - Interesting from the point of view of neuroscience
 - The use of proper mean field allows the approximate inference procedure for DBMs to capture the influence of top-down feedback interactions
 - Sampling is relatively difficult
 - Need to use MCMC across all layers, with every layer of the model participating in every Markov chain transition

Deep Boltzmann Machine

- Mean field approximation for two hidden layers
- $Q(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v})$ approx of $P(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v})$

$$Q(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v}) = \prod_j Q(h_j^{(1)} \mid \mathbf{v}) \prod_k Q(h_k^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v})$$

- The mean field approach is to minimize

$$\text{KL}(Q \parallel P) = \sum_{\mathbf{h}} Q(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v}) \log \left(\frac{Q(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v})}{P(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v})} \right)$$

- Parametrize Q as a product of Bernoulli distributions

$$\hat{h}_j^{(1)} = Q(h_j^{(1)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{v}) \quad \hat{h}_k^{(2)} = Q(h_k^{(2)} = 1 \mid \mathbf{v})$$

Deep Boltzmann Machine

- We have the following approximation to the posterior

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v}) &= \prod_j Q(h_j^{(1)} \mid \mathbf{v}) \prod_k Q(h_k^{(2)} \mid \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \prod_j (\hat{h}_j^{(1)})^{h_j^{(1)}} (1 - \hat{h}_j^{(1)})^{(1-h_j^{(1)})} \times \prod_k (\hat{h}_k^{(2)})^{h_k^{(2)}} (1 - \hat{h}_k^{(2)})^{(1-h_k^{(2)})} \end{aligned}$$

- Applying the mean field equations, we obtain

$$\hat{h}_j^{(1)} = \sigma \left(\sum_i v_i W_{i,j}^{(1)} + \sum_{k'} W_{j,k'}^{(2)} \hat{h}_{k'}^{(2)} \right), \quad \forall j,$$

$$\hat{h}_k^{(2)} = \sigma \left(\sum_{j'} W_{j',k}^{(2)} \hat{h}_{j'}^{(1)} \right), \quad \forall k.$$

Deep Boltzmann Machine

- DBM Parameter Learning
 - ELBO: The variational lower bound on $\log P(\mathbf{v}; \theta)$

$$\mathcal{L}(Q, \theta) = \sum_i \sum_{j'} v_i W_{i,j'}^{(1)} \hat{h}_{j'}^{(1)} + \sum_{j'} \sum_{k'} \hat{h}_{j'}^{(1)} W_{j',k'}^{(2)} \hat{h}_{k'}^{(2)} \\ - \log Z(\theta) + \mathcal{H}(Q)$$

Deep Boltzmann Machine

Algorithm 20.1 The variational stochastic maximum likelihood algorithm for training a DBM with two hidden layers

Set ϵ , the step size, to a small positive number

Set k , the number of Gibbs steps, high enough to allow a Markov chain of $p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)}; \boldsymbol{\theta} + \epsilon \Delta_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ to burn in, starting from samples from $p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}^{(2)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$.

Initialize three matrices, $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}$ each with m rows set to random values (e.g., from Bernoulli distributions, possibly with marginals matched to the model's marginals).

while not converged (learning loop) **do**

Sample a minibatch of m examples from the training data and arrange them as the rows of a design matrix \mathbf{V} .

Initialize matrices $\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}$, possibly to the model's marginals.

while not converged (mean field inference loop) **do**

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \leftarrow \sigma \left(\mathbf{V} \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)} \mathbf{W}^{(2)\top} \right).$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)} \leftarrow \sigma \left(\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \mathbf{W}^{(2)} \right).$$

end while

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{V}^{\top} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$$

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)\top} \hat{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}$$

Deep Boltzmann Machine

for $l = 1$ to k (Gibbs sampling) **do**

 Gibbs block 1:

$$\forall i, j, \tilde{V}_{i,j} \text{ sampled from } P(\tilde{V}_{i,j} = 1) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{W}_{j,:}^{(1)} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,:}^{(1)} \right)^\top \right).$$

$$\forall i, j, \tilde{H}_{i,j}^{(2)} \text{ sampled from } P(\tilde{H}_{i,j}^{(2)} = 1) = \sigma \left(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,:}^{(1)} \mathbf{W}_{:,j}^{(2)} \right).$$

 Gibbs block 2:

$$\forall i, j, \tilde{H}_{i,j}^{(1)} \text{ sampled from } P(\tilde{H}_{i,j}^{(1)} = 1) = \sigma \left(\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{i,:} \mathbf{W}_{:,j}^{(1)} + \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{i,:}^{(2)} \mathbf{W}_{j,:}^{(2)\top} \right).$$

end for

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} \leftarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} - \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{V}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$$

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}} \leftarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}} - \frac{1}{m} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)\top} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}$$

$\mathbf{W}^{(1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}^{(1)} + \epsilon \Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}}$ (this is a cartoon illustration, in practice use a more effective algorithm, such as momentum with a decaying learning rate)

$$\mathbf{W}^{(2)} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}^{(2)} + \epsilon \Delta_{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}}$$

end while