


# Lecture 12: Representation Learning I

Speaker: Phillip Isola



# 12. Representation Learning I



# 12. Representation Learning I

- Nets learn representatons / Brains learn representations

# 12. Representation Learning I

- Nets learn representatons / Brains learn representations
- Why learn representations?

# 12. Representation Learning I


- Nets learn representatons / Brains learn representations
- Why learn representations?
- Autoencoders

# 12. Representation Learning I


- Nets learn representatons / Brains learn representations
- Why learn representations?
- Autoencoders
- Clustering and VQ

# 12. Representation Learning I


- Nets learn representatons / Brains learn representations
- Why learn representations?
- Autoencoders
- Clustering and VQ
- Self-supervised learning by reconstruction



- Deep nets transform datapoints, layer by layer




- Deep nets transform datapoints, layer by layer
- Each layer is a different *representation* of the data







- Deep nets transform datapoints, layer by layer
- Each layer is a different *representation* of the data
- In the forward direction, the mapping goes from observed data to latent embeddings — this direction is called **representation learning**




- Deep nets transform datapoints, layer by layer
- Each layer is a different *representation* of the data
- In the forward direction, the mapping goes from observed data to latent embeddings — this direction is called **representation learning**
- In the reverse direction, the mapping goes from latent embeddings to observed data — this direction is called **generative modeling**






# Two different ways to represent a function







# Two different ways to represent a function



# Two different ways to represent a function



# Data transformations for a variety of neural net layers




Activations

Parameters

Wiring graph


Equation

linear




$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} + \mathbf{b}$$

relu




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \max(x_{\text{in}}[i], 0)$$

L2-norm




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{x_{\text{in}}[i]}{\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}\|_2}$$

softmax




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[i]}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[k]}}$$





Wiring graph

Equation


Mapping



$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} + \mathbf{b}$$



$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \max(x_{\text{in}}[i], 0)$$




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{x_{\text{in}}[i]}{\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}\|_2}$$



$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[i]}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[k]}}$$








Wiring graph

Equation



Mapping




$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} + \mathbf{b}$$




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \max(x_{\text{in}}[i], 0)$$



$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{x_{\text{in}}[i]}{\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}\|_2}$$




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[i]}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[k]}}$$





Wiring graph

Equation



Mapping





$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} + \mathbf{b}$$



$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \max(x_{\text{in}}[i], 0)$$




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{x_{\text{in}}[i]}{\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}\|_2}$$



$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[i]}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[k]}}$$








Wiring graph

Equation



Mapping





$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} + \mathbf{b}$$




$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \max(x_{\text{in}}[i], 0)$$



$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{x_{\text{in}}[i]}{\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}\|_2}$$





$$x_{\text{out}}[i] = \frac{e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[i]}}{\sum_{k=1}^K e^{-\tau x_{\text{in}}[k]}}$$






# MLP






Training iteration      —————      ...      —————      ...      —————      →






# MLP



...





...

Training iteration







# MLP



Training iteration → ...




# MLP



Training iteration → ...




# MLP






# MLP





# CLIP






# CLIP







Classification  
units




PIT/AIT




V4/PIT



V2/V4



V1/V2



[Serre, 2014]




# What do deep nets internally learn?

$X$




Image





# Deep Net “Electrophysiology”





[Zeiler & Fergus, ECCV 2014]

[Zhou, Khosla, Lapedriza, Oliva, Torralba., ICLR 2015]



# Deep Net “Electrophysiology”



[Zeiler & Fergus, ECCV 2014]

[Zhou, Khosla, Lapedriza, Oliva, Torralba., ICLR 2015]



# Visualizing and Understanding CNNs


[Zeiler and Fergus, 2014]

Image patches that  
activate several of the  
**layer 1** neurons most  
strongly

# Visualizing and Understanding CNNs

[Zeiler and Fergus, 2014]


Image patches that  
activate several of the  
**layer 1** neurons most  
strongly





[Zeiler and Fergus, 2014]

Image patches that  
activate several of the  
**layer 2** neurons most  
strongly





[Zeiler and Fergus, 2014]


Image patches that  
activate several of the  
**layer 3** neurons most  
strongly





[Zeiler and Fergus, 2014]

Image patches that  
activate several of the  
**layer 5** neurons most  
strongly






Classification  
units

PIT/AIT


V4/PIT

V2/V4

V1/V2



[Serre, 2014]




[Zeiler & Fergus, ECCV 2014]

x2vec

X



Image






x2vec

$X$



Image






x2vec

$X$



Image






x2vec

$X$



Image



Represent data as a neural **embedding** — a vector/tensor of neural activations  
(perhaps representing a vector of detected texture patterns or object parts)




x2vec

$X$



Image




Represent data as a neural **embedding** — a vector/tensor of neural activations  
(perhaps representing a vector of detected texture patterns or object parts)

# x2vec

Data space

Representation space



# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

How similar are these two images?



