

Lecture 2: How to train a neural net

Speaker: Sara Beery


Announcements

- Pset 1 out — due 9/26
- OH starting this week, see webpage for locations and times
- Pytorch tutorials this week

2. How to train a neural net

- Review of gradient descent, SGD
- Computation graphs
- Backprop through chains
- Backprop through MLPs
- Backprop through DAGs
- Optimization tricks
- Differentiable programming


Deep learning



$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$


Gradient descent

$J(\theta)$



$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} J(\theta)$$

Gradient descent




$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})}_{J(\theta)}$$


One iteration of gradient descent:

$$\theta^{t+1} = \theta^t - \eta_t \left. \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right|_{\theta = \theta^t}$$

learning rate

Computation Graphs



A graph of functional transformations, nodes (), that when strung together perform some useful computation.

Deep learning deals (primarily) with computation graphs that take the form of **directed acyclic graphs** (DAGs), and for which each node is differentiable.


Chains

- Consider model with L layers. Layer l has vector of weights θ_l
- Forward pass:** takes input \mathbf{x}_{l-1} and passes it through each layer f_l :


$$\mathbf{x}_l = f_l(\mathbf{x}_{l-1}, \theta_l)$$

- An example of such a computation graph is an MLP
- Loss function** \mathcal{L} compares \mathbf{x}_L to \mathbf{y}
- Overall cost is the sum of the losses over all training examples:


$$J = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_L^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$



Gradient descent



- We need to compute gradients of the cost with respect to **model parameters**.
- By design, each layer will be differentiable with respect to its inputs (the inputs are the data and parameters)




Computing gradients

To compute the gradients, we could start by writing the full energy J as a function of the model parameters.


$$J(\theta) = \sum_{i=1} \mathcal{L}(f_L(\dots f_2(f_1(\mathbf{x}_0^{(i)}, \theta_1), \theta_2), \dots, \theta_L), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$

And then evaluate each partial derivatives separately...

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_l}$$



instead, we can use the chain rule to derive a compact algorithm: **backpropagation**



Matrix calculus

- \mathbf{x} column vector of size $[n \times 1]$:
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

- We now define a function on vector \mathbf{x} : $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$
- If y is a scalar, then

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n} \right)$$

The derivative of y is a row vector of size $[1 \times n]$

- If \mathbf{y} is a vector $[m \times 1]$, then (*Jacobian formulation*):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The derivative of \mathbf{y} is a matrix of size $[m \times n]$

(m rows and n columns)

Matrix calculus

- If y is a scalar and \mathbf{X} is a matrix of size $[n \times m]$, then

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{11}} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{21}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{n1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{1m}} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2m}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{nm}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The output is a matrix of size $[m \times n]$

Wikipedia: The three types of derivatives that have not been considered are those involving vectors-by-matrices, matrices-by-vectors, and matrices-by-matrices. These are not as widely considered and a notation is not widely agreed upon.

Matrix calculus

- Chain rule:

For the function: $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(g(\mathbf{x}))$

Its derivative is: $h'(\mathbf{x}) = f'(g(\mathbf{x}))g'(\mathbf{x})$

and writing $\mathbf{z} = f(\mathbf{u})$, and $\mathbf{u} = g(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}} = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \right|_{\mathbf{u}=g(\mathbf{a})} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}}$$

\nearrow
 $[m \times n]$


\nwarrow
 $[m \times p]$

\nwarrow
 $[p \times n]$

with $p = \text{length of vector } \mathbf{u} = |\mathbf{u}|$, $m = |\mathbf{z}|$, and $n = |\mathbf{x}|$

Example, if $|\mathbf{z}| = 1$, $|\mathbf{u}| = 2$, $|\mathbf{x}| = 4$

$$h'(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{blue} & \text{blue} & \text{blue} & \text{blue} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{blue} & \text{blue} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & \text{red} & \text{red} & \text{red} \\ \hline \text{red} & \text{red} & \text{red} & \text{red} \\ \hline \end{array}$$



Computing gradients


The loss J is the sum of the losses associated with each training example

$$J(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_L^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}^{(i)}; \theta)$$

Its gradient with respect to each of the network's parameters θ_i is:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_L^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}^{(i)}; \theta)}{\partial \theta_i}$$


Aka how much J varies when the parameter θ_i is varied.



Computing gradients

To compute the parameter update for the last layer, we can use the **chain rule**:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_L} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \theta_L}$$



How much the loss changes when we change θ_i ?

The change is the product between how much the loss changes when we change the output of the last layer and how much the output changes when we change the layer parameters.


