

Machine Learning Basics

Seung-Hoon Na

Chonbuk National University

Machine Learning: Topics

- Regression
 - Linear regression
- Classification
 - Naïve Bayes
 - Linear discriminant analysis
 - Logistic regression
- Kernel methods
 - Support vector machines
- Regularization
 - Statistical learning theory
 - Bias-Variance tradeoff
- Deep learning
 - Perceptron
 - Feed-forward NN, CNN, RNN..
- Graphical models
- Reinforcement learning

Learning Algorithms

- An algorithm that is able to **learn from data**.
- (Mitchell '07)'s definition:
 - **E**: Experience
 - **T**: A class of tasks
 - **P**: Performance measure



A computer program is said to learn from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P ,

if its performance at tasks in T , as measured by P , improves with experience E

The Task, T

- **A ML task is too difficult to solve with fixed programs** written and designed by human beings
 - Understanding of ML helps to understand **the principles that underlie intelligence**
- Learning itself is not the task
 - It is our means of getting the ability to perform task
 - E.g.) if we want a robot to be able to walk, then walking is the task.

Which one is better ? 1) a **program the robot to learn to walk**, or 2) a **program that specifies how to walk manually** ?

Example as a Feature Vector

- Tasks are usually described in terms of how the machine learning system should process an *example*.
- An **example**: a collection of *features* that have been quantitatively measured from some object or event
 - Typically represented as a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - E.g.) the features of an image: values of the pixels

Classification

- the computer program is asked to specify which of k categories some input belongs to
- Object recognition




<https://papers.nips.cc/paper/4824-imagenet-classification-with-deep-convolutional-neural-networks.pdf>

Classification with missing inputs

- it not guaranteed that every measurement in its input vector will always be provided.
- The learning algorithm functions

Figure 3: *Mean field inference applied to MNIST digits.* Within each pair of rows, the upper row shows pixels and the lower row shows class labels. The first column shows a complete, labeled example. The second column shows information to be masked out, using red pixels to indicate information that is removed. The subsequent columns show steps of mean field. The images show the pixels being filled back in by the mean field inference, and the blue bars show the probability of the correct class under the mean field posterior.



Regression

- the computer program is asked to predict a numerical value given some input
- The learning algorithm is asked to output a function $f: R^N \rightarrow R$
- E.g.)
 - the prediction of the expected claim amount that an insured person will make (used to set insurance premiums),
 - or the prediction of future prices of securities.


Transcription

- machine learning system is asked to observe a **relatively unstructured representation** of some kind of data and transcribe it into discrete, textual form.
- Optical character recognition
- Speech recognition



Machine Translation

- the input already consists of a **sequence of symbols in some language**, and the computer program must **convert this into a sequence of symbols in another language**.



Structured Output

- the output is a vector with important relationships between the different elements.
- E.g.)
 - Parsing: mapping a natural language sentence into a parse tree
 - Pixel-wise segmentation of images
 - Image captioning




Anomaly detection

- the computer program sifts through a set of events or objects, and flags some of them as being unusual or atypical.
- E.g.) Credit card fraud detection

Synthesis and sampling

- Generate new examples that are similar to those in the training data.
 - useful for media applications where it can be expensive or boring for an artist to generate large volumes of content by hand.
- E.g) Automatic texture generation
 - automatically generate textures for large objects or landscapes, rather than requiring an artist to manually label each pixel
- Speech synthesis



Imputation of missing values

- given a new example $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$, but with some entries x_i of \mathbf{x} missing
- Algorithm must provide a prediction of the values of the missing entries.

Denoising

- given in input a *corrupted example* \tilde{x} obtained by an unknown corruption process from a *clean example* x
- must predict the clean example x from its corrupted version \tilde{x} or more generally predict the conditional probability distribution $p(x|\tilde{x})$

Density estimation or probability mass function estimation

- Learn a function $p_{\text{model}}: R^n \rightarrow R$ where $p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x})$ can be interpreted as a probability density function or probability mass function on the space that the examples were drawn from.

