

# Lecture 9: Transformers









Speaker: Sara Beery



# 9. Transformers

- Three key ideas
  - Tokens
  - Attention
  - Positional encoding
- Examples of architectures and applications




Rank	Model	Top 1 Accuracy 	Top 5 Accuracy	Number of params	GFLOPs	Extra Training Data	Paper	Code	Result	Year	Tags 
1	CoCa (finetuned)	91.0%		2100M		✓	CoCa: Contrastive Captioners are Image-Text Foundation Models			2022	<div><div>Transformer</div><div>JFT-3B</div><div>ALIGN</div></div>
2	Model soups (BASIC-L)	90.98%		2440M		✓	Model soups: averaging weights of multiple fine-tuned models improves accuracy without increasing inference time			2022	<div><div>Conv+Transformer</div><div>JFT-3B</div><div>ALIGN</div></div>
3	Model soups (ViT-G/14)	90.94%		1843M		✓	Model soups: averaging weights of multiple fine-tuned models improves accuracy without increasing			2022	<div><div>Transformer</div><div>JFT-3B</div></div>

Idea #1: tokens


# A new data structure: Tokens

- A **token** is just transformer lingo for a vector of neurons (note: GNNs also operate over tokens, but over there we called them “node attributes” or node “feature descriptors”)
- But the connotation is that a token is an encapsulated bundle of information; with transformers we will operate over tokens rather than over neurons

array of **neurons**



array of **tokens**







# A new data structure: Tokens

- A **token** is just transformer lingo for a vector of neurons (note: GNNs also operate over tokens, but over there we called them “node attributes” or node “feature descriptors”)
- But the connotation is that a token is an encapsulated bundle of information; with transformers we will operate over tokens rather than over neurons

array of **neurons**




array of **tokens**




# A new data structure: Tokens

- A **token** is just transformer lingo for a vector of neurons (note: GNNs also operate over tokens, but over there we called them “node attributes” or node “feature descriptors”)
- But the connotation is that a token is an encapsulated bundle of information; with transformers we will operate over tokens rather than over neurons

set of **neurons**




set of **tokens**





# Tokenizing the input data



e.g., linear projection




- When operating over *neurons*, we represent the input as an array of scalar-valued measurements (e.g., pixels)
- When operating over *tokens*, we represent the input as an array of vector-valued measurements




# Tokenizing the input data

You can tokenize anything.

General strategy: chop the input up into chunks, project each chunk to a vector.




# Notation



# Linear combination of tokens

## Linear combination of neurons




$$x_{out} = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_3$$

$$x_{out}[i] = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij} x_{in}[j]$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{out} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}_{in}$$

## Linear combination of tokens



$$\mathbf{t}_{out} = w_1 \mathbf{t}_1 + w_2 \mathbf{t}_2 + w_3 \mathbf{t}_3$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{out}[i, :] = \sum_{j=1}^N w_{ij} \mathbf{T}_{in}[j, :]$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{out} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{T}_{in}$$



# Token-wise nonlinearity

$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{relu}(x_{\text{in}}[0]) \\ \vdots \\ \text{relu}(x_{\text{in}}[N-1]) \end{bmatrix}$$

F is typically an MLP


Equivalent to a CNN with 1x1  
kernels run over token sequence

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\theta}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}[0, :]) \\ \vdots \\ F_{\theta}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}[N-1, :]) \end{bmatrix}$$

# Token-wise nonlinearity


$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{relu}(x_{\text{in}}[0]) \\ \vdots \\ \text{relu}(x_{\text{in}}[N-1]) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{\theta}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}[0, :]) \\ \vdots \\ F_{\theta}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}[N-1, :]) \end{bmatrix}$$



# Token nets


## Neural net






# Token nets

**Neural net**




**Token net**



The diagram illustrates a 3D convolution operation. It shows a 3x3x3 input volume (represented by three vertical rectangles) being processed by a 3x3x3 output volume (also represented by three vertical rectangles). The input and output are connected by a series of arrows, indicating the flow of data through the convolution process. The arrows show that each output element is a function of its corresponding input element and its neighbors in the 3D space.


# COMBINE

may be  
shared  
weights


token-wise nonlinearity  $\triangleright$ 

The diagram illustrates a 3D convolution operation. It shows a 3x3x3 input volume (represented by three vertical rectangles) being processed by a 3x3x3 convolution kernel (represented by three vertical rectangles) to produce a 3x3x3 output volume (represented by three vertical rectangles). The input and output volumes are connected by arrows, indicating the flow of data. The convolution kernel is applied to the input volume to produce the output volume.