[Kriegeskorte, Mur, Ruff, et al. 2008]



# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

How similar are these two images?




How about these two?



# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis



## Representational Dissimilarity Matrix



[Kriegeskorte, Mur, Ruff, et al. 2008]

# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

## Representational Dissimilarity Matrix




[Kriegeskorte, Mur, Ruff, et al. 2008]



# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis


IT Neuronal Units




[Yamins, Hong, Cadieu, Solomon, Seibert, DiCarlo, PNAS 2014]

# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

IT Neuronal Units



Deep net (in particular, HMO)



[Yamins, Hong, Cadieu, Solomon, Seibert, DiCarlo, PNAS 2014]

# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

Deep nets and the primate brain both learn similar metric spaces.

[Kriegeskorte, Mur, Ruff, et al. 2008]

[Yamins, Hong, Cadieu, Solomon, Seibert, DiCarlo, PNAS 2014]

[Zhang, Isola, Shechtman, Efros, Wang, CVPR 2018]

# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

Deep nets and the primate brain both learn similar metric spaces.

Deep nets organize visual information similarly to how our brains do!

[Kriegeskorte, Mur, Ruff, et al. 2008]

[Yamins, Hong, Cadieu, Solomon, Seibert, DiCarlo, PNAS 2014]

[Zhang, Isola, Shechtman, Efros, Wang, CVPR 2018]

# Investigating a representation via similarity analysis

Deep nets and the primate brain both learn similar metric spaces.

Deep nets organize visual information similarly to how our brains do!

Next class: metric learning

[Kriegeskorte, Mur, Ruff, et al. 2008]

[Yamins, Hong, Cadieu, Solomon, Seibert, DiCarlo, PNAS 2014]


[Zhang, Isola, Shechtman, Efros, Wang, CVPR 2018]

Why learn representations?




# To do more learning! (aka **Transfer learning**)

“Generally speaking, a good representation is one that makes a subsequent learning task easier.” — *Deep Learning*, Goodfellow et al. 2016




# To do more learning! (aka **Transfer learning**)

“Generally speaking, a good representation is one that makes a subsequent learning task easier.” — *Deep Learning*, Goodfellow et al. 2016



# Training


## Genre recognition





# Training


## Genre recognition



Often, what we will be “tested” on is not what we were trained on.


## Training

### Genre recognition



## Testing


### Preference prediction



Often, what we will be “tested” on is not what we were trained on.


## Training

### Genre recognition



## Adapting

### Preference prediction




**Linear adaptation:** freeze  $f$ , train a new linear map to new target data




## Training

### Genre recognition



## Adapting


### Preference prediction



**Finetuning:** initialize  $f'$  as  $f$ , then continue training on new target data

## Pretraining


Genre recognition



*A lot of data*

## Adapting


Preference prediction



*A little data*

## Testing

Preference prediction



# Finetuning



# Finetuning

- Pretrain a network on task  $A$ , resulting in parameters  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$

# Finetuning

- Pretrain a network on task  $A$ , resulting in parameters  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$
- Initialize a second network with some or all of  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$

# Finetuning

- Pretrain a network on task A, resulting in parameters  **$\mathbf{W}$**  and  **$\mathbf{b}$**
- Initialize a second network with some or all of  **$\mathbf{W}$**  and  **$\mathbf{b}$**
- Train the second network on task B, resulting in parameters  **$\mathbf{W}'$**  and  **$\mathbf{b}'$**




# Finetuning

- Pretrain a network on task A, resulting in parameters  **$\mathbf{W}$**  and  **$\mathbf{b}$**
- Initialize a second network with some or all of  **$\mathbf{W}$**  and  **$\mathbf{b}$**
- Train the second network on task B, resulting in parameters  **$\mathbf{W}'$**  and  **$\mathbf{b}'$**

The “learned representation” is the *encoder* (its weights and biases) so that’s what we transfer

How do you learn a good representation?