The Performance Measure, P

- To evaluate a ML algorithm, a quantitative measure of its performance is required
 - This performance measure P is **specific to the task** T being carried out by the system.
- E.g.) *Accuracy, Error rate, F1, Precision*
- Require a **test set** of data that is separate from training set
- Evaluate P using a test set

The Experience, E

- *unsupervised or supervised*
 - By what kind of experience they are allowed
- ML algorithms are allowed to experience an entire *dataset*.
 - E.g.) the Iris dataset (Fisher, 1936): the oldest one

The Experience, E

- *Unsupervised learning algorithms*
 - experience a dataset containing many features, then **learn useful properties** of the structure of this dataset
 - learn the **entire probability distribution** that generated a dataset, or often perform other roles, like **clustering**

observe several examples of a random vector \mathbf{x} , and implicitly or explicitly learn $p(\mathbf{x})$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$$

The Experience, E

- *Supervised learning algorithms*
 - experience a dataset containing features, but each example is also associated with a **label** or **target**

observe several examples of a random vector \mathbf{x} and an associated value or vector \mathbf{y} , learn to predict \mathbf{y} from \mathbf{x} , usually by estimating $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$

$$p(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, y)}{\sum_{y'} p(\mathbf{x}, y')}$$

Design matrix

- A common way of describing a dataset
- E.g.) the Iris dataset: 150 examples with four features for each example

$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{150 \times 4}$$

- In supervised learning, we also provide a vector of labels \mathbf{y} , with y_i providing the label for example i .
 - The label may be more than just a single number
 - In speech recognition, the label for each example sentence is **a sequence of words**

Example: Linear Regression

- Take a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as input and predict the value of a scalar $y \in \mathbb{R}$ as its output
- The output is a linear function of the input
- \hat{y} : the value that our model predicts
- where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a vector of *parameters*
— as a set of *weights* that determine how each feature affects the prediction.

Task, T: to predict y from \mathbf{x} by outputting $\hat{y} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$

Linear Regression

- Design matrices on test set
 - $\mathbf{X}^{(test)}$: design matrix of inputs
 - $\mathbf{y}^{(test)}$: the vector of regression targets
 - $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(test)}$: the predictions of the model on the test set
- Performance measure, P : the *mean squared error* of the model on the test set

$$\text{MSE}_{\text{test}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_i (\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(\text{test})} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{test})})_i^2$$

$$\text{MSE}_{\text{test}} = \frac{1}{m} \|\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(\text{test})} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{test})}\|_2^2$$

Linear Regression

- Now, let's design a **ML algorithm** that can improve the weights \mathbf{w} by reducing MSE_{test} when the algorithm is allowed to gain **experience** by observing a training set $(\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})}, \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})})$
- To do this, minimize the mean squared error on the training set, minimize $\text{MSE}_{\text{train}}$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \text{MSE}_{\text{train}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{m} \|\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(\text{train})} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})}\|_2^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})}\|_2^2 = 0$$

Linear Regression

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_w \left(\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} \right)^\top \left(\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} \right) = 0$$



$$\Rightarrow \nabla_w \left(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} + \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{w} = \left(\mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})\top} \mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})}$$

 *normal equations*

Linear Regression



A linear regression problem, with a training set consisting of ten data points, each containing one feature.

Generalization

- The ability to perform well on previously unobserved inputs
- *Training error*
- *generalization error = Test error*
 - defined as the expected value of the error on a new input
 - typically estimate the generalization error by measuring its performance on a *test set* of examples

$$\frac{1}{m^{(\text{test})}} || \mathbf{X}^{(\text{test})} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}^{(\text{test})} ||_2^2$$

Statistical learning theory

- How can we affect performance on the test set when we get to observe only the training set?
- *Data generating process*
 - The train and test data are generated by a probability distribution over datasets
- *i.i.d. assumptions*
 - Examples in each dataset are *independent* from each other
 - the train set and test set are *identically distributed*,
- *Data generating distribution, p_{data}*
 - The distribution used to generate every train example and every test example.

