

# Convolutional Networks

Seung-Hoon Na

Chonbuk National University

# Convolutional Neural Network

- CNN: Neural networks that use **convolution** in place of general matrix multiplication in at least one of their layers.
- Convolution: a specialized kind of linear operation
  - ... does not correspond precisely to the definition of convolution as used in other fields such as engineering or pure mathematics.

# The Convolution Operation: Example

- Problem: we would like to track the location of a spaceship with a laser sensor
  - The laser sensor provides  $x(t)$ , the position of the spaceship at time  $t$ . But, the laser sensor is noisy
  - How to obtain a less noisy estimate of the position?
    - Weighted average
    - For weight? We give more weight to recent measurements
- Weighted average operation == **convolution**
  - $s(t) = \int x(a)w(t - a)$
  - $s(t) = (x * w)(t)$ 
    - In the example,  $w$  needs to be a valid probability density function

# Convolutional Neural Network: Convolution

- Convolution function

– *input & kernel* → *feature map*

The diagram illustrates the convolution function. On the left, the text "*input & kernel*" is written in purple. A blue curly bracket underneath this text is labeled "Arguments". A purple arrow points from this text to the text "*feature map*" on the right, which is also in purple. A blue curly bracket underneath this text is labeled "Output".

- Discrete convolution

–  $s(t) = (x * w)(t) = \sum_{a=-\infty}^{\infty} x(a)w(t - a)$

- Convolution on multidimensional array as input

– Input = Tensor

– E.g.) 2D array

- 2D image  $I$ , 2D kernel  $K$

# Convolution: 2D Array

- 2D image  $I$ , 2D kernel  $K$



$$S(i, j) = (I * K)(i, j) = \sum_m \sum_n I(m, n) K(i - m, j - n)$$

Commutative property of convolution  $\leftarrow$  **flipping** the kernel

$$S(i, j) = (K * I)(i, j) = \sum_m \sum_n I(i - m, j - n) K(m, n)$$

Cross-correlation  $\longrightarrow$  Many machine learning libraries implement cross-correlation and call it convolution

$$S(i, j) = (K \star I)(i, j) = \sum_m \sum_n I(i + m, j + n) K(m, n)$$




# Cross Correlation vs. Convolution

Convolution  $[f * g][n] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f[m]g[n - m]$


Cross-correlation  $[f \star g][n] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f[m]g[m + n]$

- Convolution is equivalent to flipping the kernel and applying cross-correlation
  - $[f \star g][n] = [f * g][n]$  where  $f$  is **flipped**
- Convolution is equal to cross correlation if kernel is symmetric.
- Convolution is associative  $(F * G) * H = F * (G * H)$ 
  - This is very convenient in filtering. If  $D$  is a derivative filter and  $G$  a smoothing filter then if  $I$  is the image:  $D * (G * I) = (D * G) * I$
- Correlation is not associative
  - – it is mostly used in matching, where we do not need to combine different filters.


# Convolution



# Cross-correlation




# Autocorrelation



# Correlation as Inner Product

Cross-correlation  $[f \star g][n] = \sum_{m=0}^k f[m]g[m+n]$




$$[f \star g][1] = \langle g[1 \dots 4], f \rangle$$

$$[f \star g][i] = \langle g[i \dots i+4], f \rangle$$



# Convolution as Inner Product

Convolution  $[f * g][n] = \sum_{m=0}^k f[m]g[n - m]$




$$[f * g][1] = \langle g[i + 4 \cdots i], f \rangle$$

$$[f * g][i] = \langle g[i + 4 \cdots i], f \rangle$$

# Convolution as Inner Product

- Using flipped filters



$$[f' * g][1] = \langle g[i \dots i + 4], f \rangle$$


$$f': \text{flipped kernel of } f \quad = [f \star g][1]$$



Convolution == flipping the kernel and applying correlation

# Kernels for filtering: Image Processing


- Average filter
- Gaussian filter
- Horizontal Prewitt Filter
- Vertical Prewitt Filter
- Horizontal Sobel Filter
- Vertical Sobel Filter
- High Pass
- Sharpen Filter
- SharpenLow Filter



1	1	1
1	1	1
1	1	1

Average filtering kernels

blurring the image,  
especially edges.