Computing gradients

To compute the parameter update for the last layer, we can use the **chain rule**:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_L} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \theta_L}$$

To compute the parameter update for the second-to-last layer:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_{L-1}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}}{\partial \theta_{L-1}}$$




Computing gradients

To compute the parameter update for the 2nd and 1st layers:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_2} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\partial \theta_2}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_1} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}{\partial \theta_1}$$

Blue terms are all shared! Can compute that product once and share it between these two equations.




The trick of backpropagation — reuse of computation (aka dynamic programming)

Gradient w.r.t. loss at layer L-1 \longrightarrow $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}}$ \longleftarrow Layer L's gradient

\uparrow
Gradient w.r.t. loss at layer L

The diagram illustrates the reuse of computation in backpropagation. It shows the chain rule for the gradient of the total loss J with respect to the input of layer $L-1$, $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}}$. This gradient is calculated as the product of the gradient of J with respect to the input of layer L , $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}$, and the Jacobian of the transformation from \mathbf{x}_{L-1} to \mathbf{x}_L , $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}}$. The term $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}$ is identified as 'Layer L's gradient'. An arrow points from the text 'Gradient w.r.t. loss at layer L' to $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}$. Another arrow points from the text 'Gradient w.r.t. loss at layer L-1' to $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}}$. A third arrow points from 'Layer L's gradient' to $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}$. The overall flow of the equation is from right to left, indicating the backward pass of gradients.

The trick of backpropagation — reuse of computation (aka dynamic programming)




$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_1} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\mathbf{x}_1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}{\partial \theta_1}$$


$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_2} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{L-1}} \cdots \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\partial \theta_2}$$

Backpropagation — Goal: to update parameters of layer l

- Layer l has three inputs (during training)




- And three outputs



- Given the inputs, we just need to evaluate:

$$f_l \quad \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{l-1}} \quad \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial \theta_l}$$



Backpropagation Summary

1. **Forward pass:** for each training example, compute the outputs for all layers:


$$\mathbf{x}_l = f_l(\mathbf{x}_{l-1}, \theta_l)$$

2. **Backwards pass:** compute loss derivatives iteratively from top to bottom:


$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{l-1}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} \cdot \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{l-1}}$$

3. **Parameter update:** Compute gradients w.r.t. weights, and update weights:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta_l} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} \cdot \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial \theta_l}$$



Linear layer



- Forward propagation: $\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}$$

With \mathbf{W} being a matrix of size $|\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}| \times |\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}|$

- Backprop to input:

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}} \triangleq \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{x}}$$

If we look at the i component of output \mathbf{x}_{out} , with respect to the j component of the input, \mathbf{x}_{in} :


$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}_i}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}_j}} = \mathbf{W}_{ij} \rightarrow \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}} = \mathbf{W}$$

Therefore:

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{W}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \mathbf{W}$$

Linear layer



- Forward propagation: $\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}$
- Backprop to input:


$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{W}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \mathbf{W}$$

The equation is represented with matrices: a 1x4 red matrix for \mathbf{g}_{in} , an equals sign, a 1x3 red matrix for \mathbf{g}_{out} , and a 3x4 blue matrix for \mathbf{W} .

Now let's see how we use the set of outputs to compute the weights update equation (backprop to the weights).

Linear layer



- Forward propagation: $\mathbf{x}_{\text{out}} = f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}$
- Backprop to weights:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}}{\partial \mathbf{W}}$$

If we look at how the parameter W_{ij} changes the cost, only the i component of the output will change, therefore:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial W_{ij}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}_i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}_i}}{\partial W_{ij}} \quad \uparrow \quad \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}_i}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}_i}}{\partial W_{ij}} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}_j}$$


$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} \cdot \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{out}}} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{in}} \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}}$$

And now we can update the weights:

$$\mathbf{W}^{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}^k + \eta \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \right)^T$$


Linear layer



Weight updates:

$$\mathbf{W}^{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}^k + \eta \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \right)^T$$

Now lets look at a whole MLP: Forward



$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}$$

A visual representation of the matrix multiplication $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}$. On the left, a green vertical vector \mathbf{z} with 3 elements is shown. In the middle is an equals sign. To the right of the equals sign is a blue 3x4 grid representing the weight matrix \mathbf{W}_1 . To the right of the grid is a green vertical vector \mathbf{x} with 4 elements.

$$\mathbf{h} = \text{relu}(\mathbf{z})$$


$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{h}$$

A visual representation of the matrix multiplication $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{h}$. On the left, a green vertical vector $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ with 2 elements is shown. In the middle is an equals sign. To the right of the equals sign is a blue 2x3 grid representing the weight matrix \mathbf{W}_2 . To the right of the grid is a green vertical vector \mathbf{h} with 3 elements.