Statistical learning theory

- Suppose we have a probability distribution $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$ and we sample from it repeatedly to generate the train set and the test set
- For some fixed value \mathbf{w} ,
<the training set error> = <test set error>
 - because both expectations are formed using the same dataset sampling process.
- However, we do **not** fix the parameters ahead of time, when using a ML algorithm
- In ML algorithm, we sample the training set, then use it to choose the parameters to reduce training set error, then sample the test set.
 - <the training set error> \geq <the test set error>

Capacity, underfitting, overfitting


- 1. Make the training error small.
 ➔ *underfitting*
- 2. Make the gap between training and test error small.
 ➔ *overfitting*
- 3. *can* control whether a model is more likely to overfit or underfit by altering
 ➔ its *capacity*.

Low capacity ➔ underfitting


High capacity ➔ overfitting

Capacity, underfitting, overfitting


Underfitting



Appropriate capacity



Overfitting



Model's Capacity

- *Hypothesis space*
 - Can controlling the capacity of a learning algorithm
 - E.g.) The linear regression algorithm
 - Hyperspace is the set of all linear functions of its input
- **Representational capacity:** the functions which the model **can** learn
- **Effective capacity:** the functions that the learning algorithm is capable of **finding**
 - in practice, a learning algorithm is not likely to find the best function out of the possible functions it can learn, though it can learn one that performs exceptionally well


Occam's razor

- The principle for model selection
- States that among competing hypotheses that explain known observations equally well, one should choose the “simplest” one.

VC Dimension

- A mean for **quantifying** model capacity from statistical learning theory
- measures the capacity of a binary classifier
- the largest possible value of m for which there exists a training set of m different \mathbf{x} points that the classifier can label arbitrarily

Relation b/w Capacity and Error



Non-parametric models



- The most extreme case of arbitrarily high capacity
- *Nearest neighbor regression.*
 - Simply stores the \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{y} from the training set.
 - To classify a test point \mathbf{x} , looks up the nearest entry in the training set and returns the associated regression target

$$\hat{y} = y_i \text{ where } i = \arg \min ||\mathbf{X}_{i,:} - \mathbf{x}||_2^2$$

Bayes error

- The ideal model is an oracle that simply knows the true probability distribution that generates the data.
- The error incurred by an oracle making predictions from the true distribution $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is called the **Bayes error**.

Training and generalization error wrt Training size




The No Free Lunch Theorem

- Averaged over all possible data generating distributions, every classification algorithm has the same error rate when classifying previously unobserved points (Wolpert, '96)
- This means
 - The goal of machine learning research is **not to seek a universal learning algorithm** or the absolute best learning algorithm.
 - Instead, our goal is to understand what kinds of distributions are relevant to the “real world”, and what kinds of machine learning algorithms perform well on data we care about.
 - ➔ we must design our machine learning algorithms to **perform well on a specific task.**

Regularization

- Give a learning algorithm a preference for one solution in its hypothesis space to another.
- *Weight decay model*
 - E.g.) linear regression with weight decay



Regularization

- any modification we make to a learning algorithm that is intended to reduce **its generalization error but not its training error.**
- one of the central concerns of the field of machine learning, rivaled in its importance only by optimization

Hyperparameters

- Their values are not adapted by the learning algorithm itself
 - The degree of the polynomial
 - The λ value used to control the strength of weight decay
- To get values we need a *validation set* of examples that the training algorithm does not observe.

