

# Lecture 11: Memory and sequence modeling

Speaker: Sara Beery





# 11. Memory and sequence modeling

- CNNs for sequences
- RNNs
- LSTMs
- Sequence models and long memory







**kindergarden classroom**





television

person



chair



**“What color is the chair?”**





**“What color is the chair?”**  
**red**





**“What will the girl do next?”**










# Sequences



time



“An”, “evening”, “stroll”, “through”, “a”, “city”, “square”

time





time




















# Convolutions in time














“The Persistence of Memory”,  
Dali 1931





Frank



time













Memory  
unit



Frank




time






Memory  
unit




time






# Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)






# Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)





# Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)




$$\mathbf{h}_t = f(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}[t])$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}[t] = g(\mathbf{h}_t)$$



# Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)




$$\mathbf{h}_t = f(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}[t])$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}[t] = g(\mathbf{h}_t)$$




# Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)



$$\mathbf{h}_t = \sigma_1(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}[t] + \mathbf{b})$$


$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}[t] = \sigma_2(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_t + \mathbf{c})$$

# Deep Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)






# Backprop through time





$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{out}[t]}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{in}[0]} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{out}[t]}{\partial \mathbf{h}_T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_T}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{T-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{h}_0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{in}[0]}$$





# Parameter sharing




Parameter sharing  $\longrightarrow$  sum gradients

Outputs  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$

Hidden  $\mathbf{h}$

Inputs  $\mathbf{x}$



$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial [\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}]} = \sum_{t=0}^T \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}[t], \mathbf{y}_t)}{\partial [\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}]}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_t}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \sum_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_t}{\partial \mathbf{W}^i}$$




# The problem of long-range dependences

Why not remember everything?

- Memory size grows with  $t$
- This kind of memory is **nonparametric**: there is no finite set of parameters we can use to model it
- RNNs make a Markov assumption — the future hidden state only depends on the immediately preceding hidden state
- By putting the right info in to the hidden state, RNNs can model dependences that are arbitrarily far apart

# The problem of long-range dependences



$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}[t]}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}[0]} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}[t]}{\partial \mathbf{h}_T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_T}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{T-1}} \dots \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{h}_0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_0}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}[0]}$$

- Capturing long-range dependences requires propagating information through a long chain of dependences.
- Old observations are forgotten
- Stochastic gradients become high variance (noisy), and gradients may **vanish** or **explode**



Optional reading: more detailed discussion of stability analysis in recursion from a control theory perspective from Bhiksha Raj @ CMU



<https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~bhiksha/courses/deeplearning/Spring.2019/archive-f19/www-bak11-22-2019/document/lecture/lec13.recurrent2.pdf>

# LSTMs


Long Short Term Memory

[Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 1997]


A special kind of RNN designed to avoid forgetting.

This way the default behavior is not to forget an old state. Instead of forgetting by default, the network has to *learn to forget*.






[Slide derived from Chris Olah: <http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>]





[Slide derived from Chris Olah: <http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Understanding-LSTMs/>]





**$C_t$  = Cell state**




$$f_t = \sigma (W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$

Decide what information to throw away from the cell state.

Each element of cell state is multiplied by  $\sim 1$  (remember) or  $\sim 0$  (forget).






which indices to write to

$$i_t = \sigma (W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i)$$

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)$$

what to write to those indices


Decide what new information to add to the cell state.



$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$$

Forget selected old information, write selected new information.







$$o_t = \sigma (W_o [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh (C_t)$$

After having updated the cell state's information, decide what to output.


# Sequence models

# Autoregressive models






Training



Sampling



# Autoregressive probability model

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) p(\mathbf{x}_{n-1} | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}) \dots p(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{x}_1) p(\mathbf{x}_1)$$


$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1})$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overbrace{p(\text{time} | \text{Once, upon, a})} \\
 \underbrace{p(\text{a} | \text{Once, upon})} \\
 \overbrace{p(\text{Once upon a time})} \\
 \underbrace{p(\text{Once})} \\
 \underbrace{p(\text{upon} | \text{Once})}
 \end{array}$$

# Modeling a sequence of words


How to model  $p(\text{time}|\text{Once, upon, a})$  ?


Just treat it as a next word classifier!





# How to represent words as numbers?

Once upon a 




We can represent words as 1-hot-vectors of size  $K$ , where  $K$  is the size the vocabulary (e.g.,  $K=100,000$ ).

