


Lecture 16: Deep Generative Models II

Speaker: Phillip Isola



Deep generative models II

- Generative modeling as inverse representation learning
- Variational autoencoders
- Disentanglement


Generative modeling vs Representation learning

Representation learning:

mapping data to abstract representations
(analysis)

Generative modeling:


mapping abstract representations to data
(*synthesis*)





Deep generative models are distribution transformers

Prior distribution

Target distribution




$p(z)$




$p(x)$



Representation learning




Generative modeling




Autoencoder




Generative model






Mixture of Gaussians




Parameters: $\{w_i, \mu_i, \Sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \mu_i, \Sigma_i)$$


Model distribution




Infinite Mixture of Gaussians



Latent variable models



Infinite GMM / VAE



VAEs — learning the model parameters

$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} L(\{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N, \theta)$$

$$= \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \underbrace{\int_{\mathbf{z}} \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; g_{\theta}^{\mu}(\mathbf{z}), g_{\theta}^{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z}))}^{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \mathbf{z})} \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})}^{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z}}_{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}$$

Trick #1: Estimate the integral via sampling (Monte Carlo)


$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{z}} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})} [p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})]$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^{(i)}), \quad \mathbf{z}^{(j)} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}$$


Fitting an infinite mixture of Gaussians via Monte Carlo

$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \sum_{j=1}^M \overbrace{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; g_{\theta}^{\mu}(\mathbf{z}^{(j)}), g_{\theta}^{\Sigma}(\mathbf{z}^{(j)}))}^{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \mathbf{z}^{(j)})}$$



Trick #2: Importance sampling


Random sampling $\mathbf{z}^{(j)} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}$
7 samples



$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}} [p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})]$$

Trick #2: Importance sampling

Random sampling $\mathbf{z}^{(j)} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}$
7 samples



$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{1}{M} (p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^{(1)}) + p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^{(2)}) + p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^{(3)}) + \dots)$$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{1}{M} (0 + p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}^{(2)}) + 0 + \dots)$$


Trick #2: Importance sampling

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}} \left[p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}) \right] = \int_{\mathbf{z}} p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}) p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = \int_{\mathbf{z}} q_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\mathbf{z}}} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Set $q_{\mathbf{z}} = p_{\theta}(Z \mid \mathbf{x})$

Trick #2: Importance sampling

Importance sampling $\mathbf{z}^{(j)} \sim p_\theta(Z|\mathbf{x})$
1 sample




$$p_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}} [p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})] \quad \longrightarrow \quad p_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_\theta(Z|\mathbf{x})} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{p_\theta(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$$

Trick #2: Importance sampling

Random sampling


7 samples



$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\mathbf{z}}} [p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})]$$


Importance sampling

1 sample




$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim p_{\theta}(Z|\mathbf{x})} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$$


Trick #3: Predict the optimal sampling distribution for each given datapoint



Trick #3: Predict the optimal sampling distribution for each given datapoint



Trick #3: Predict the optimal sampling distribution for each given datapoint



Trick #3: Predict the optimal sampling distribution for each given datapoint

We are fitting this

to model this

$$J_q = \text{KL}(\boxed{q_\psi(Z|\mathbf{x})} \parallel p_\theta(Z|\mathbf{x}))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(Z|\mathbf{x})} [\log q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) - \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) - \log p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})] + \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x})$$

Our learning problem for q :

$$\psi^* = \arg \min_{\psi} J_q(\psi)$$


$$= \arg \min_{\psi} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(Z|\mathbf{x})} [\log q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) - \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) - \log p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})]}_J$$

Putting everything together

Our learning problem for q :

$$\psi^* = \arg \min_{\psi} J_q(\psi)$$


$$= \arg \min_{\psi} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\psi}(Z|\mathbf{x})} [\log q_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) - \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) - \log p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})]}_J$$



Our learning problem for p :

$$J_p = -\log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\psi}(Z|\mathbf{x})} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$$

$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} J_p(\theta)$$



Putting everything together

Improving our learning problem for p:


$$J_p = -\log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$$

$$\leq -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \left[\log \left(\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right) \right] \quad \triangleleft \text{Jensen's inequality}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \left[\log q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) - \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) - \log p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}) \right]$$

$$= J$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \left[-\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right] + \text{KL}(q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) || p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z}))$$




← -J = Evidence Lower-Bound (ELBO)

Now, p and q share the same exact objective!


$$\theta^*, \psi^* = \arg \min_{\theta, \phi} J(\theta, \phi)$$

Trick #3: Predict the optimal sampling distribution for each given datapoint




$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_{\psi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$$

Trick #3: Predict the optimal sampling distribution for each given datapoint



$$p_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(Z|\mathbf{x})} \left[\frac{p_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})}{q_\psi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right]$$

Looks like an autoencoder!



$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_\psi(Z|\mathbf{x})} \left[-\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \right] + \text{KL}(q_\psi(Z|\mathbf{x}) || p_z(\mathbf{z}))$$

$$\mu_z = f_\psi^\mu(x)$$

$$\sigma_z^2 = f_\psi^\Sigma(x)$$

$$\mu_x = g_\theta^\mu(\mu_z + \epsilon \sigma_z)$$

$$\sigma_x^2 = g_\theta^\Sigma(\mu_z + \epsilon \sigma_z)$$

reconstruction error


$$\log \frac{1}{\sigma_x \sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{(x - \mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x^2} + \frac{1}{2}(\mu_z^2 + \sigma_z^2 - \log(\sigma_z^2) - 1)$$

(eqns for 1D x and z)

data likelihood under 1 importance sample


squash encodings toward origin

Autoencoder








- Encodes and decodes the data
- Low-dimensional bottleneck

Variational Autoencoder



- Encodes and decodes the data
- Low-dimensional bottleneck
- Gaussian bottleneck (**can sample; disentangled**)





VAE — three tricks



- Trick #1: approximate an infinite mixture with samples
- Trick #2: sample efficiently via importance sampling
- Trick #3: predict the optimal sampling distribution for each datapoint

Training a VAE — Step by step


- Sample one or more $\mathbf{x} \sim \{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$
- *Encode* the data with a forward pass through f_ψ
- For each datapoint, create one or more noisy latent codes using the distribution parameterized by the encoder
- *Decode* the data by passing the noisy latent codes through the g_θ
- Compute the losses and backprop to update θ and ψ

$\mathbf{z}_1 \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$
 $\mathbf{z}_2 \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$
 $\mathbf{z}_3 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 10)$
 $\vdots$



(River turns)
(Grass color)
(Number trees)



Training



Data







$\rightarrow f_\psi, g_\theta$



Sampling



$\mathbf{z}$



Samples

Synthesized Images

Latent variables
(controls)




v




“River curvature”

Synthesized Images

Latent variables
(controls)




“Background color”



“River curvature”

BigGAN [Brock et al. 2018]





Latent variables
(controls)



“Background color”



“Bird orientation”



Comparing popular model types

Method	Latents?	Density/Energy?	Generator?
Energy-based models	X	✓ (energy only)	X
Gaussian	X	✓	X
Autoregressive models	X	✓	✓ (slow)
Diffusion models	✓ (high-dimensional)	X	✓ (slow)
GANs	✓	X	✓
VAEs	✓	X	✓

* rough categorization of vanilla versions of each model