Cross-Validation

- When the dataset is too small, repeat the training and testing computation on different randomly chosen subsets or splits of the original dataset → ***k*-fold cross-validation** procedure

Define $\text{KFoldXV}(\mathbb{D}, A, L, k)$:

Require: \mathbb{D} , the given dataset, with elements $z^{(i)}$

Require: A , the learning algorithm, seen as a function that takes a dataset as input and outputs a learned function

Require: L , the loss function, seen as a function from a learned function f and an example $z^{(i)} \in \mathbb{D}$ to a scalar $\in \mathbb{R}$

Require: k , the number of folds

Split \mathbb{D} into k mutually exclusive subsets \mathbb{D}_i , whose union is \mathbb{D} .

for i from 1 to k do

$f_i = A(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_i)$

 for $z^{(j)}$ in \mathbb{D}_i do

$e_j = L(f_i, z^{(j)})$

 end for


end for

Return e

Point Estimation

- the attempt to provide the single “best” prediction of some quantity of interest.
 - Quantity of interest: a single parameter, a vector of parameters, a function
- A *point estimator* or *statistic* is any function of the data:

θ : the true parameter value (unknown)

$$\hat{\theta}_m = g(\underbrace{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}}_{\text{data}})$$


Random variable \leftarrow data is drawn from a random process

Function Estimation

- Assume that there is a function $f(\mathbf{x})$ that describes the approximate relationship between \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x}
 - E.g.) $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon$
- Function estimation = estimating a parameter θ
 - The function estimator \hat{f} is simply a point estimator in function space.
- The linear regression example & the polynomial regression example
 - interpreted either as estimating a parameter \mathbf{w} or estimating a function \hat{f} mapping from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} .

Bias

- The bias of an estimator

$$\text{bias}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_m) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_m) - \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

- *Unbiased*: $\text{bias}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_m) = \mathbf{0}$
- *Asymptotically unbiased*: $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{bias}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_m) = \mathbf{0}$

Bias: Examples

- E.g. 1: Bernoulli Distribution
- E.g. 2: Gaussian Distribution Estimator of the Mean
- E.g. 3: Estimators of the Variance of a Gaussian Distribution

Bernoulli Distribution

- Data (a set of examples): $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$
- Takes Bernoulli distribution (i.i.d.)
 - $P(x^{(i)}; \theta) = \theta^{x^{(i)}} (1 - \theta)^{(1-x^{(i)})}$ binary values
- A common estimator for θ : The mean of data
 - $\hat{\theta}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)}$
- Let's determine whether the estimator is biased
 - $bias(\hat{\theta}_m) = E[\hat{\theta}_m] - \theta$

Bernoulli Distribution

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}_m) &= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_m] - \theta \\&= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)} \right] - \theta \\&= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} [x^{(i)}] - \theta \\&= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{x^{(i)}=0}^1 \left(x^{(i)} \theta^{x^{(i)}} (1 - \theta)^{(1-x^{(i)})} \right) - \theta \\&= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\theta) - \theta \\&= \theta - \theta = 0\end{aligned}$$

➡ Our estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased

Gaussian Distribution

- A set of samples: $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$
- Gaussian distribution: $p(x^{(i)}) = N(x^{(i)}; \mu, \sigma^2)$

$$p(x^{(i)}; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x^{(i)} - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

- 1) Estimator of the mean

– Sample mean: $\hat{\mu}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)} \longrightarrow \text{Unbiased}$

- 2) Estimators of the Variance

– Sample variance: $\hat{\sigma}_m^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(x^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_m \right)^2 \longrightarrow \text{Biased}$

- We can define unbiased sample variance estimator

Variance and Standard Error

- **Variance:** How much we expect the estimator to vary as a function of the data sample

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$$

← estimator

- where the random variable is the training set.
- ***Standard error:*** the square root of the variance
 $\text{SE}(\hat{\theta})$
- Variance and standard error
 - A measure of how we would expect the estimate we compute from data to vary as we independently resample the dataset from the underlying data generating process

Standard Error of the Mean

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\mu}_m) = \sqrt{\text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)}\right]} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{m}}$$

