


Lecture 15: Deep Generative Models I

Speaker: Phillip Isola



Deep generative models

- Lecture 15: fundamentals, a tour of popular models
- Lecture 16: generative modeling meets representation learning
- Lecture 17: conditional models, data prediction



Deep generative models I

- Fundamentals of generative modeling
- Density functions, energy functions, and samplers
- Gaussian models
- Autoregressive models
- Diffusion models
- Generative adversarial networks

What is a generative model?


1. An algorithm that generates data

2. A statistical model of the joint distribution of some data, $p(x,y,\dots)$


A photo of a group of robots building the Stata Center

<https://openai.com/dall-e-2/>






[Corso*, Stark*, Jing*, Barzilay, Jaakkola, 2022]





[Wolterink, Dinkla, Savenije et al., 2017]





Bird


Bird




Bird




which color?



what angle?



what size?






⋮

⋮



which color?



$\mathbf{z} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$ **do**

 extend line 1 unit in current heading direction





if $z_i == 1$ **then**

 rotate heading 10° to the right

else

 rotate heading 10° to the left

$\mathbf{z} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$



"noise"



"latent variables"

$\mathbf{z}_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$ (River turns)

$\mathbf{z}_2 \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$ (Grass color)


$\mathbf{z}_3 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 10)$ (Number trees)

$\vdots$



Concept #1: noise is latent variables


Learning data generators

Two approaches:  confusingly, sometimes called an “implicit generative model”


1. **Direct approach:** learn a function that generates data directly $G : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$
2. **Indirect approach:** learn a function that scores data; generate data by finding points that score highly under this function $E : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Training

Data




Direct Approach







Sampling

Samples



$\mathbf{z}$





Indirect approach


e.g., likelihood, energy,
"score function"

Data


$$\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$$



Learner




Scoring function






Samples

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$$



Sampling algorithm
(e.g., MCMC)



Training

Sampling

What's the goal of generative modeling?

Make synthetic data that “looks like” real data.

How to measure “looks like”?

The main answer in deep generative models is: “has high probability under a density model fit to real data.”


What's the goal of generative modeling?

The goal is not to replicate the training data but to make ***new*** data that is ***realistic*** (captures the essential properties of real data)

(A model that memorizes the training data is overfit in exactly the same sense as a classifier can be overfit)


Density models

$$p_{\theta} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$




Density models

$$p_{\theta} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$




Density models

$$p_{\theta} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$



Density models



$$p_\theta^* = \arg \min_{p_\theta} \text{KL}(p_{\text{data}}, p_\theta)$$

$$= \arg \min_{p_\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \left[-\log \frac{p_\theta(\mathbf{x})}{p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})} \right]$$


$$= \arg \max_{p_\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x})] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})]$$

max likelihood

$$= \arg \max_{p_\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x})] \quad \triangleleft \quad \text{dropped second term since no dependence on } p_\theta$$

$$\approx \arg \max_{p_\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$

Energy-based models

 i.e. unnormalized
probability models

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$$


$$p_{\theta} = \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}}}{Z(\theta)} \quad Z(\theta) = \int_{\mathbf{x}} e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_2)} = \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_1)} / Z(\theta)}{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_2)} / Z(\theta)} = \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_1)}}{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_2)}}$$

<— Relative
probabilities are often
all you need (e.g., for
sampling)

Energy-based models

At convergence, green (data) and red (model) samples are identical and model update (green-red) cancels out



Contrastive divergence

Energy-based models — learning model parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})] &= \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \left[\log \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}}{Z(\theta)} \right] \\ &= \underbrace{-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]}_{\text{How to measure this?}} - \nabla_{\theta} \log Z(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

How to measure this?

Energy-based models — learning model parameters


$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{-\nabla_{\theta} \log Z(\theta)} &= \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \nabla_{\theta} Z(\theta) &< \quad \nabla_x \log f(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} \nabla_x f(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \nabla_{\theta} \int_{\mathbf{x}} e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} &< \quad \text{definition of } Z \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \int_{\mathbf{x}} \nabla_{\theta} e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} &< \quad \text{exchange sum and grad} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} - \int_{\mathbf{x}} e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})} \nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}}{Z(\theta)} \nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &< \quad \text{definition of } p_{\theta} \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\theta}} [\nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})] &< \quad \text{definition of expectation} \end{aligned}$$

Energy-based models — learning model parameters


$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})] &= \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \left[\log \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}}{Z(\theta)} \right] \\&= \boxed{-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]} - \boxed{\nabla_{\theta} \log Z(\theta)} \\&= \boxed{-\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]} + \boxed{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p_{\theta}} [\nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]} \\&\approx \boxed{-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} + \boxed{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\theta} E_{\theta}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)})} \\&\qquad \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \sim p_{\text{data}} \qquad \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)} \sim p_{\theta}\end{aligned}$$

Energy-based models

At convergence, green (data) and red (model) samples are identical and model update (green-red) cancels out