# A view from the graph perspective



Sets are like graphs  
without edges




Sets as complete graphs:  
focus on pairwise interactions  
(e.g., **transformer**: aggregation  
with *attention*)

**DeepSets and Transformer architectures may be viewed as Graph Neural Networks**

Idea #2: attention

# A limitation of CNNs




How many birds are in this image?

Is the top right bird the same species as the bottom left bird?

CNNs are built around the idea of locality, and are not well-suited to modeling long distance relationships




# What is attention?




How many birds are in this image?

# What is attention?



Is the top right bird the same species as the bottom left bird?

# What is attention?



What's the color of the sky?




# Different ways of aggregating information over space







conv w/o overlap




conv w overlap



conv pyramid




fc layer







# Attention layer



fc layer



attn layer



$$\mathbf{A} = f(\dots)$$

◁ attention

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{out}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}$$

$W$  is free parameters.

$A$  is a function of some input *data*. The data tells us which tokens to attend to (assign high weight in weighted sum)

$t_{\text{out}}$

$t_{\text{in}}$





What  
color is the  
bird's head?




$t_{\text{out}}$

$t_{\text{in}}$






# query-key-value attention





# Self-attention






# Attention maps in a trained transformer




["DINO", Caron et al. 2021]




fc layer



self attn layer




self attn layer (expanded)






# Transformer (simplified)




["Attention is All You Need", Vaswani et al. 2017]  
["Vision Transformer", Dosovitskiy et al. 2020]

## MLP



## Transformer (vanilla)



# Multihead self-attention (MSH)

Rather than having just one way of attending, why not have  $k$ ?

Each gets its own parameterized `query()`, `key()`, `value()` functions.

Run them all in parallel, then (weighted) sum the output token code vectors

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}^i = \text{attn}^i(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}) \quad \forall i$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}^1[0, :] & \dots & \mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}^k[0, :] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}^1[N-1, :] & \dots & \mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}^k[N-1, :] \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\triangleleft \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{\text{out}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times kv}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{out}} = \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{\text{out}} \mathbf{W}$$

$$\triangleleft \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{kv \times d}$$




# Transformer (ViT)



Idea #3: positional encoding



# Permutation equivariance



$$F_{\theta}(\text{permute}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}})) = \text{permute}(F_{\theta}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}))$$

$$\text{attn}(\text{permute}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}})) = \text{permute}(\text{attn}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}))$$




$$\text{transformer}(\text{permute}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}})) = \text{permute}(\text{transformer}(\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}))$$

Set2Set


# What if you *don't* want to be shift invariant?

1. Use an architecture that is not shift invariant (e.g., MLP)
2. Add location information to the *input* to the convolutional filters — this is called **positional encoding**



# What if you *don't* want to be permutation invariant?


1. Use an architecture that is not permutation invariant (e.g., MLP)
2. Add location information to the token code vectors — this is called **positional encoding**






# Fourier positional codes

Represent coordinates on Fourier basis



# Other positional encodings

ScaleMAE uses ground sample distance positional encoding to train an MAE across spatial scales of remote sensing data







# Other positional encodings


Geographic location encoding with spherical harmonics and sinusoidal representation networks

LINEAR “Neural Network”




SPHERICAL HARMONICS

output



Linear(SH)


Siren(SH)





# Other positional encodings

Laplacian positional encodings to encode node positions in a graph



Some fancy architectures and applications

# AN IMAGE IS WORTH 16X16 WORDS: TRANSFORMERS FOR IMAGE RECOGNITION AT SCALE

Alexey Dosovitskiy<sup>\*,†</sup>, Lucas Beyer<sup>\*</sup>, Alexander Kolesnikov<sup>\*</sup>, Dirk Weissenborn<sup>\*</sup>,  
Xiaohua Zhai<sup>\*</sup>, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer,  
Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, Neil Houlsby<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>equal technical contribution, <sup>†</sup>equal advising

Google Research, Brain Team


{adosovitskiy, neilhoulby}@google.com

## ABSTRACT

While the Transformer architecture has become the de-facto standard for natural language processing tasks, its applications to computer vision remain limited. In vision, attention is either applied in conjunction with convolutional networks, or used to replace certain components of convolutional networks while keeping their overall structure in place. We show that this reliance on CNNs is not necessary and a pure transformer applied directly to sequences of image patches can perform very well on image classification tasks. When pre-trained on large amounts of data and transferred to multiple mid-sized or small image recognition benchmarks (ImageNet, CIFAR-100, VTAB, etc.), Vision Transformer (ViT) attains excellent results compared to state-of-the-art convolutional networks while requiring substantially fewer computational resources to train.<sup>1</sup>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Self-attention-based architectures, in particular Transformers (Vaswani et al., 2017), have become the model of choice in natural language processing (NLP). The dominant approach is to pre-train on a large text corpus and then fine-tune on a smaller task-specific dataset (Devlin et al., 2019). Thanks to Transformers’ computational efficiency and scalability, it has become possible to train models of unprecedented size, with over 100B parameters (Brown et al., 2020; Lepikhin et al., 2020). With the models and datasets growing, there is still no sign of saturating performance.