# How to represent words as numbers?

Prediction  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$

$$f_{\theta} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^K$$






Or, represent each character as a class (e.g.,  $K=26$  for English letters), and represent words as a sequence of characters.



Outputs

Hidden


Inputs




stimulant











enhances



cognitive




ability




"Molecule-2-text"





GNN



Outputs

Hidden

Input

A

mild

stimulant

that


enhances

cognitive


ability

END

# Training




# Training





# Training

## Teacher forcing




# Testing

Samples

Outputs  $p_{\theta}(\cdot)$

Hidden


Input



# Testing

## Beam search

Tree of  
samples



Sample multiple sequences (top-k greedy completions on each step), then pick the sequence with highest score.

Score could be model's confidence:  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_T | \mathbf{x})$





# The problem of long-range dependences

Why not remember everything?



- Memory size grows with  $t$
- This kind of memory is **nonparametric**: there is no finite set of parameters we can use to model it
- RNNs make a Markov assumption — the future hidden state only depends on the immediately preceding hidden state
- By putting the right info in to the hidden state, RNNs can model dependencies that are arbitrarily far apart

Memory  
unit



time

Memory  
units



time




# The problem of long-range dependences

Other methods exist that do directly link old “memories” (observations or hidden states) to future predictions:


- Temporal convolutions
- Attention / Transformers (see <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>)
- Memory networks (see <https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3916>)

# Modeling arbitrarily long sequences

- **Recurrence** — recurrent weights are shared across time



- **Convolution** — conv weights are shared across time



- **Attention** — weights are dynamically determined as a function of the data (conv kernel with attention weights is shown on the right)




Table 1: Maximum path lengths, per-layer complexity and minimum number of sequential operations for different layer types.  $n$  is the sequence length,  $d$  is the representation dimension,  $k$  is the kernel size of convolutions and  $r$  the size of the neighborhood in restricted self-attention.

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential Operations	Maximum Path Length
Self-Attention	$O(n^2 \cdot d)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Recurrent	$O(n \cdot d^2)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Convolutional	$O(k \cdot n \cdot d^2)$	$O(1)$	$O(\log_k(n))$
Self-Attention (restricted)	$O(r \cdot n \cdot d)$	$O(1)$	$O(n/r)$



[“Attention is All you Need”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>]



# Even-larger-context transformers

## *Efficiency from sparsification*


- **Reformer** replaces quadratic dot product attention with a mechanism that uses local hashing to get to  $O(n \log n)$  (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.04451>)
- **Performers** introduce the use of positive orthogonal random features within attention to get to  $O(n)$  (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.14794>)
- **Linformers** use low-rank matrix approximation to get  $O(n)$  in time and space (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.04768>)




# Even-larger-context transformers

## *Local + global*


- **Transformer XL** uses segment-level recurrence and fancy positional encoding to increase context (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.02860>)
- **Longformer** scales self-attention linearly with sequence length as opposed to quadratically, using deconstructed local + global attention (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.05150>)
- **Big Bird** uses a combo of random, dense sliding window, and global token attention to get sparsity, also  $O(n)$  (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.14062>)




(a) Full  $n^2$  attention



(b) Sliding window attention



(c) Dilated sliding window



(d) Global+sliding window


Figure 2: Comparing the full self-attention pattern and the configuration of attention patterns in our Longformer.



# Even-larger-context transformers

## *Retrieval-enhanced*

- **RETRO** enables retrieval from trillion-token databases based on local similarity, swapping model parameters for direct lookup (helps separate language modeling from fact lookup) (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.04426>)




# How far back can we go with attention?


- BERT: 512 tokens
- GPT-2: 1024 tokens
- GPT-3: 2048 tokens
- GPT-4: 8,000 tokens, with a souped up 32K token version available
- Anthropic apparently has a model with a 100K token window (about 75K words)



# When do we actually need long-term context?




<https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09126>



# Memory fast and slow

parameters are "slow memory"



# Fast weights? Slow activations?

- **Hypernets** are nets that output weights of another net — these weights are a “fast memory” of the input to the hypernet.
- **Code books** use tensors of activations that are learned (backprop to activations). These activations are “slow memory” of the dataset you are learning.



# 11. Memory and sequence modeling

- CNNs for sequences
- RNNs
- LSTMs
- Sequence models and long memory





# 9. Memory and sequence modeling

- CNNs for sequences
- RNNs
- LSTMs
- Sequence models