$$J = \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$$

Now lets look at a whole MLP: Backward

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{in}}^T = (\mathbf{g}_{\text{out}} \mathbf{W})^T = \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{g}_{\text{out}}^T$$



$$\mathbf{g}_4^T = \mathbf{W}_1^T \mathbf{g}_3^T$$

A vertical red vector of size 4 is equal to a blue 4x3 matrix multiplied by a vertical red vector of size 3.

$$\mathbf{g}_3^T = \mathbf{H}'^T \mathbf{g}_2^T$$

A vertical red vector of size 3 is equal to a 3x3 matrix multiplied by a vertical red vector of size 3. The matrix has diagonal elements labeled a, b, and c, with all other elements being 0.

$$\mathbf{g}_2^T = \mathbf{W}_2^T \mathbf{g}_1^T$$

A vertical red vector of size 3 is equal to a blue 3x2 matrix multiplied by a vertical red vector of size 2.


$$\mathbf{g}_1^T = 2(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{1}$$

A vertical red vector of size 2 is equal to a blue 2x1 vector multiplied by a red scalar 1.



Inputs

Backpropagation (1 iteration)


Outputs





DAGs



Parameter sharing




Parameter sharing



Parameter sharing \longrightarrow sum gradients

Optimization



- What's the knowledge we have about J?







- We can evaluate $J(\theta)$
- We can evaluate $J(\theta)$ and $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ Gradient
- We can evaluate $J(\theta)$, $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$, and $H_{\theta}(J(\theta))$ Hessian

← Black box optimization







← First order optimization

← Second order optimization







Which are differentiable?



Which are have defined gradients in pytorch?



Which will be hard to optimize?



Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)


- Want to minimize overall loss function J , which is sum of individual losses over each example.
- In Stochastic gradient descent, compute gradient on sub-set (batch) of data.
 - If batchsize=1 then θ is updated after each example.
 - If batchsize=N (full set) then this is standard gradient descent.
- Gradient direction is noisy, relative to average over all examples (standard gradient descent).
- Advantages
 - Faster: approximate total gradient with small sample
 - Implicit regularizer
- Disadvantages
 - High variance, unstable updates

Momentum


- A heavy ball rolling down a hill, gains speed.
- Gradient steps biased to continue in direction of previous update:

$$\theta^{t+1} \leftarrow \theta^t - \eta \nabla f(\theta^t) - \alpha m^t$$

- Can help or hurt. Strength of momentum is a hyperparam.



Why Momentum Really Works



Step-size $\alpha = 0.02$



Momentum $\beta = 0.99$



We often think of Momentum as a means of dampening oscillations and speeding up the iterations, leading to faster convergence. But it has other interesting behavior. It allows a larger range of step-sizes to be used, and creates its own oscillations. What is going on?

GABRIEL GOH
UC Davis


April. 4
2017

Citation:
Goh, 2017



<https://distill.pub/2017/momentum/>

Differentiable programming

Deep learning



Differentiable programming



```
1 for i, data in enumerate(dataset):
2     iter_start_time = time.time()
3     if total_steps % opt.print_freq == 0:
4         t_data = iter_start_time - iter_data_time
5         visualizer.reset()
6         total_steps += opt.batch_size
7         epoch_iter += opt.batch_size
8         model.set_input(data)
9         model.optimize_parameters()
```

Differentiable programming

Deep nets are popular for a few reasons:

1. Easy to optimize (differentiable)
2. Compositional “block based programming”

An emerging term for general models with these properties is **differentiable programming**.



Yann LeCun

January 5 · 🌐

OK, Deep Learning has outlived its usefulness as a buzz-phrase. Deep Learning est mort. Vive Differentiable Programming!



Thomas G. Dietterich

@tdietterich

Following

DL is essentially a new style of programming--"differentiable programming"--and the field is trying to work out the reusable constructs in this style. We have some: convolution, pooling, LSTM, GAN, VAE, memory units, routing units, etc. 8/

8:02 AM - 4 Jan 2018

65 Retweets 194 Likes




6

65

194




Differentiable programming




[Figure from "Neural Module Networks", Andreas et al. 2017]


Software 2.0

[Andrej Karpathy: <https://karpathy.medium.com/software-2-0-a64152b37c35>]






Programmed by a human




Programmed by backprop




e.g., programmed by tuning behavior to match training examples

Backprop lets you optimize any node (function) or edge (variable) in your computation graph w.r.t. to any scalar cost




Backprop lets you optimize any node (function) or edge (variable) in your computation graph w.r.t. to any scalar cost



$\frac{\partial}{\partial}$  How the loss changes when the weights of that function (yellow) change


Backprop lets you optimize any node (function) or edge (variable) in your computation graph w.r.t. to any scalar cost



$\frac{\partial \text{red square}}{\partial \text{yellow square}}$ How the loss changes when the functional node highlighted changes

$\frac{\partial \text{Cost}}{\partial \text{Input Data}}$ How the cost changes when the input data changes

Optimizing parameters versus optimizing inputs




$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}$$



How much the total cost is increased or decreased by changing the parameters.