- where σ^2 is the true variance of the samples $x^{(i)}$
- The standard error is often estimated by using an estimate of σ
- We often estimate the generalization error by computing the sample mean of the error on the test set
 - the 95% confidence interval centered

$$(\hat{\mu}_m - 1.96\text{SE}(\hat{\mu}_m), \hat{\mu}_m + 1.96\text{SE}(\hat{\mu}_m))$$

Example: Bernoulli Distribution

- Data: $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$
- Bernoulli distribution: $P(x^{(i)}; \theta) = \theta^{x^{(i)}}(1 - \theta)^{(1-x^{(i)})}$
- Our interest: the variance of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_m$:

$$\hat{\theta}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_m) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)}\right)$$

Example: Bernoulli Distribution

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var} \left(\hat{\theta}_m \right) &= \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x^{(i)} \right) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Var} \left(x^{(i)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta(1 - \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} m \theta(1 - \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \theta(1 - \theta)\end{aligned}$$

- The variance of the estimator decreases as a function of m , the number of examples in the dataset.

Trading off Bias and Variance to Minimize Mean Squared Error

- Bias and variance measure two different sources of error in an estimator
- **Bias**: the expected deviation from the true value of the function or parameter
- **Variance**: the deviation from the expected estimator value that any particular sampling of the data is likely to cause

Trading off Bias and Variance


- *Mean squared error* (MSE) of the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MSE} &= \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\theta}_m - \theta)^2] \\ &= \text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}_m)^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_m)\end{aligned}$$

- The MSE measures the overall expected deviation—in a squared error sense— between the estimator and the true value of the parameter θ .
 - ➔ Generalization error


Trading off Bias and Variance

- The relationship between bias and variance is tightly linked to capacity, underfitting and overfitting



Consistency

- We usually wish that our point estimates converge to the **true value** of the corresponding parameters.

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}_m \xrightarrow{p} \theta$$


the convergence is in probability

- Consistency ensures that the bias induced by the estimator is assured to diminish as the number of data examples grows
- However, the reverse is not true—asymptotic unbiasedness does not imply consistency
- E.g.) the mean parameter μ of a normal distribution

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Consider a set of m examples $X = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}\}$ drawn independently from the true but unknown data generating distribution $p_{data}(\mathbf{x})$.
- Let $p_{model}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ be a parametric family of probability distributions
- The maximum likelihood estimator

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ML}} &= \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p_{\text{model}}(\mathbb{X}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \prod_{i=1}^m p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})\end{aligned}$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

$$\theta_{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^m \log p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta)$$

$$\theta_{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}} \log p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$$

Empirical distribution



$$D_{\text{KL}}(\hat{p}_{\text{data}} \| p_{\text{model}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}} [\log \hat{p}_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}) - \log p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x})]$$

Minimize the KL divergence

= minimize

$$- \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}} [\log p_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x})]$$

Conditional Log-Likelihood

- The goal is to estimate a conditional probability $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ in order to predict \mathbf{y} given \mathbf{x}
 - The most common situation because it forms the basis for most supervised learning

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} P(\mathbf{Y} \mid \mathbf{X}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^m \log P(\mathbf{y}^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Linear Regression as Maximum Likelihood

- Linear regression based on mean square error (MSE)
 - Principally derived by a maximum likelihood procedure


$$p(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(y; \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}), \sigma^2)$$

Conditional
Log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \log p(y^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= -m \log \sigma - \frac{m}{2} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{||\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}||^2}{2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{MSE} \quad \text{MSE}_{\text{train}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m ||\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}||^2$$

Properties of Maximum Likelihood

- it can be shown to be the best estimator asymptotically, as the number of examples $m \rightarrow \infty$ in terms of its rate of convergence as m increases.
 - Consistency property
 - As the number of training examples approaches infinity, the maximum likelihood estimate of a parameter converges to the true value of the parameter, under the conditions as
 - The true distribution p_{data} must lie within the model family $p_{\text{model}}(\cdot; \theta)$. Otherwise, no estimator can recover p_{data} .
 - The true distribution p_{data} must correspond to exactly one value of θ . Otherwise, maximum likelihood can recover the correct p_{data} , but will not be able to determine which value of θ was used by the data generating processing.
- 

