

Variational Inference

Seung-Hoon Na

Chonbuk National University

ELBO (Evidence lower bound)

- Variational lower bound

$$ELBO(q) = -KL(q(\cdot) || \tilde{p}(\cdot, D)) \quad \leftarrow \text{Posterior prob } (p(\mathbf{x}|D)) \text{를 근사}$$

$$ELBO(q) = -KL(q(\cdot) || \tilde{p}(\cdot, \mathbf{x})) \quad \leftarrow p(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{x}) \text{ prob를 근사}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J(q) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x})}{Z p^*(\mathbf{x})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{q(\mathbf{x})}{p^*(\mathbf{x})} - \log Z \\ &= \mathbb{KL}(q || p^*) - \log Z \end{aligned}$$

ELBO



$$L(q) \triangleq -J(q) = -\mathbb{KL}(q || p^*) + \log Z \leq \log Z = \log p(\mathcal{D})$$

ELBO (Evidence lower bound)

$$\begin{aligned}\log p(X) &= \log \int_Z p(X, Z) \\ &= \log \int_Z p(X, Z) \frac{q(Z)}{q(Z)} \\ &= \log \left(\mathbb{E}_q \left[\frac{p(X, Z)}{q(Z)} \right] \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(X, Z)}{q(Z)} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q [\log p(X, Z)] + H[Z]\end{aligned}$$

← variational lower bound
or ELBO

ELBO (Evidence lower bound)

$$\begin{aligned} KL [q(Z) || p(Z|X)] &= \int_Z q(Z) \log \frac{q(Z)}{p(Z|X)} \\ &= - \int_Z q(Z) \log \frac{p(Z|X)}{q(Z)} \\ &= - \left(\int_Z q(Z) \log \frac{p(X, Z)}{q(Z)} - \int_Z q(Z) \log p(X) \right) \\ &= - \int_Z q(Z) \log \frac{p(X, Z)}{q(Z)} + \log p(X) \int_Z q(Z) \\ &= -L + \log p(X) \end{aligned}$$



variational lower bound

Mean Field Approximation

- Assume the posterior is a fully factorized approximation of the form

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i q_i(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

- Solve the optimization problem:

$$\min_{q_1, \dots, q_D} \text{KL}(q || p)$$



$$\log q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbb{E}_{-q_j} [\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})] + \text{const}$$

Mean Field Approximation

- E.g.) we have three variables – x_1, x_2, x_3

$$\mathbb{E}_{-q_2} [f(\mathbf{x})] = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_3} q(x_1) q_3(x_3) f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

- Very similar to Gibbs sampling
- Gibbs sampling: sending **sampled values** between neighboring nodes
- Mean field approximation: send we send **mean values** between nodes

Mean Field Approximation: Derivation

$$L(q) \triangleq -J(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} q(\mathbf{x}) \log \frac{\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})}{q(\mathbf{x})} \leq \log p(\mathcal{D})$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(q_j) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_i q_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \left[\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_k \log q_k(\mathbf{x}_k) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{-j}} q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \prod_{i \neq j} q_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \left[\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_k \log q_k(\mathbf{x}_k) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_j} q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{-j}} \prod_{i \neq j} q_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathbf{x}_j} q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{-j}} \prod_{i \neq j} q_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \left[\sum_{k \neq j} \log q_k(\mathbf{x}_k) + q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_j} q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \log f_j(\mathbf{x}_j) - \sum_{\mathbf{x}_j} q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \log q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) + \text{const} \end{aligned}$$

Mean Field Approximation: Derivation


$$\log f_j(\mathbf{x}_j) \triangleq \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{-j}} \prod_{i \neq j} q_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{-q_j} [\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})]$$

- Rewrite $L(q_j)$ by averaging out all the hidden variables except for x_j

$$L(q_j) = -\mathbb{KL}(q_j || f_j)$$

$$q_j(\mathbf{x}_j) = \frac{1}{Z_j} \exp \left(\mathbb{E}_{-q_j} [\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})] \right)$$

Mean field: Ising model



$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= p(\mathbf{y} | J) \prod_t p(x_t | y_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{Z(J)} \prod_{s \sim t} \psi(y_s, y_t; J) \right] \prod_t p(x_t | y_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

Mean field: Ising model

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$

- Prior:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z_0} \exp(-E_0(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$E_0(\mathbf{x}) = - \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j \in \text{nbr}_i} W_{ij} x_i x_j$$

- Likelihood:

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i p(\mathbf{y}_i|x_i) = \sum_i \exp(-L_i(x_i))$$

