



You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection (2016)



Taegyun Jeon

Redmon, Joseph, et al. "You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection."
Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2016.



Main Concept

- **Object Detection**
 - Regression problem
- **YOLO**
 - Only One Feedforward
 - Global context
- **Unified (Real-time detection)**
 - YOLO: 45 FPS
 - Fast YOLO: 155 FPS
- **General representation**
 - Robust on various background
 - Other domain

Abstract

We present YOLO, a new approach to object detection. Prior work on object detection repurposes classifiers to perform detection. Instead, we frame object detection as a regression problem to spatially separated bounding boxes and associated class probabilities. A single neural network predicts bounding boxes and class probabilities directly from full images in one evaluation. Since the whole detection pipeline is a single network, it can be optimized end-to-end directly on detection performance.

Our unified architecture is extremely fast. Our base YOLO model processes images in real-time at 45 frames per second. A smaller version of the network, Fast YOLO, processes an astounding 155 frames per second while still achieving double the mAP of other real-time detectors. Compared to state-of-the-art detection systems, YOLO makes more localization errors but is less likely to predict false positives on background. Finally, YOLO learns very general representations of objects. It outperforms other detection methods, including DPM and R-CNN, when generalizing from natural images to other domains like artwork.

Object Detection as Regression Problem




- **Previous:** Repurpose **classifiers** to perform **detection**

- Deformable Parts Models (DPM)

- Sliding window

- R-CNN based methods

- 1) generate potential bounding boxes.
 - 2) run classifiers on these proposed boxes
 - 3) post-processing (refinement, elimination, rescore)



Object Detection as Regression Problem

- **YOLO: Single Regression Problem**

- Image $\rightarrow$ bounding box coordinate and class probability.

- Extremely Fast
- Global reasoning
- Generalizable representation




Figure 1: The YOLO Detection System. Processing images with YOLO is simple and straightforward. Our system (1) resizes the input image to 448×448 , (2) runs a single convolutional network on the image, and (3) thresholds the resulting detections by the model's confidence.


Unified Detection

- All BBox, All classes

1) Image $\rightarrow S \times S$ grids

2) grid cell

$\rightarrow$ **B**: BBoxes and Confidence score



$$\Pr(\text{Object}) * \text{IOU}_{\text{pred}}^{\text{truth}}$$

$\rightarrow$ **C**: class probabilities w.r.t #classes

$$\Pr(\text{Class}_i | \text{Object})$$





Figure 2: The Model. Our system models detection as a regression problem. It divides the image into an $S \times S$ grid and for each grid cell predicts B bounding boxes, confidence for those boxes, and C class probabilities. These predictions are encoded as an $S \times S \times (B * 5 + C)$ tensor.

Intersection over Union (IoU)


- $\text{IoU}(\text{pred}, \text{truth}) = [0, 1]$

$$\text{IoU}(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

$$\text{IoU} = \frac{\text{Area of Overlap}}{\text{Area of Union}}$$




IoU: 0.4034



Poor

IoU: 0.7330




Good

IoU: 0.9264



Excellent



Unified Detection

- Predict one set of class probabilities per grid cell, regardless of the number of boxes B .
- At test time, individual box confidence prediction

$$\Pr(\text{Class}_i | \text{Object}) * \Pr(\text{Object}) * \text{IOU}_{\text{pred}}^{\text{truth}}$$
$$= \Pr(\text{Class}_i) * \text{IOU}_{\text{pred}}^{\text{truth}}$$





Figure 2: The Model. Our system models detection as a regression problem. It divides the image into an $S \times S$ grid and for each grid cell predicts B bounding boxes, confidence for those boxes, and C class probabilities. These predictions are encoded as an $S \times S \times (B * 5 + C)$ tensor.

Network Design: YOLO


- Modified GoogLeNet
- 1x1 reduction layer (“Network in Network”)

Our network architecture is inspired by the GoogLeNet model for image classification [34]. Our network has 24 convolutional layers followed by 2 fully connected layers. Instead of the inception modules used by GoogLeNet, we simply use 1×1 reduction layers followed by 3×3 convolutional layers, similar to Lin et al [22]. The full network is shown in Figure 3.