1	2	1
2	4	2
1	2	1


Gaussian filtering kernels

blurring the image

# 2-D Cross-Correlation




# 2-D Convolution with Kernel Flipping



Convolution == flipping the kernel and applying correlation

# 3D Convolution (or Cross-Correlation)



- Multi-channel image
  - E.g.) images with RGB color:  $N \times M \times 3 \rightarrow$  Tensor





$$S(i, j) = (K \star I)(i, j) = \sum_m \sum_n \sum_k I(i + m, j + n, k) K(m, n, k)$$

# Sparse Interactions

- Sparse connectivity or sparse weights
  - Inputs are interacted with only few number of outputs



# Sparse Interactions






# Sparse Interactions

- Using kernels, we extract features such as edges
  - Thousands or millions of pixels can be reduced to only tens or hundreds of pixels
- ➔ Reduce computational complexity
- Both on memory requirements & runtime
  - Runtime:  $O(n \times m) \rightarrow O(k \times n)$

# Sparse Interactions

- In a deep convolutional network, units in the deeper layers may **indirectly interact with a larger portion of the input**




the network efficiently describe complicated interactions between many variables using only sparse interactions


# Parameter Sharing

- The same parameter is used for more than one function in model
  - *Tied weights*
- In ConvNet, each member of kernel is used at every position of the input
  - Learn only one param set, rather than learning a separate set of params for every location
  - This does not affect the runtime of forward prop.
  - But it reduces the *store requirements*

# Parameter Sharing



# Efficiency of Edge Detection




# Equivariant Representation


- Equivariance to translation
  - Usually hold on particular functions with parameter sharing
  - A function is **equivariant**  $\Leftrightarrow$  if the input changes, the output changes in the same way
  - $f(x)$  is equivariant to a function  $g$  if  $f(g(x)) = g(f(x))$
  - In convolution, let  $g$  be a translation function
    - Then, the convolution function is equivariant to  $g$
    - E.g.)  $g$ : shifts the input ..  $g(I) = I'$ 
      - $I'(x, y) = I(x - 1, y)$
    - Convolution is not naturally equivariant to some other transformations such as scaling or rotation

# Pooling

Complex layer terminology



Simple layer terminology



# Pooling



- Replaces the output of the net at a certain location with a **summary statistic of the nearby outputs**
- *max pooling*
  - The maximum output within a rectangular neighborhood
- *Average pooling*
  - the average of a rectangular neighborhood
- *LP pooling*
  - $L_p$  norm of a rectangular neighborhood
  - $P=1 \rightarrow$  average pooling,  $P=\infty \rightarrow$  Max pooling
- *Weighed average pooling*
  - based on the distance from the central pixel



# Pooling: Invariance to Translation



- Pooling helps to make the representation become *approximately invariant to small translations* of the input
  - If we translate the input by a small amount, the values of most of the pooled outputs do not change
- *Invariance to local translation* can be a very useful property if we care more about whether some feature is present than exactly where it is.

# Pooling: Invariance to Translation




Max pooling introduces invariance

# Example of learned invariances



When a 5 appears in the input, the corresponding filter will match it and cause a large activation in a detector unit.

# Pooling with downsampling




Max-pooling with a pool width of three and a **stride** between pools of two

This reduces the representation size by a factor of two, which reduces the computational and statistical burden on the next layer

# Pooling for Classification

- Pooling is essential for handling inputs of varying size
- E.g.) classifying images of variable size
  - the input to the classification layer must have a fixed size.
  - Final pooling layer of the network may be defined to output four sets of summary statistics, one for each quadrant of an image

# Pooling for Classification



# Convolution and Pooling as Infinitely Strong Prior

- Infinitely strong prior
  - Places zero probability on some parameters
  - These parameter values are completely forbidden, regardless of how much support the data gives to those values.
- The view of infinitely strong prior for convolution
  - the weights for one hidden unit must be identical to the weights of its neighbor, but shifted in space.
- The view of infinitely strong prior for pooling
  - each unit should be invariant to small translations

# Convolution and Pooling as Infinitely Strong Prior


- This strong prior view gives some insights:
- Convolution and pooling can cause underfitting
- we should only compare convolutional models to other convolutional models in benchmarks of statistical learning performance



# Multi-channel Convolution

$$Z_{i,j,k} = \sum_{l,m,n} V_{l,j+m-1,k+n-1} K_{i,l,m,n}$$

kernel




# Convolution with a Stride: Downsampling

- Downsampled convolution function


$$Z_{i,j,k} = c(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}, s)_{i,j,k} = \sum_{l,m,n} [V_{l,(j-1) \times s + m, (k-1) \times s + n} K_{i,l,m,n}]$$

Stride




- Sample only every  $s$  pixels in each direction in the output
- $s$ : the stride of this downsampled convolution
- It is also possible
- to define a separate stride for each direction of motion.