# Representation learning




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]



# Representation learning

Good representations are:




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]

# Representation learning

Good representations are:

1. Compact (*minimal*)




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]

# Representation learning

Good representations are:

1. Compact (*minimal*)
2. Explanatory (*sufficient*)




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]



# Representation learning

Good representations are:

1. Compact (*minimal*)
2. Explanatory (*sufficient*)
3. Disentangled (*independent factors*)




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]

# Representation learning

Good representations are:

1. Compact (*minimal*)
2. Explanatory (*sufficient*)
3. Disentangled (*independent factors*)
4. Interpretable




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]

# Representation learning

Good representations are:

1. Compact (*minimal*)
2. Explanatory (*sufficient*)
3. Disentangled (*independent factors*)
4. Interpretable
5. *Make subsequent problem solving easy*




[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]



# Representation learning

Good representations are:

1. Compact (*minimal*)
2. Explanatory (*sufficient*)
3. Disentangled (*independent factors*)
4. Interpretable
5. *Make subsequent problem solving easy*
6. ...?



[See "Representation Learning", Bengio 2013, for more commentary]

# Learning from examples

(aka **supervised learning**)

Training data


$$\{x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}\}$$

$$\{x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}\}$$

$$\{x^{(3)}, y^{(3)}\}$$

...

→



→

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

$$f^* = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$

# Learning without examples

(includes **unsupervised learning** and **reinforcement learning**)



Data

$\{x^{(1)}\}$

$\{x^{(2)}\}$

$\{x^{(3)}\}$

...



?



# Representation Learning


Data

$\{x^{(1)}\}$


$\{x^{(2)}\}$

$\{x^{(3)}\}$

...



Learner



embeddings

clusters

metrics

...

# Two basic approaches: 1) compression, 2) prediction

Learning Method	Learning Principle	Short Summary
Autoencoding	Compression	Remove redundant information
Contrastive	Compression	Achieve invariance to viewing transformations
Clustering	Compression	Quantize continuous data into discrete categories
“Prediction”	Prediction	Predict the future
Imputation	Prediction	Predict missing data
Pretext tasks	Prediction	Predict abstract properties of your data

# Learning via compression

$X$



Image





# Learning via compression

$X$



Image



Compact mental  
representation

# Learning via compression

$X$



Image





# Learning via compression

$X$



Image






# Learning via compression

$X$



Image




# Learning via compression

$X$





Image





# Learning via compression





# Learning via compression


compressed image code

(vector  $\mathbf{z}$ )

$\mathbf{X}$



Image





# Learning via compression


compressed image code

(vector  $\mathbf{z}$ )

$\mathbf{X}$



Image





# Learning via compression


compressed image code

(vector  $\mathbf{z}$ )

$\mathbf{X}$



Image




$\hat{\mathbf{X}}$



Reconstructed  
image




# Learning via compression



[e.g., Hinton & Salakhutdinov, Science 2006]



# Autoencoder



$$f^*, g^* = \arg \min_{f, g} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x} - g(f(\mathbf{x}))\|_2^2$$

Data  
 $\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow$

$L_2$  autoencoder

Objective

$$\mathcal{L}(F(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) = \|F(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

Hypothesis space


$$F = g \circ f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$

$\rightarrow f$

$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$




$g$



$\mathbf{z}$


$f$



$\mathbf{x}$




Data  
 $\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow$




$\rightarrow f$


Typically,  $M < N$




Data  
 $\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow$



$\rightarrow f$







What if  $f$  and  $g$  are both linear?

Then the embedding spans the same  $M$ -dimensional subspace as PCA



# Quick experiment

Data




$L_2$  autoencoder


Objective


$$\mathcal{L}(F(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) = \|F(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

Hypothesis space


$$F = g \circ f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$$










query    nearest-neighbors in z-space









query    nearest-neighbors in z-space





# Clustering

Training

Data



Learner





Encoder

$$f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$$


# Clustering

Training

Data



Learner




Encoder

$$f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$$




Inference



Data




$$f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$$




Clusters



# Clustering — a rep learning perspective




# Clustering — a rep learning perspective



- What's the best representation that humans have come up with so far?

# Clustering — a rep learning perspective




- What's the best representation that humans have come up with so far?