Network Design: YOLO-tiny (9 Conv. Layers)

YOLO



YOLO-Tiny




Conv. layer	9
Fully conn. Layer	2

Training

1) Pretrain with ImageNet 1000-class competition dataset

We pretrain our convolutional layers on the ImageNet 1000-class competition dataset [30]. For pretraining we use the first 20 convolutional layers from Figure 3 followed by an average-pooling layer and a fully connected layer. We train this network for approximately a week and achieve a single crop top-5 accuracy of 88% on the ImageNet 2012 validation set, comparable to the GoogLeNet models in Caffe's Model Zoo [24]. We use the Darknet framework for all training and inference [26].





Training


2) “Network on Convolutional Feature Maps”

Increased input resolution (224×224)


We then convert the model to perform detection. Ren et al. show that adding both convolutional and connected layers to pretrained networks can improve performance [29]. Following their example, we add four convolutional layers and two fully connected layers with randomly initialized weights. Detection often requires fine-grained visual information so we increase the input resolution of the network from 224×224 to 448×448 .









Tensor values interpretation




Our final layer predicts both class probabilities and bounding box coordinates. We normalize the bounding box width and height by the image width and height so that they fall between 0 and 1. We parametrize the bounding box x and y coordinates to be offsets of a particular grid cell location so they are also bounded between 0 and 1.




Tensor values interpretation





Tensor values interpretation



Our final layer predicts both class probabilities and bounding box coordinates. We normalize the bounding box width and height by the image width and height so that they fall between 0 and 1. We parametrize the bounding box x and y coordinates to be offsets of a particular grid cell location so they are also bounded between 0 and 1.

Loss Function (sum-squared error)

loss function:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \right] \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[\left(\sqrt{w_i} - \sqrt{\hat{w}_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{h_i} - \sqrt{\hat{h}_i} \right)^2 \right] \\
 & + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \\
 & + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \mathbb{1}_i^{\text{obj}} \sum_{c \in \text{classes}} (p_i(c) - \hat{p}_i(c))^2 \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

model. We use sum-squared error because it is easy to optimize, however it does not perfectly align with our goal of maximizing average precision. It weights localization error equally with classification error which may not be ideal. Also, in every image many grid cells do not contain any object. This pushes the “confidence” scores of those cells towards zero, often overpowering the gradient from cells that do contain objects. This can lead to model instability, causing training to diverge early on.

To remedy this, we increase the loss from bounding box coordinate predictions and decrease the loss from confidence predictions for boxes that don’t contain objects. We use two parameters, λ_{coord} and λ_{noobj} to accomplish this. We set $\lambda_{\text{coord}} = 5$ and $\lambda_{\text{noobj}} = .5$.


$$\lambda_{\text{coord}}=5, \quad \lambda_{\text{noobj}}=0.5$$

Loss Function (sum-squared error)

loss function:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \right] \\ & + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[\left(\sqrt{w_i} - \sqrt{\hat{w}_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{h_i} - \sqrt{\hat{h}_i} \right)^2 \right] \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \\ & + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \mathbb{1}_i^{\text{obj}} \sum_{c \in \text{classes}} (p_i(c) - \hat{p}_i(c))^2 \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Sum-squared error also equally weights errors in large boxes and small boxes. Our error metric should reflect that small deviations in large boxes matter less than in small boxes. To partially address this we predict the square root of the bounding box width and height instead of the width and height directly.



Loss Function (sum-squared error)

loss function:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \right] \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[\left(\sqrt{w_i} - \sqrt{\hat{w}_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{h_i} - \sqrt{\hat{h}_i} \right)^2 \right] \\
 & + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^B \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 \\
 & + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \mathbb{1}_i^{\text{obj}} \sum_{c \in \text{classes}} (p_i(c) - \hat{p}_i(c))^2 \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}}$$

The j th bbox predictor in *cell* i is “responsible” for that prediction

$$\mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{noobj}}$$

$$\mathbb{1}_i^{\text{obj}}$$

If object appears in *cell* i

Note that the loss function only penalizes classification error if an object is present in that grid cell (hence the conditional class probability discussed earlier). It also only penalizes bounding box coordinate error if that predictor is “responsible” for the ground truth box (i.e. has the highest IOU of any predictor in that grid cell).