# Convolution with a Stride




# Convolution with a Stride



the two-step approach involving downsampling is computationally wasteful

# Zero Padding

- Without zero padding, the width of the representation shrinks by one pixel less than the kernel width at each layer





# Zero Padding



By adding five implicit zeroes to each layer, we prevent the representation from shrinking with depth.



# Zero Padding

- *Valid convolution* (Narrow)
  - no zero-padding is used whatsoever, and the convolution kernel is only allowed to visit positions
  - Input width  $m \rightarrow$  output  $m-k+1$
- *Same convolution*
  - enough zero-padding is added to keep the size of the output equal to the size of the input
- *Full convolution* (Wide)
  - enough zeroes are added for every pixel to be visited  $k$  times in each direction
  - Input width  $m \rightarrow$  output  $m+k-1$





# Locally Connected Layers



# Locally Connected Layers


$$Z_{i,j,k} = \sum_{l,m,n} [V_{l,j+m-1,k+n-1} w_{i,j,k,l,m,n}]$$

unshared convolution

- 6-D tensor  $W$ 
  - $i$ , the output channel,
  - $j$ , the output row,
  - $k$ , the output column,
  - $l$ , the input channel,
  - $m$ , the row offset within the input,
  - $n$ , the column offset within the input

# Tiled Convolution

- Compromise between a convolutional layer and a locally connected layer.



$$Z_{i,j,k} = \sum_{l,m,n} V_{l,j+m-1,k+n-1} K_{i,l,m,n,j\%t+1,k\%t+1}$$

# Training ConvNet

- Suppose a convolutional network
  - Use strided convolution  $c(K, V, s)$
  - Kernel stack:  $K$
  - Multi-channel image:  $V$
  - Stride:  $s$
  - Loss function:  $J(V, K)$

# Training ConvNet

- Forward prop
  - $Z = c(K, V, s)$
- Backward prop

$$G_{i,j,k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{i,j,k}} J(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{K})$$

- Derivatives wrt. Kernel weights  $\mathbf{K}$


$$g(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{V}, s)_{i,j,k,l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial K_{i,j,k,l}} J(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{K}) = \sum_{m,n} G_{i,m,n} V_{j,(m-1) \times s + k, (n-1) \times s + l}$$

- When this layer is not the bottom layer

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{G}, s)_{i,j,k} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial V_{i,j,k}} J(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{K}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{l,m \\ \text{s.t.} \\ (l-1) \times s + m = j}} \sum_{\substack{n,p \\ \text{s.t.} \\ (n-1) \times s + p = k}} \sum_q K_{q,i,m,p} G_{q,l,n}. \end{aligned}$$


# Structured Output

- Pixel labeling



# Neuroscientific Basis for ConvNet

- V1: Primary visual cortex
  - The first area of the brain that begins to perform significantly advanced processing of visual input




# Neuroscientific Basis for ConvNet


- Some properties of V1 motivate the use of ConvNet
  - V1 is arranged in a *spatial map*.
    - It has a two-dimensional structure mirroring the structure of the image in the retina
    - The features obtained from a ConvNet are defined in terms of *two dimensional maps*
  - V1 contains many *simple cells*
    - A simple cell's activity can to some extent be characterized by a linear function of the image in a small, spatially localized receptive field.
    - The *detector units* of a ConvNet are designed to emulate these properties of simple cells.
  - V1 also contains many *complex cells*
    - These cells respond to features that are similar to those detected by simple cells, but complex cells are *invariant* to small shifts in the position of the feature
    - This inspires the *pooling units* of convolutional networks



# ImageNet Challenge and Deep Learning




# AlexNet [Krizhevsky et al '12]




Dropout & ReLU

Model	Top-1 (val)	Top-5 (val)	Top-5 (test)
<i>SIFT + FVs [7]</i>	—	—	26.2%
1 CNN	40.7%	18.2%	—
5 CNNs	38.1%	16.4%	<b>16.4%</b>
1 CNN*	39.0%	16.6%	—
7 CNNs*	36.7%	15.4%	<b>15.3%</b>