Bayesian Statistics

- *Frequentist statistics*: estimating a single value of θ , then making all predictions thereafter based on that one estimate
- *Bayesian statistics*: consider all possible values of θ when making a prediction.
- $p(\theta)$: The *prior probability distribution*
 - our knowledge of θ before observing the data

$$p(\theta \mid x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}) = \frac{p(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)} \mid \theta)p(\theta)}{p(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)})}$$

Bayesian Statistics

- Maximum likelihood approach: makes predictions using a point estimate of θ
- Bayesian approach: make predictions using a full distribution over θ .
- The predicted distribution over the next data sample, after observing m examples,

$$p(x^{(m+1)} \mid x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}) = \int p(x^{(m+1)} \mid \theta) p(\theta \mid x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}) d\theta.$$

Example: Bayesian Linear Regression

Prediction for single example

$$\hat{y} = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Prediction over the entire training set

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(\text{train})} = \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w}$$

Gaussian conditional distribution

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} \mid \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})}, \mathbf{w}) &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})}; \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{I}) \\ &\propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} - \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y}^{(\text{train})} - \mathbf{X}^{(\text{train})} \mathbf{w}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Prior distribution

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \right)$$

Posterior distribution

$$p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) \propto p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w})$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) &\propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_m \right) \\ &\propto \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_m) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0^{-1})^{-1} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_m = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_m (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$$

Maximum *A Posteriori* (MAP) Estimation

- Full Bayesian inference: make predictions using the full Bayesian posterior distribution over the parameter θ
- **MAP Bayesian inference**: make predictions using a single point estimate
- The *maximum a posteriori* (MAP) point estimate

$$\theta_{\text{MAP}} = \arg \max_{\theta} p(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}) = \arg \max_{\theta} \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) + \log p(\theta)$$

MAP Bayesian inference

- Leverage information that is brought by the prior and cannot be found in the training data
- Reduce the variance in the MAP point estimate
 - However, it does so at the price of increased bias
- provides a straightforward way to design complicated yet interpretable regularization terms.
 - E.g.) a more complicated penalty by a mixture of Gaussians

Supervised Learning Algorithms



- Probabilistic Supervised Learning
 - Find the best parameter vector θ for a parametric family of distributions $p(y | \mathbf{x}; \theta)$
 - *Logistic regression*
- Support Vector Machines
 - The Kernel trick
 - it allows us to learn models that are nonlinear as a function of \mathbf{x} using convex optimization techniques
 - the kernel function k often admits an implementation that is significantly more computationally efficient

Supervised Learning Algorithms

- k -nearest neighbors (k -NN)
 - can achieve very high capacity.
 - But, It cannot learn that one feature is more discriminative than another.
- *Decision tree*
 - breaks the input space into regions and has separate parameters for each region

Unsupervised Learning Algorithms

- Principal Components Analysis
 - an unsupervised learning algorithm that learns a representation of data.
 - learns a linear projection that aligns the direction of greatest variance with the axes of the new space



Unsupervised Learning Algorithms

- *k*-means Clustering
 - divides the training set into *k* different clusters of examples that are near each other.

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- The cost function used by a machine learning algorithm often decomposes as a sum over training examples of some per-example loss function.

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, y \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}} L(\mathbf{x}, y, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m L(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$L(\mathbf{x}, y, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\log p(y \mid \mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Gradient descent requires computing

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- The insight of SGD descent is that the gradient is an expectation
- The expectation may be approximately estimated using a small set of samples
- we can sample a *minibatch* of examples $B = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m')}\}$
- m' : typically chosen to be a relatively small number of examples, ranging from 1 to a few hundred.
- The estimate of the gradient

$$g = \frac{1}{m'} \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{m'} L(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, \theta)$$

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \epsilon g$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- For a fixed model size, the cost per SGD update does not depend on the training set size m .
- Increasing m further will not extend the amount of training time needed to reach the model's best possible test error.
- we can argue that the asymptotic cost of training a model with SGD is $O(1)$ as a function of m .