Train strategy

epochs=135

batch_size=64

momentum_a = 0.9

decay=0.0005

lr=[10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4}]

dropout_rate=0.5

augmentation

=[scaling, translation, exposure, saturation]

We train the network for about 135 epochs on the training and validation data sets from PASCAL VOC 2007 and 2012. When testing on 2012 we also include the VOC 2007 test data for training. Throughout training we use a batch size of 64, a momentum of 0.9 and a decay of 0.0005.

Our learning rate schedule is as follows: For the first epochs we slowly raise the learning rate from 10^{-3} to 10^{-2} . If we start at a high learning rate our model often diverges due to unstable gradients. We continue training with 10^{-2} for 75 epochs, then 10^{-3} for 30 epochs, and finally 10^{-4} for 30 epochs.

To avoid overfitting we use dropout and extensive data augmentation. A dropout layer with rate = .5 after the first connected layer prevents co-adaptation between layers [18]. For data augmentation we introduce random scaling and translations of up to 20% of the original image size. We also randomly adjust the exposure and saturation of the image by up to a factor of 1.5 in the HSV color space.

Inference

Just like in training?


S=7, B=2 for Pascal VOC

2.3. Inference


Just like in training, predicting detections for a test image only requires one network evaluation. On PASCAL VOC the network predicts 98 bounding boxes per image and class probabilities for each box. YOLO is extremely fast at test time since it only requires a single network evaluation, unlike classifier-based methods.

The grid design enforces spatial diversity in the bounding box predictions. Often it is clear which grid cell an object falls in to and the network only predicts one box for each object. However, some large objects or objects near the border of multiple cells can be well localized by multiple cells. Non-maximal suppression can be used to fix these multiple detections. While not critical to performance as it is for R-CNN or DPM, non-maximal suppression adds 2-3% in mAP.


Inference




Inference




Inference




Inference




Inference




Inference




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation




Inference




Tensor values interpretation





Total $7 \times 7 \times 2 = 98$ bboxes




Look at detection procedure










Get first class scores for each bbox









How it works

Non-Maximum Suppression: intuition


1x98



bb47	bb20	bb15	bb7								bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98
0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1								0	0	0	0




bb47	bb20	bb15	bb7								bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98
0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1								0	0	0	0



bb47	bb20	bb15	bb7								bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98
0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1								0	0	0	0



										bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98		
bb47	bb20	bb15	bb7												
0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1									0	0	0	0



A photograph of a dog sitting on a wooden deck next to a red bicycle. The dog is framed by an orange bounding box. A dashed orange line indicates a path from the dog to the top-left corner of the image.


Get bbox with max score. Let's denote it "bbox_max"

Compare “**bbox_max**” with others less score (non-zero!) bboxes. Let’s denote it “**bbox_cur**”

Non-Maximum Suppression: intuition

class (dog) scores for each bbox

bb47 bb20 bb15 bb7										bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98	
class: dog	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1						0	0	0	0	1x98




If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox_max}, \text{bbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to bbox_cur .

Non-Maximum Suppression: intuition

class (dog) scores for each bbox


bb47 bb20 bb15 bb7										bb1 bb4 bb8 bb98				
class: dog	0.5	0	0.2	0.1						0	0	0	0	1x98



If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox_max}, \text{bbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to bbox_cur .

In this case: set to 0.

bb47	bb20	bb15	bb7									bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98
0.5	0	0.2	0.1									0	0	0	0



Go to next `bbox_cur`.


A photograph of a dog sitting on a wooden deck next to a red bicycle. The dog is enclosed in an orange bounding box, and the bicycle is enclosed in a blue bounding box. Dashed lines of the same colors extend from the boxes towards the top of the image.

If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox_max}, \text{bbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to bbox_cur .

Non-Maximum Suppression: intuition

class (dog) scores for each bbox

bb47 bb20 bb15 bb7										bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98	
class: dog	0.5	0	0.2	0.1						0	0	0	0	1x98




Go to next `bbbox_cur`.

If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbbox_max}, \text{bbbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to `bbbox_cur`.

In this case: continue.

bb47	bb20	bb15	bb7								bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98
0.5	0	0.2	0.1								0	0	0	0



Go to next `bbox_cur`.