Optimizing parameters versus optimizing inputs



$$\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$



How much the “chameleon” score is increased or decreased by changing the image pixels.

Unit visualization

Make an image that maximizes the “cat”
output neuron:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} y_j + \lambda R(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^k + \eta \frac{\partial(y_j(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda R(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^k}$$




[<https://distill.pub/2017/feature-visualization/>]

Unit visualization







Make an image that maximizes the value of neuron j on layer l of the network:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} h_{l_j} + \lambda R(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^k + \eta \frac{\partial (h_{l_j}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda R(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^k}$$




[<https://distill.pub/2017/feature-visualization/>]



“Deep dream” [<https://ai.googleblog.com/2015/06/inceptionism-going-deeper-into-neural.html>]

CLIP


1. Contrastive pre-training





CLIP+GAN

INPUT:


"What is the answer to the
ultimate question of life, the
universe, and everything?"




e_1





To maximize
this




Optimize this




z



OUTPUT:




e_2



2. How to train a neural net

- Review of gradient descent, SGD
- Computation graphs
- Backprop through chains
- Backprop through MLPs
- Backprop through DAGs
- Optimization tricks
- Differentiable programming

Backpropagation example




Learning rate $\eta = -0.2$ (because we used positive increments)

Euclidean loss

Training data: input		desired output
node 1	node 2	node 5
1.0	0.1	0.5

Exercise: run one iteration of back propagation


Backpropagation example



After one iteration (rounding to two digits)

Step by step solution

First, let's rewrite the network using the modular block notation:



We need to compute all these terms simply so we can find the weight updates at the bottom.

Our goal is to perform the following two updates:

$$\mathbf{W}_0^{k+1} = \mathbf{W}_0^k + \eta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_0} \right)^T$$

$$\mathbf{W}_1^{k+1} = \mathbf{W}_1^k + \eta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_1} \right)^T$$

where \mathbf{W}^k are the weights at some iteration k of gradient descent given by the first slide:

$$\mathbf{W}_0^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{W}_1^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

First we compute the derivative of the loss with respect to the output:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}} = \mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{y}$$

Now, by the chain rule, we can derive equations, working *backwards*, for each remaining term we need:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} = \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}} \mathbf{W}_1$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \frac{\partial \tanh(\mathbf{x}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} = \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}} (1 - \tanh^2(\mathbf{x}_1))$$

ending up with our two gradients needed for the weight update:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_0} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}{\partial \mathbf{W}_0} = \mathbf{x}_0 \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_1} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}{\partial \mathbf{W}_1} = \mathbf{x}_2 \boxed{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3}}$$

Notice the ordering of the two terms being multiplied here. The notation hides the details but you can write out all the indices to see that this is the correct ordering — or just check that the dimensions work out.

The values for input vector \mathbf{x}_0 and target y are also given by the first slide:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 \\ 0.1 \end{pmatrix} \quad y = 0.5$$

Finally, we simply plug these values into our equations and compute the numerical updates:

Forward pass:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{W}_0 \mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0.1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7 \\ 0.3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2 = \tanh(\mathbf{x}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.604 \\ 0.291 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_3 = \mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.604 \\ 0.291 \end{pmatrix} = 0.313$$


$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_3 - y)^2 = 0.017$$

Backward pass:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3} = \mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{y} = -0.1869$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3} \mathbf{W}_1 = -0.1869 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.1869 & 0.1869 \end{pmatrix}$$

diagonal matrix because tanh is a pointwise operation



$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} (1 - \tanh^2(\mathbf{x}_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.1869 & 0.1869 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tanh^2(0.7) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \tanh^2(0.3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.1186 & 0.171 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_0} = \mathbf{x}_0 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 \\ 0.1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.1186 & 0.171 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.1186 & 0.171 \\ -0.01186 & 0.0171 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_1} = \mathbf{x}_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.604 \\ 0.291 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -0.1186 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.113 \\ -0.054 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gradient updates:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_0^{k+1} &= \mathbf{W}_0^k + \eta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_0} \right)^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - 0.2 \begin{pmatrix} -0.1186 & 0.171 \\ -0.01186 & 0.0171 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.02 & -3.0 \\ 0.17 & 1.0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_1^{k+1} &= \mathbf{W}_1^k + \eta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{W}_1} \right)^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - 0.2 \begin{pmatrix} -0.113 & -0.054 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.02 & -0.989 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$