Go to file Add file Code

## About

Implementation of Vision Transformer, a simple way to achieve SOTA in vision classification with only a single transformer encoder, in Pytorch

computer-vision transformers  
artificial-intelligence image-classification  
attention-mechanism

-  [Readme](#)
-  [MIT license](#)
-  **10.8k stars**
-  **125 watching**
-  **1.8k forks**

Releases 142

<https://github.com/lucidrains/vit-pytorch>

# Attention Is All You Need

**Ashish Vaswani\***  
Google Brain  
avaswani@google.com

**Noam Shazeer\***  
Google Brain  
noam@google.com

**Niki Parmar\***  
Google Research  
nikip@google.com

**Jakob Uszkoreit\***  
Google Research  
usz@google.com

**Llion Jones\***  
Google Research  
llion@google.com

**Aidan N. Gomez\* †**  
University of Toronto  
aidan@cs.toronto.edu


**Łukasz Kaiser\***  
Google Brain  
lukaszkaizer@google.com

**Illia Polosukhin\* ‡**  
illia.polosukhin@gmail.com

## Abstract

The dominant sequence transduction models are based on complex recurrent or convolutional neural networks that include an encoder and a decoder. The best performing models also connect the encoder and decoder through an attention mechanism. We propose a new simple network architecture, the Transformer, based solely on attention mechanisms, dispensing with recurrence and convolutions entirely. Experiments on two machine translation tasks show these models to be superior in quality while being more parallelizable and requiring significantly less time to train. Our model achieves 28.4 BLEU on the WMT 2014 English-to-German translation task, improving over the existing best results, including ensembles, by over 2 BLEU. On the WMT 2014 English-to-French translation task, our model establishes a new single-model state-of-the-art BLEU score of 41.8 after training for 3.5 days on eight GPUs, a small fraction of the training costs of the best models from the literature. We show that the Transformer generalizes well to other tasks by applying it successfully to English constituency parsing both with large and limited training data.

token-wise MLP  
(a.k.a. 1x1 conv)




# Autoregressive models

Once upon \_\_\_\_ → Predictor → time


Once \_\_\_\_ a time → Predictor → Upon




Training



Sampling




# GPT (and many other related models)




# GPT training (and many other related models)

Colorless green ideas sleep furiously



Colorless green ideas sleep furiously



$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}$$

The diagram shows the matrix multiplication  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{T}_{\text{in}} = \mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is a 4x4 matrix with a black upper triangle and a white lower triangle. The vector  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{in}}$  is a 4x1 column vector with the first three elements white and the last element black. The vector  $\mathbf{T}_{\text{out}}$  is a 4x1 column vector with the first element black and the last three elements white. The equals sign is placed between the two vectors.



🔗 master ▾

🔗 3 branches

🏷 0 tags

Go to file

Add file ▾

Code ▾



karpathy Merge pull request #84 from ericjang/master ...

7218bcf on Aug 4 ⌚ 93 commits



mingpt

Use XOR operator ^ for checking assertion `type\_given XOR param...

2 months ago



projects

refactor sequence generation into the model and match the huggingf...

3 months ago



tests

add a refactored BPE encoder from openai. Basically I dont super tru...

3 months ago



.gitignore

tiny tweaks to printing and some function apis

4 months ago



LICENSE

mit license file

2 years ago



README.md

Add setup.py to allow mingpt to be used as a third-party library

2 months ago



demo.ipynb

refactor sequence generation into the model and match the huggingf...

3 months ago



generate.ipynb

add a refactored BPE encoder from openai. Basically I dont super tru...

3 months ago



mingpt.jpg

first commit, able to multigpu train fp32 GPTs on math and character...

2 years ago



setup.py

Add setup.py to allow mingpt to be used as a third-party library

2 months ago



README.md

minGPT

# 9. Transformers

- Three key ideas
  - Tokens
  - Attention
  - Positional encoding
- Examples of architectures and applications