Contrastive divergence



Concept #2: you can represent the data generating process directly or indirectly

Gaussian models




fig from [Goodfellow, 2016]

Max likelihood objective

$$\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} [\log p_{\theta}(x)]$$


Considering only Gaussian fits

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu, \sigma)$$


$$\theta = [\mu, \sigma]$$

Closed form optimum:



$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2$$




Gaussian models




Autoregressive models



Training



Sampling



Autoregressive probability model

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}) p(\mathbf{x}_{n-1} | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}) \dots p(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{x}_1) p(\mathbf{x}_1)$$


$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(\mathbf{x}_i | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1})$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overbrace{p(\text{time} | \text{Once, upon, a})} \\
 \underbrace{p(\text{a} | \text{Once, upon})} \\
 \overbrace{p(\text{Once upon a time})} \\
 \underbrace{p(\text{Once})} \\
 \underbrace{p(\text{upon} | \text{Once})}
 \end{array}$$



Modeling a sequence of words

How to model $p(\text{time}|\text{Once, upon, a})$?



Just treat it as a next word classifier!



Autoregressive model of pixels



$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}$



Prediction

$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n$




Ground truth label

$\mathbf{x}_n$




Elementwise scores


$\mathbf{x}_n \odot \log \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n$




Training




Sampling







[**Wavenet**, <https://deepmind.com/blog/wavenet-generative-model-raw-audio/>]

Diffusion models




Diffusion models


Images




Noise



Diffusion models




Diffusion models



$$\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$


Use *supervised learning* to reverse the process of adding noise



Diffusion models


$$\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$\mathbf{x}$



Denoising

Training data









$$\arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(f(\mathbf{x}_t), \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$


Converts generative modeling into a bunch of supervised prediction problems

Diffusion models

Different noise samples (dice rolls) result in different images



Gaussian diffusion models



Forward process:

$$\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$$

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{(1 - \beta_t)}\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t}\epsilon_t$$

The variances, beta and sigma, are modeling choices. See Ho, Jain, and Abbeel for details.


Reverse process:

$$\mu = f_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

[Fig adapted from Ho, Jain, Abbeel, 2020]

Gaussian diffusion models



Forward process:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t)$$

Reverse process:

$$p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t) = \mathcal{N}(f_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \sigma^2)$$

The variances, beta and sigma, are modeling choices. See Ho, Jain, and Abbeel for details.

[Fig adapted from Ho, Jain, Abbeel, 2020]

Stripped down training algorithm

Algorithm 1.2: Training a diffusion model.


```
1 Input: training data  $\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ 
2 Output: trained model  $f_\theta$ 
3 Generate training sequences via diffusion:
4 for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  do
5     for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  do
6          $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ 
7          $\mathbf{x}_t^{(i)} \leftarrow \sqrt{(1 - \beta_t)}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}^{(i)} + \sqrt{\beta_t}\epsilon_t$ 
8
9 Train denoiser  $f_\theta$  to reverse these sequences:
10  $\theta^* = \arg \min_\theta \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \mathcal{L}(f_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t^{(i)}, t), \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^{(i)})$ 
11 Return:  $f_{\theta^*}$ 
```

Colab:


<https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1YUFwGs0z0IEaBUpSdJIEZtCATe44TUjw?usp=sharing>

Autoregressive models vs diffusion models


Forward diffusion process




Reverse autoregressive sequence




Diffusion model



Autoregressive model





Concept #3: A common strategy is to turn generative modeling into a sequence of supervised learning problems



Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)


G tries to synthesize fake images that fool D

D tries to identify the fakes




$$\arg \max_D \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}} \left[\log D(G(\mathbf{z})) + \log (1 - D(\mathbf{x})) \right]$$

[Goodfellow et al., 2014]



G tries to synthesize fake images that *fool* D:


$$\arg \min_{G} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}} \left[\log D(G(\mathbf{z})) + \log (1 - D(\mathbf{x})) \right]$$



G tries to synthesize fake images that *fool* the *best* D:

$$\arg \min_G \max_D \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}} \left[\log D(G(\mathbf{z})) + \log (1 - D(\mathbf{x})) \right]$$


GANs — Training



G tries to synthesize fake images that fool D

D tries to identify the fakes


- Training: iterate between training D and G with backprop.
- Global optimum when G reproduces data distribution.





Deep generative models are distribution transformers

Prior distribution

Target distribution




$p(z)$




$p(x)$

Deep generative models are distribution transformers



Deep generative models are distribution transformers



Interpolation in data space

Data space
(Natural image manifold)



$\mathbf{X}$



Interpolation in latent space


Latent space
(Gaussian)

$\mathbf{Z}$



Data space
(Natural image manifold)


$\mathbf{X}$



[BigGAN, Brock et al. 2018]



Generative models organize the manifold of natural images



Deep generative models I

- Fundamentals of generative modeling
- Density functions, energy functions, and samplers
- Gaussian models
- Autoregressive models
- Diffusion models
- Generative adversarial networks