A photograph of a dog sitting on a wooden deck next to a red bicycle. The dog is enclosed in an orange bounding box, and the bicycle is enclosed in a magenta bounding box. Dashed lines of the same colors extend from the corners of the bounding boxes towards the top of the image.

If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox_max}, \text{bbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to bbox_cur .


A photograph of a dog sitting on a wooden deck next to a red bicycle. The dog is enclosed in an orange bounding box, and the bicycle is enclosed in a magenta bounding box. Dashed lines of the same colors extend from the boxes to the top of the image.

If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox_max}, \text{bbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to bbox_cur .

Non-Maximum Suppression: intuition

class (dog) scores for each bbox

bb47 bb20 bb15 bb7										bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98	
class: dog	0.5	0	0.2	0.1						0	0	0	0	1x98



Go to next **bbox_{cur}**.

If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox}_{\text{max}}, \text{bbox}_{\text{cur}}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to **bbox_{cur}**.


In this case: continue.

Do this procedure for other “bbox_{cur}”. After that ...


A photograph of a dog sitting next to a red bicycle on a wooden deck. A blue dashed line indicates a bounding box for the dog, and a blue solid line indicates a bounding box for the bicycle.

Go to next bbox with big score.
Let's denote it "bbox_max"

bb47 bb20 bb15 bb7										bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98
0.5	0	0.2	0.1							0	0	0	0





Go to next `bbox_cur`.



Go to next `bbox_cur`.


If $\text{IoU}(\text{bbox_max}, \text{bbox_cur}) > 0.5$ then set 0 score to bbox_cur .




Non-Maximum Suppression: intuition

class (dog) scores for each bbox


bb47 bb20 bb15 bb7										bb1	bb4	bb8	bb98	
class: dog	0.5	0	0.2	0						0	0	0	0	1x98




After comparison almost all pairs of bboxes the only two bboxes left with non-zero class score value.




Do this procedure for next class





Do this procedure for all classes







After this procedure -
a lot of zeros





Select bboxes to draw by
class score values









Limitation of YOLO

- Group of small objects
- Unusual aspect ratios
- Coarse feature
- Localization error of bounding box

2.4. Limitations of YOLO


YOLO imposes strong spatial constraints on bounding box predictions since each grid cell only predicts two boxes and can only have one class. This spatial constraint limits the number of nearby objects that our model can predict. Our model struggles with small objects that appear in groups, such as flocks of birds.

Since our model learns to predict bounding boxes from data, it struggles to generalize to objects in new or unusual aspect ratios or configurations. Our model also uses relatively coarse features for predicting bounding boxes since our architecture has multiple downsampling layers from the input image.

Finally, while we train on a loss function that approximates detection performance, our loss function treats errors the same in small bounding boxes versus large bounding boxes. A small error in a large box is generally benign but a small error in a small box has a much greater effect on IOU. Our main source of error is incorrect localizations.

Comparison to Other Detection System

Big picture



Comparison to Other Real-Time System

Real-Time Detectors	Train	mAP	FPS
100Hz DPM [31]	2007	16.0	100
30Hz DPM [31]	2007	26.1	30
Fast YOLO	2007+2012	52.7	155
YOLO	2007+2012	63.4	45
Less Than Real-Time			
Fastest DPM [38]	2007	30.4	15
R-CNN Minus R [20]	2007	53.5	6
Fast R-CNN [14]	2007+2012	70.0	0.5
Faster R-CNN VGG-16[28]	2007+2012	73.2	7
Faster R-CNN ZF [28]	2007+2012	62.1	18
YOLO VGG-16	2007+2012	66.4	21

Table 1: Real-Time Systems on PASCAL VOC 2007. Comparing the performance and speed of fast detectors. Fast YOLO is the fastest detector on record for PASCAL VOC detection and is still twice as accurate as any other real-time detector. YOLO is 10 mAP more accurate than the fast version while still well above real-time in speed.

VOC Error

- Correct: correct class and $\text{IOU} > .5$
- Localization: correct class, $.1 < \text{IOU} < .5$
- Similar: class is similar, $\text{IOU} > .1$
- Other: class is wrong, $\text{IOU} > .1$
- Background: $\text{IOU} < .1$ for any object




Figure 4: Error Analysis: Fast R-CNN vs. YOLO These charts show the percentage of localization and background errors in the top N detections for various categories (N = # objects in that category).