AlexNet, 8 layers  
(ILSVRC 2012)



VGG, 19 layers  
(ILSVRC 2014)




GoogleNet, 22  
layers  
(ILSVRC 2014)




# Deep Residual Network

## [He et al '16]

- ResNet, 152 layers (ILSVRC 2015)



# ResNet 152 layers



# Batch Normalization

## [Ioffe & Szegedy '15]

### ■ Covariate shift:

- Input distribution changes


$$P_{train}(\mathbf{x}) \neq P_{test}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Functional relation remains unchanged

$$P_{train}(y|\mathbf{x}) = P_{test}(y|\mathbf{x})$$

# Covariate Shift

- Example: Linear extrapolation



# Internal Covariate Shift in Deep Network

- So, when the input distribution to a learning system changes, it is said to experience **covariate shift**
  - Typically handled by domain adaptation
- **Internal Covariate Shift**
  - Refer to the change in the distributions of internal nodes of a deep network, **in the course of training**
  - Eliminating it offers a promise of faster training



Batch normalization



# Batch Normalization: Transform

**Input:** Values of  $x$  over a mini-batch:  $\mathcal{B} = \{x_{1\dots m}\}$ ;

Parameters to be learned:  $\gamma, \beta$

**Output:**  $\{y_i = \text{BN}_{\gamma, \beta}(x_i)\}$  In activation  $z = g(Wu + b)$ ,  
we add BN transform immediately before  
the nonlinearity, by normalizing  $x = Wu + b$

$$\mu_{\mathcal{B}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \quad // \text{ mini-batch mean}$$

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}})^2 \quad // \text{ mini-batch variance}$$

$$\hat{x}_i \leftarrow \frac{x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2 + \epsilon}} \quad // \text{ normalize}$$

$$y_i \leftarrow \gamma \hat{x}_i + \beta \equiv \text{BN}_{\gamma, \beta}(x_i) \quad // \text{ scale and shift}$$

Batch Normalizing Transform, applied to activation  $x$  over a mini-batch

# BN: Training

Use transformed minibatch for training

For inference, BN transform uses **average across all minibatches of the entire training**

**Input:** Network  $N$  with trainable parameters  $\Theta$ ;  
subset of activations  $\{x^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K$

**Output:** Batch-normalized network for inference,  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{inf}}$

1:  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{tr}} \leftarrow N$  // Training BN network

2: **for**  $k = 1 \dots K$  **do**

3: Add transformation  $y^{(k)} = \text{BN}_{\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}}(x^{(k)})$  to  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{tr}}$  (Alg. 1)

4: Modify each layer in  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{tr}}$  with input  $x^{(k)}$  to take  $y^{(k)}$  instead

5: **end for**

6: Train  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{tr}}$  to optimize the parameters  $\Theta \cup \{\gamma^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K$

7:  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{inf}} \leftarrow N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{tr}}$  // Inference BN network with frozen  
// parameters

8: **for**  $k = 1 \dots K$  **do**

9: // For clarity,  $x \equiv x^{(k)}$ ,  $\gamma \equiv \gamma^{(k)}$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \mu_{\mathcal{B}}^{(k)}$ , etc.

10: Process multiple training mini-batches  $\mathcal{B}$ , each of size  $m$ , and average over them:

$$\mathbb{E}[x] \leftarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}[\mu_{\mathcal{B}}]$$


$$\text{Var}[x] \leftarrow \frac{m}{m-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}[\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2]$$

11: In  $N_{\text{BN}}^{\text{inf}}$ , replace the transform  $y = \text{BN}_{\gamma, \beta}(x)$  with

$$y = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[x] + \epsilon}} \cdot x + \left( \beta - \frac{\gamma \mathbb{E}[x]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[x] + \epsilon}} \right)$$

12: **end for**

# Batch Normalization: Results



The test accuracy of the MNIST network trained with and without Batch Normalization, vs. the number of training steps

# Batch Normalization: Results

- LSVRC2012 dataset

Model	Steps to 72.2%	Max accuracy
Inception	$31.0 \cdot 10^6$	72.2%
<i>BN-Baseline</i>	$13.3 \cdot 10^6$	72.7%
<i>BN-x5</i>	$2.1 \cdot 10^6$	73.0%
<i>BN-x30</i>	$2.7 \cdot 10^6$	74.8%
<i>BN-x5-Sigmoid</i>		69.8%