- Language!




# Clustering — a rep learning perspective





- What's the best representation that humans have come up with so far?
- Language!
- Words are the atoms of language

# Clustering — a rep learning perspective



- What's the best representation that humans have come up with so far?
- Language!
- Words are the atoms of language
- Clustering is the problem of making up new words for things


# k-means






# k-means

- Map datapoints to integers (i.e. cluster)



# k-means

- Map datapoints to integers (i.e. cluster)
- In such a way that each datapoint is as close as possible to the mean of the cluster it is assigned to



# k-means



# k-means


- Map datapoints to integers (i.e. cluster)

# k-means

- Map datapoints to integers (i.e. cluster)
- In such a way that each datapoint is as close as possible to its cluster's code mean

# k-means

- Map datapoints to integers (i.e. cluster)
- In such a way that each datapoint is as close as possible to its cluster's code mean





# k-means

Data

$$\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow$$

k-means ( $L_2$ )

Objective

$$\mathcal{L}(F(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) = \|F(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

Hypothesis space

$$F = g \circ f : \{\mathbf{x}\}_{i=1}^N \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$$


Optimizer

Block coordinate descent

$$\rightarrow f$$

f and g are both lookup tables

# VQ nets




What if  $f$  and  $g$  are both deep nets?

Then we call this a **“Vector Quantized” Autoencoder**

(e.g., VQVAE, VQGAN)


[see e.g., Oord, Vinyals, Kavukcuoglu, 2017]

# Data compression







# Label prediction




# Data prediction aka "self-supervised learning"







Grayscale image: L channel

$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 1}$$



[Zhang, Isola, Efros, ECCV 2016]






Grayscale image: L channel

$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 1}$$


Color information: ab channels

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 2}$$



[Zhang, Isola, Efros, ECCV 2016]

# Deep Net “Electrophysiology”






[Zeiler & Fergus, ECCV 2014]

[Zhou, Khosla, Lapedriza, Oliva, Torralba., ICLR 2015]



# Deep Net “Electrophysiology”



[Zeiler & Fergus, ECCV 2014]


[Zhou, Khosla, Lapedriza, Oliva, Torralba., ICLR 2015]



Stimuli that drive selected neurons (conv5 layer)

# Stimuli that drive selected neurons (conv5 layer)


faces






# Stimuli that drive selected neurons (conv5 layer)

faces




dog  
faces






# Stimuli that drive selected neurons (conv5 layer)


faces





dog  
faces





flowers
































# Self-supervised learning




Escher, 1948



# Self-supervised learning

Common trick:




Escher, 1948



# Self-supervised learning


Common trick:

- Convert “unsupervised” problem into “supervised” empirical risk minimization





# Self-supervised learning



Common trick:

- Convert “unsupervised” problem into “supervised” empirical risk minimization
- Do so by cooking up “labels” (prediction targets) from the raw data itself — called **pretext task**






Pretext task:

Class  
prediction

Future frame  
prediction

Next pixel  
prediction

Model  
schematic:





# Imputation: one pretext task to rule them all?

Pretext task:




Spatial  
imputation

Spatial  
imputation


Channel  
imputation

Model  
schematic:

■ Observed  
■ Masked




# Masked Autoencoder (MAE)




[He, Chen, Xie, et al. 2021]

# Bidirectional Transformers (BERT)






# Bidirectional Transformers (BERT)



Colorless green ideas sleep furiously



Colorless green ideas sleep furiously



# word2vec

Elephant

[Mikolov, Chen, Corrado, Dean, 2013]


# word2vec

Elephant






# word2vec




[Mikolov, Chen, Corrado, Dean, 2013]

# word2vec



# word2vec



[Mikolov, Chen, Corrado, Dean, 2013]



Dim 2 ↑

Tuna

Couch

Shark

Whale


Water

Fish

Cat

Sun

Dim 1 →



Dim 2 ↑

Word	Dim 1 (approx)	Dim 2 (approx)
Sun	90	95
Couch	85	70
Cat	70	40
Tuna	50	50
Whale	25	90
Fish	25	65
Water	15	80
Shark	10	50

*Words with similar meanings should be near each other*

Dim 1 →



# word2vec

*Words with similar meanings should be near each other*

# word2vec

*Words with similar meanings should be near each other*

Proxy: words that are used in the same context tend to have similar meanings

# word2vec

*Words with similar meanings should be near each other*

Proxy: words that are used in the same context tend to have similar meanings

**words with similar contexts should be near each other**




# word2vec

*Words with similar meanings should be near each other*

Proxy: words that are used in the same context tend to have similar meanings

**words with similar contexts should be near each other**

“Meaning is use” — Wittgenstein



Next to the sofa is a desk, and a person is sitting behind it.