Combining Fast R-CNN and YOLO

	mAP	Combined	Gain
Fast R-CNN	71.8	-	-
Fast R-CNN (2007 data)	66.9	72.4	.6
Fast R-CNN (VGG-M)	59.2	72.4	.6
Fast R-CNN (CaffeNet)	57.1	72.1	.3
YOLO	63.4	75.0	3.2


Table 2: Model combination experiments on VOC 2007. We examine the effect of combining various models with the best version of Fast R-CNN. Other versions of Fast R-CNN provide only a small benefit while YOLO provides a significant performance boost.

VOC 2012 Leaderboard

VOC 2012 test	mAP	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	person	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv
MR_CNN_MORE_DATA [11]	73.9	85.5	82.9	76.6	57.8	62.7	79.4	77.2	86.6	55.0	79.1	62.2	87.0	83.4	84.7	78.9	45.3	73.4	65.8	80.3	74.0
HyperNet_VGG	71.4	84.2	78.5	73.6	55.6	53.7	78.7	79.8	87.7	49.6	74.9	52.1	86.0	81.7	83.3	81.8	48.6	73.5	59.4	79.9	65.7
HyperNet_SP	71.3	84.1	78.3	73.3	55.5	53.6	78.6	79.6	87.5	49.5	74.9	52.1	85.6	81.6	83.2	81.6	48.4	73.2	59.3	79.7	65.6
Fast R-CNN + YOLO	70.7	83.4	78.5	73.5	55.8	43.4	79.1	73.1	89.4	49.4	75.5	57.0	87.5	80.9	81.0	74.7	41.8	71.5	68.5	82.1	67.2
MR_CNN_S_CNN [11]	70.7	85.0	79.6	71.5	55.3	57.7	76.0	73.9	84.6	50.5	74.3	61.7	85.5	79.9	81.7	76.4	41.0	69.0	61.2	77.7	72.1
Faster R-CNN [28]	70.4	84.9	79.8	74.3	53.9	49.8	77.5	75.9	88.5	45.6	77.1	55.3	86.9	81.7	80.9	79.6	40.1	72.6	60.9	81.2	61.5
DEEP_ENS_COCO	70.1	84.0	79.4	71.6	51.9	51.1	74.1	72.1	88.6	48.3	73.4	57.8	86.1	80.0	80.7	70.4	46.6	69.6	68.8	75.9	71.4
NoC [29]	68.8	82.8	79.0	71.6	52.3	53.7	74.1	69.0	84.9	46.9	74.3	53.1	85.0	81.3	79.5	72.2	38.9	72.4	59.5	76.7	68.1
Fast R-CNN [14]	68.4	82.3	78.4	70.8	52.3	38.7	77.8	71.6	89.3	44.2	73.0	55.0	87.5	80.5	80.8	72.0	35.1	68.3	65.7	80.4	64.2
UMICH_FGS_STRUCT	66.4	82.9	76.1	64.1	44.6	49.4	70.3	71.2	84.6	42.7	68.6	55.8	82.7	77.1	79.9	68.7	41.4	69.0	60.0	72.0	66.2
NUS_NIN_C2000 [7]	63.8	80.2	73.8	61.9	43.7	43.0	70.3	67.6	80.7	41.9	69.7	51.7	78.2	75.2	76.9	65.1	38.6	68.3	58.0	68.7	63.3
BabyLearning [7]	63.2	78.0	74.2	61.3	45.7	42.7	68.2	66.8	80.2	40.6	70.0	49.8	79.0	74.5	77.9	64.0	35.3	67.9	55.7	68.7	62.6
NUS_NIN	62.4	77.9	73.1	62.6	39.5	43.3	69.1	66.4	78.9	39.1	68.1	50.0	77.2	71.3	76.1	64.7	38.4	66.9	56.2	66.9	62.7
R-CNN VGG BB [13]	62.4	79.6	72.7	61.9	41.2	41.9	65.9	66.4	84.6	38.5	67.2	46.7	82.0	74.8	76.0	65.2	35.6	65.4	54.2	67.4	60.3
R-CNN VGG [13]	59.2	76.8	70.9	56.6	37.5	36.9	62.9	63.6	81.1	35.7	64.3	43.9	80.4	71.6	74.0	60.0	30.8	63.4	52.0	63.5	58.7
YOLO	57.9	77.0	67.2	57.7	38.3	22.7	68.3	55.9	81.4	36.2	60.8	48.5	77.2	72.3	71.3	63.5	28.9	52.2	54.8	73.9	50.8
Feature Edit [33]	56.3	74.6	69.1	54.4	39.1	33.1	65.2	62.7	69.7	30.8	56.0	44.6	70.0	64.4	71.1	60.2	33.3	61.3	46.4	61.7	57.8
R-CNN BB [13]	53.3	71.8	65.8	52.0	34.1	32.6	59.6	60.0	69.8	27.6	52.0	41.7	69.6	61.3	68.3	57.8	29.6	57.8	40.9	59.3	54.1
SDS [16]	50.7	69.7	58.4	48.5	28.3	28.8	61.3	57.5	70.8	24.1	50.7	35.9	64.9	59.1	65.8	57.1	26.0	58.8	38.6	58.9	50.7
R-CNN [13]	49.6	68.1	63.8	46.1	29.4	27.9	56.6	57.0	65.9	26.5	48.7	39.5	66.2	57.3	65.4	53.2	26.2	54.5	38.1	50.6	51.6