Mean field: Ising model

- Posterior:
$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-E(\mathbf{x}))$$
$$E(\mathbf{x}) = E_0(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_i L_i(x_i)$$

- The fully factored approximation:

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_i q(x_i, \mu_i)$$

← Variational parameter to be updated

$$\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_i \sum_{j \in \text{nbr}_i} W_{ij} x_j + L_i(x_i) + \text{const}$$

➡
$$q_i(x_i) \propto \exp \left(x_i \sum_{j \in \text{nbr}_i} W_{ij} \mu_j + L_i(x_i) \right)$$

Mean field: Ising model

$$m_i = \sum_{j \in \text{nbr}_i} W_{ij} \mu_j \quad L_i^+ \triangleq L_i(+1) \quad L_i^- \triangleq L_i(-1)$$

$$q_i(x_i = 1) = \frac{e^{m_i + L_i^+}}{e^{m_i + L_i^+} + e^{-m_i + L_i^-}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2m_i + L_i^- - L_i^+}} = \text{sigm}(2a_i)$$

$$a_i \triangleq m_i + 0.5(L_i^+ - L_i^-)$$


- Similarly, we have

$$q_i(x_i = -1) = \text{sigm}(-2a_i)$$

Mean field: Ising model

- The update equation for variational parameter μ_i

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i &= \mathbb{E}_{q_i} [x_i] = q_i(x_i = +1) \cdot (+1) + q_i(x_i = -1) \cdot (-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2a_i}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{2a_i}} = \frac{e^{a_i}}{e^{a_i} + e^{-a_i}} - \frac{e^{-a_i}}{e^{-a_i} + e^{a_i}} \\ &= \tanh(a_i)\end{aligned}$$


$$\mu_i = \tanh \left(\sum_{j \in \text{nbr}_i} W_{ij} \mu_j + 0.5(L_i^+ - L_i^-) \right)$$


- usually better to use **damped updates** of the form

$$\mu_i^t = (1 - \lambda) \mu_i^{t-1} + \lambda \tanh \left(\sum_{j \in \text{nbr}_i} W_{ij} \mu_j^{t-1} + 0.5(L_i^+ - L_i^-) \right)$$


Mean field: Ising model

- Apply the mean field method to a 2d Ising model with homogeneous attractive potentials, $W_{ij} = 1$, using parallel updates with a damping factor of $\lambda = 0.5$.

sample 1, meanfieldH



sample 3, meanfieldH



mean after 15 sweeps of meanfieldH



Variational Bayes

- Variational Bayes

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) \approx \prod_k q(\boldsymbol{\theta}_k)$$

- Variational Bayes EM
 - To infer both latent variables and parameters

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{z}_{1:N}|\mathcal{D}) \approx q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_i q_i(\mathbf{z}_i)$$

Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian

- Goal: Infer $p(\mu, \lambda | \mathcal{D})$
- The original conjugate prior:

$$p(\mu, \lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\mu | \mu_0, (\kappa_0 \lambda)^{-1}) \text{Ga}(\lambda | a_0, b_0)$$

- But, we use an approximate factored posterior:

$$q(\mu, \lambda) = q_\mu(\mu) q_\lambda(\lambda)$$

Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian

- The unnormalized log posterior

$$\begin{aligned}\log \tilde{p}(\mu, \lambda) &= \log p(\mu, \lambda, \mathcal{D}) = \log p(\mathcal{D}|\mu, \lambda) + \log p(\mu|\lambda) + \log p(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{N}{2} \log \lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 - \frac{\kappa_0 \lambda}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \log(\kappa_0 \lambda) + (a_0 - 1) \log \lambda - b_0 \lambda + \text{const}\end{aligned}$$

Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian

- Updating $q_\mu(\mu)$


$$\begin{aligned}\log q_\mu(\mu) &= \mathbb{E}_{q_\lambda} [\log p(\mathcal{D}|\mu, \lambda) + \log p(\mu|\lambda)] + \text{const} \\ &= -\frac{\mathbb{E}_{q_\lambda} [\lambda]}{2} \left\{ \kappa_0(\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} + \text{const}\end{aligned}$$



$$q_\mu(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_N, \kappa_N^{-1})$$

$$\mu_N = \frac{\kappa_0\mu_0 + N\bar{x}}{\kappa_0 + N}, \quad \kappa_N = (\kappa_0 + N)\mathbb{E}_{q_\lambda} [\lambda]$$