Building a Machine Learning Algorithm

- Nearly all deep learning is a particular instance of a recipe which combine
 - 1) a specification of a dataset
 - 2) a cost function
 - 3) an optimization procedure
 - 4) a model

Building a Machine Learning Algorithm

- E.g.) the linear regression algorithm
- 1) Dataset: \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}
- 2) Cost function $J(\mathbf{w}, b) = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, y \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}} \log p_{\text{model}}(y \mid \mathbf{x})$
- 3) Model specification
$$p_{\text{model}}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(y; \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w} + b, 1)$$
- 4) Optimization algorithm:
 - By solving for where the gradient of the cost is zero using the normal equations

Challenges Motivating Deep Learning

- The simple ML algorithms work very well on many important problems, but have not succeeded in solving the central problems in AI, such as recognizing speech or recognizing objects.
- These ML methods become exponentially more difficult **when working with high-dimensional data**,
- Challenges
 - The Curse of Dimensionality
 - Local Constancy and Smoothness Regularization
 - Manifold Learning
 - ...
- ➔ The development of deep learning was motivated in part by the failure of traditional algorithms to generalize well on such AI tasks.

The Curse of Dimensionality

- The number of possible distinct configurations of a set of variables increases exponentially as the number of variables increases.
- $O(v^d)$ regions and examples are required
 - for d dimensions and v values to be distinguished along each axis



It causes a statistical challenge: the number of possible configurations of \mathbf{x} is much larger than the number of training examples

Local Constancy & Smoothness Regularization


- The function we learn should not change very much within a small region

$$f^*(x) \approx f^*(x + \epsilon)$$

- K-NN
 - An extreme example of the local constancy approach
 - For $k = 1$, the number of distinguishable regions cannot be more than the number of training examples
- ➔ Much of the modern motivation for deep learning is derived from studying the limitations of local template matching (Bengio *et al.*, 2006b).

Local Constancy & Smoothness Regularization

- To distinguish $O(k)$ regions in input space, all of these methods require $O(k)$ examples.



The regions defined by nearest neighbor matching form a geometric pattern called a Voronoi diagram.

Each training example **only** informs the learner about how to generalize in some neighborhood immediately surrounding that example.

Local Constancy & Smoothness Regularization


- How to represent a complex function that has many more regions than the number of training examples?
 - Assuming only smoothness of the underlying function will not allow a learner to do that
 - E.g.) the target function is a kind of checkerboard
- Can we distinguish a huge number of regions compared to the number of examples?
- Need to introduce some dependencies between the regions,
- Then, a very large number of regions, e.g., $O(2^k)$, can be defined with $O(k)$ examples

Local Constancy & Smoothness Regularization

- Deep learning can actually generalize non-locally (Bengio *et al.*, 2006c) by providing implicit or explicit assumptions in order to capture these dependencies
- Deep learning assume that the data was generated by the **composition of factors** or features, potentially at multiple levels in a hierarchy.
 - allow an exponential gain in the relationship between the number of examples and the number of regions that can be distinguished.

Manifold Learning

- A manifold is a connected region



Data sampled from a distribution in a two-dimensional space that is actually concentrated near a one-dimensional manifold, like a twisted string.

Manifold Learning

- *Manifold learning* algorithms take assumption that the data lies along a low-dimensional manifold → the *manifold hypothesis*
 - may not always be correct or useful.
- Observation 1: the probability distribution over images, text strings, and sounds that occur in real life is highly concentrated.
- Observation 2: the examples we encounter are connected to each other by other examples, → manifold structure
 - with each example surrounded by other highly similar examples that may be reached by applying transformations to traverse the manifold.

Manifold Learning



Manifold structure of a dataset consisting of faces.

Learning algorithms should discover and disentangle such manifold coordinates.