Table 3: PASCAL VOC 2012 Leaderboard. YOLO compared with the full comp4 (outside data allowed) public leaderboard as of November 6th, 2015. Mean average precision and per-class average precision are shown for a variety of detection methods. YOLO is the only real-time detector. Fast R-CNN + YOLO is the forth highest scoring method, with a 2.3% boost over Fast R-CNN.

Generalizability: Person Detection in Artwork



(a) Picasso Dataset precision-recall curves.

	VOC 2007 AP	Picasso		People-Art AP
		AP	Best F_1	
YOLO	59.2	53.3	0.590	45
R-CNN	54.2	10.4	0.226	26
DPM	43.2	37.8	0.458	32
Poselets [2]	36.5	17.8	0.271	
D&T [4]	-	1.9	0.051	

(b) Quantitative results on the VOC 2007, Picasso, and People-Art Datasets. The Picasso Dataset evaluates on both AP and best F_1 score.

Figure 5: Generalization results on Picasso and People-Art datasets.

Generalizability: Person Detection in Artwork





Figure 6: Qualitative Results. YOLO running on sample artwork and natural images from the internet. It is mostly accurate although it does think one person is an airplane.

Key Points

1. Fast: YOLO - 45 fps, YOLO-tiny - 155 fps.
2. End-to-end training.
3. Makes more localization errors but is less likely to predict false positives on background
4. Performance is lower than the current state of the art.
5. Combined Fast R-CNN + YOLO model is one of the highest performing detection methods.
6. Learns very general representations of objects: it outperforms other detection methods, including DPM and R-CNN, when generalizing from natural images to other domains



Appendix | Implementation

- YOLO (darknet): <https://pjreddie.com/darknet/yolov1/>
- YOLOv2 (darknet): <https://pjreddie.com/darknet/yolo/>
- YOLO (caffe): <https://github.com/xingwangsfu/caffe-yolo>
- YOLO (TensorFlow: Train+Test): <https://github.com/thtrieu/darkflow>
- YOLO (TensorFlow: Test): https://github.com/gliese581gg/YOLO_tensorflow

Appendix | Slides

- [DeepSense.io \(google presentation - "YOLO: Inference"\)](#)

Thanks



fb.com/taegyun.jeon




github.com/tgjeon



taylor.taegyun.jeon@gmail.com


Paper reviewed by
Taegyun Jeon

Appendix | GoogLeNet




Appendix | GoogLeNet

Inception Module (1x1 convolution for dimension reductions)



(a) Inception module, naïve version



(b) Inception module with dimension reductions

Appendix | Sum-squared error (SSE)

sum of squared errors of prediction (SSE), is the **sum** of the **squares** of **residuals** (deviations predicted from actual empirical values of data). It is a measure of the discrepancy between the data and an estimation model. A small RSS indicates a tight fit of the model to the data. It is used as an **optimality criterion** in parameter selection and **model selection**.

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$