Not known at this time



Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian

- Updating $q_\lambda(\lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned}\log q_\lambda(\lambda) &= \mathbb{E}_{q_\mu} [\log p(\mathcal{D}|\mu, \lambda) + \log p(\mu|\lambda) + \log p(\lambda)] + \text{const} \\ &= (a_0 - 1) \log \lambda - b_0 \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda + \frac{N}{2} \log \lambda \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbb{E}_{q_\mu} \left[\kappa_0 (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 \right] + \text{const}\end{aligned}$$



$$q_\lambda(\lambda) = \text{Ga}(\lambda|a_N, b_N)$$


$$a_N = a_0 + \frac{N + 1}{2}$$

$$b_N = b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{q_\mu} \left[\kappa_0 (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 \right]$$


Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian

- **Computing the expectations:** to update parameters

$$q(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(\mu | \mu_N, \kappa_N^{-1})$$


$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(\mu)} [\mu] &= \mu_N \\ \mathbb{E}_{q(\mu)} [\mu^2] &= \frac{1}{\kappa_N} + \mu_N^2\end{aligned}$$

$$q(\lambda) = \text{Ga}(\lambda | a_N, b_N)$$


$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\lambda)} [\lambda] = \frac{a_N}{b_N}$$

Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian

- **Computing the expectations:** to update parameters
- For $q(\mu)$


$$\mu_N = \frac{\kappa_0 \mu_0 + N \bar{x}}{\kappa_0 + N} \quad \kappa_N = (\kappa_0 + N) \frac{a_N}{b_N}$$

- For $q(\lambda)$


$$a_N = a_0 + \frac{N + 1}{2}$$

$$b_N = b_0 + \kappa_0 (\mathbb{E} [\mu^2] + \mu_0^2 - 2\mathbb{E} [\mu] \mu_0) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i^2 + \mathbb{E} [\mu^2] - 2\mathbb{E} [\mu] x_i)$$

Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian




Initial guess




After updating q_μ

Variational Bayes: Example - Univariate Gaussian



After updating q_λ



At convergence

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- VB for an empirical Bayes approach for setting the hyper-parameters for ridge regression

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}, \lambda^{-1})$$

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1}\mathbf{I})$$

- Previously, we computed a type II estimate of α and λ
- To derive a VB algorithm, we use the prior:

$$p(\mathbf{w}, \lambda, \alpha) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, (\lambda\alpha)^{-1}\mathbf{I})\text{Ga}(\lambda|a_0^\lambda, b_0^\lambda)\text{Ga}(\alpha|a_0^\alpha, b_0^\alpha)$$

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- The approximation of the posterior is given as:

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \lambda) = q(\mathbf{w}, \lambda)q(\alpha)$$

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \alpha, \lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}_N, \lambda^{-1} \mathbf{V}_N) \text{Ga}(\lambda | a_N^\lambda, b_N^\lambda) \text{Ga}(\alpha | a_N^\alpha, b_N^\alpha)$$

where

$$a_N^\alpha = a_0^\alpha + \frac{D}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_N^{-1} = \overline{\mathbf{A}} + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_N = \mathbf{V}_N \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$a_N^\lambda = a_0^\lambda + \frac{N}{2}$$

$$b_N^\alpha = b_0^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_N^\lambda}{b_N^\lambda} \mathbf{w}_N^T \mathbf{w}_N + \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}_N) \right)$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \langle \alpha \rangle \mathbf{I} = \frac{a_N^\alpha}{b_N^\alpha} \mathbf{I}$$

$$b_N^\lambda = b_0^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \mathbf{w}_N^T \overline{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{w}_N)$$

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- Extension to the ARD case

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(\alpha)^{-1})$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \prod_{j=1}^D \text{Ga}(\alpha_j | a_0^\alpha, b_0^\alpha)$$

- The posterior $q(w, \alpha, \lambda)$
 - $q(\mathbf{w}, \lambda)$ is computed as before, except we use:

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \text{diag}(a_N^\alpha / b_{N_j}^\alpha)$$

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- The posterior $q(w, \alpha, \lambda)$

$$q(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \prod_j \text{Ga}(\alpha_j | a_N^\alpha, b_{N_j}^\alpha)$$

$$a_N^\alpha = a_0^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$b_{N_j}^\alpha = b_0^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_N^\lambda}{b_N^\lambda} w_{N,j}^2 + (\mathbf{V}_N)_{jj} \right)$$

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- The posterior predictive once \mathbf{w} and λ have been inferred
 - Student distribution (see ch 7)
- Specifically, for a single data case, we have:

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{T}(y|\mathbf{w}_N^T \mathbf{x}, \frac{b_N^\lambda}{a_N^\lambda} (1 + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{V}_N \mathbf{x}), 2a_N^\lambda)$$

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- The exact marginal likelihood for model selection

$$p(\mathcal{D}) = \int \int \int p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \lambda) p(\mathbf{w}|\alpha) p(\lambda) d\mathbf{w} d\alpha d\lambda$$