Next to the 'sofa' is a desk, and a 'person' is sitting behind it.

'armchair'

'man'

'bench'

'woman'

'chair'

'child'

'deck chair'

'teenager'

'ottoman'

'girl'

'seat'

'boy'

'stool'

'baby'

'swivel chair'

'daughter'

'loveseat'

'son'

...

...




word2vec

I parked the **car** in a nearby street. It is a red **car** with two doors, ...

I parked the **vehicle** in a nearby street...


word2vec

I parked the **car** in a nearby  
street. It is a red **car** with two  
doors, ...




word2vec

I parked the **car** in a nearby  
street. It is a red **car** with two  
doors, ...







# word2vec



# word2vec, training



# word2vec, training




$[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots 0]$








# word2vec, training



# word2vec, training




# word2vec, training







# word2vec, training



# word2vec, training




# word2vec, training

- In training maximize log-likelihood over the training set:


$$\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=-c}^c \log p(w_{t+i} | w_t)$$

T ... training set size  
c ... context window size






# word2vec, test time



# word2vec, test time



# Algebraic operations with the vector representation of words



# Algebraic operations with the vector representation of words

$$X = \text{Vector}(\text{"Paris"}) - \text{vector}(\text{"France"}) + \text{vector}(\text{"Italy"})$$


Algebraic operations with the vector  
representation of words

$$X = \text{Vector}(\text{"Paris"}) - \text{vector}(\text{"France"}) + \text{vector}(\text{"Italy"})$$

Closest nearest neighbor to  $X$  is  $\text{vector}(\text{"Rome"})$


Examples from <https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/representation/word2vec>






Male-Female

Examples from <https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/representation/word2vec>




Male-Female




Verb tense


Examples from <https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/representation/word2vec>



Male-Female



Verb tense




Country-Capital

Examples from <https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/representation/word2vec>



# How Much Information is the Machine Given during Learning?

- ▶ **“Pure” Reinforcement Learning (cherry)**
  - ▶ The machine predicts a scalar reward given once in a while.
  - ▶ **A few bits for some samples**
- ▶ **Supervised Learning (icing)**
  - ▶ The machine predicts a category or a few numbers for each input
  - ▶ Predicting human-supplied data
  - ▶ **10→10,000 bits per sample**
- ▶ **Self-Supervised Learning (cake génoise)**
  - ▶ The machine predicts any part of its input for any observed part.
  - ▶ Predicts future frames in videos
  - ▶ **Millions of bits per sample**



[Slide Credit: Yann LeCun]



# Summary

# Summary

1. Deep nets learn *representations*, just like our brains do

# Summary

1. Deep nets learn *representations*, just like our brains do
2. This is useful because representations transfer — they act as prior knowledge that enables quick learning on new tasks



# Summary

1. Deep nets learn *representations*, just like our brains do
2. This is useful because representations transfer — they act as prior knowledge that enables quick learning on new tasks
3. Representations can also be learned without labels, which is great since labels are expensive and limiting

# Summary

1. Deep nets learn *representations*, just like our brains do
2. This is useful because representations transfer — they act as prior knowledge that enables quick learning on new tasks
3. Representations can also be learned without labels, which is great since labels are expensive and limiting
4. Without labels there are many ways to learn representations. We saw:

# Summary

1. Deep nets learn *representations*, just like our brains do
2. This is useful because representations transfer — they act as prior knowledge that enables quick learning on new tasks
3. Representations can also be learned without labels, which is great since labels are expensive and limiting
4. Without labels there are many ways to learn representations. We saw:
  1. representations as compressed codes

# Summary

1. Deep nets learn *representations*, just like our brains do
2. This is useful because representations transfer — they act as prior knowledge that enables quick learning on new tasks
3. Representations can also be learned without labels, which is great since labels are expensive and limiting
4. Without labels there are many ways to learn representations. We saw:
  1. representations as compressed codes
  2. representations as predictions of missing data