- The lower bound on $\log p(D)$

$$\begin{aligned} L(q) = & -\frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{a_N^\lambda}{b_N^\lambda} (y_i - \mathbf{w}_N^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2 + \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{V}_N \mathbf{x}_i \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{V}_N| + \frac{D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$- \log \Gamma(a_0^\lambda) + a_0^\lambda \log b_0^\lambda - b_0^\lambda \frac{a_N^\lambda}{b_N^\lambda} + \log \Gamma(a_N^\lambda) - a_N^\lambda \log b_N^\lambda + a_N^\lambda$$

$$- \log \Gamma(a_0^\alpha) + a_0^\alpha \log b_0^\alpha + \log \Gamma(a_N^\alpha) - a_N^\alpha \log b_N^\alpha$$

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- Variational Bayes
 - $\mathbb{E} [\alpha | \mathcal{D}]$ when using an uninformative prior,
 $a_0^\alpha = b_0^\alpha = 0$


$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{a_N^\alpha}{b_N^\alpha} = \frac{D/2}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_N^\lambda}{b_N^\lambda} \mathbf{w}_N^T \mathbf{w}_N + \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}_N) \right)}$$

- Empirical Bayes

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{D}{\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}]} = \frac{D}{\mathbf{w}_N^T \mathbf{w}_N + \text{tr}(\mathbf{V}_N)}$$



Variational Bayes - Linear Regression

- Comparison of VB and EB



Approximate the marginal likelihood using VB and EB

Variational Bayes - Linear Regression



Variational Bayes EM

- Now, consider latent variable models of the form

$$\mathbf{z}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

- VB provides a way to be **more Bayesian**
 - By modeling uncertainty in the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as well in the latent variables \mathbf{z}_i , at a computational cost that is essentially the same as EM
- VBEM
 - Use mean field where the approximate posterior is:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{z}_{1:N} | \mathcal{D}) \approx q(\boldsymbol{\theta})q(\mathbf{z}) = q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_i q(\mathbf{z}_i)$$

Variational Bayes EM

- The variational E-step
 - Similar to a standard E step
 - The standard E-step plugs in a MAP estimate of the parameters and computes $P(\mathbf{z}_i | D, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$
 - In VBEM, we need to **average over the parameters**
 - Plugging in the posterior mean of the parameters and then computing $P(\mathbf{z}_i | D, \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$
- The variational M-step
 - Similar to a standard M step
 - The standard M-step: compute a point estimate of the parameters
 - In VBEM, we **update the hyper-parameters**, using the **expected sufficient statistics**

Variational Bayes EM: Example - Mixtures of Gaussians

$$p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_i \prod_k \pi_k^{z_{ik}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1})^{z_{ik}}$$

- Conjugate prior:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \prod_k \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k | \mathbf{m}_0, (\beta_0 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1}) \text{Wi}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k | \mathbf{L}_0, \nu_0)$$

- Exact posterior: a mixture of K^N distributions
- So, VB approximation:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{z}_{1:N} | \mathcal{D}) \approx q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_i q(\mathbf{z}_i)$$

Variational Bayes EM: Example - Mixtures of Gaussians

- Specifically, we can show that:

$$q(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = q(\mathbf{z}|\boldsymbol{\theta})q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left[\prod_i \text{Cat}(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{r}_i) \right] \\ \left[\text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \prod_k \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k | \mathbf{m}_k, (\beta_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1}) \text{Wi}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k | \mathbf{L}_k, \nu_k) \right] \\ r_{ik} \propto \tilde{\pi}_k \tilde{\Lambda}_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{D}{2\beta_k} - \frac{\nu_k}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{m}_k) \right)$$

- Derivation of $q(\mathbf{z})$? Variational E-step
- Derivation of $q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$? Variational M-step

Variational Bayes EM: Mixtures of Gaussians

- Posterior predictive distribution

- Given the approximate posterior:

$$q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\pi}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \prod_k \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k|\mathbf{m}_k, (\beta_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1}) \text{Wi}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k|\mathbf{L}_k, \nu_k)$$

- The posterior predictive density can be approximated to:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{D}) &\approx \sum_z \int p(\mathbf{x}|z, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(z|\boldsymbol{\theta}) q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ &= \sum_k \int \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}) q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ &= \sum_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\sum_{k'} \alpha_{k'}} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{M}_k, \nu_k + 1 - D) \\ \mathbf{M}_k &= \frac{(\nu_k + 1 - D) \beta_k}{1 + \beta_k} \mathbf{L}_k \end{aligned}$$