

# Trees

## Chapter 11

# Chapter Summary

- Introduction to Trees
- Applications of Trees (*not currently included in overheads*)
- Tree Traversal
- Spanning Trees
- Minimum Spanning Trees (*not currently included in overheads*)

# Introduction to Trees

Section 11.1


# Section Summary

- Introduction to Trees
- Rooted Trees
- Trees as Models
- Properties of Trees

# Trees


**Definition:** A *tree* is a connected undirected graph with no simple circuits.

**Example:** Which of these graphs are trees?



**Solution:**  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are trees - both are connected and have no simple circuits. Because  $e, b, a, d, e$  is a simple circuit,  $G_3$  is not a tree.  $G_4$  is not a tree because it is not connected.

**Definition:** A *forest* is a graph that has no simple circuit, but is not connected. Each of the connected components in a forest is a tree.



# Trees (*continued*)


**Theorem:** An undirected graph is a tree if and only if there is a unique simple path between any two of its vertices.

**Proof:** Assume that  $T$  is a tree. Then  $T$  is connected with no simple circuits. Hence, if  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct vertices of  $T$ , there is a simple path between them (by Theorem 1 of Section 10.4). This path must be unique - for if there were a second path, there would be a simple circuit in  $T$  (by Exercise 59 of Section 10.4). Hence, there is a unique simple path between any two vertices of a tree.

Now assume that there is a unique simple path between any two vertices of a graph  $T$ . Then  $T$  is connected because there is a path between any two of its vertices. Furthermore,  $T$  can have no simple circuits since if there were a simple circuit, there would be two paths between some two vertices.


Hence, a graph with a unique simple path between any two vertices is a tree. ◀

Arthur Cayley  
(1821-1895)





# Trees as Models

- Trees are used as models in computer science, chemistry, geology, botany, psychology, and many other areas.
- Trees were introduced by the mathematician Cayley in 1857 in his work counting the number of isomers of saturated hydrocarbons. The two isomers of butane are shown at the right.
- The organization of a computer file system into directories, subdirectories, and files is naturally represented as a tree.
- Trees are used to represent the structure of organizations.



Butane


Isobutane



# Rooted Trees

**Definition:** A *rooted tree* is a tree in which one vertex has been designated as the *root* and every edge is directed away from the root.


An unrooted tree is converted into different rooted trees when different vertices are chosen as the root.





# Rooted Tree Terminology

- Terminology for rooted trees is a mix from botany and genealogy (such as this family tree of the Bernoulli family of mathematicians).




- If  $v$  is a vertex of a rooted tree other than the root, the *parent* of  $v$  is the unique vertex  $u$  such that there is a directed edge from  $u$  to  $v$ . When  $u$  is a parent of  $v$ ,  $v$  is called a *child* of  $u$ . Vertices with the same parent are called *siblings*.
- The *ancestors* of a vertex are the vertices in the path from the root to this vertex, excluding the vertex itself and including the root. The *descendants* of a vertex  $v$  are those vertices that have  $v$  as an ancestor.
- A vertex of a rooted tree with no children is called a *leaf*. Vertices that have children are called *internal vertices*.
- If  $a$  is a vertex in a tree, the *subtree* with  $a$  as its root is the subgraph of the tree consisting of  $a$  and its descendants and all edges incident to these descendants.

# Terminology for Rooted Trees


**Example:** In the rooted tree  $T$  (with root  $a$ ):

- (i) Find the parent of  $c$ , the children of  $g$ , the siblings of  $h$ , the ancestors of  $e$ , and the descendants of  $b$ .
- (ii) Find all internal vertices and all leaves.
- (iii) What is the subtree rooted at  $G$ ?



**Solution:**


- (i) The parent of  $c$  is  $b$ . The children of  $g$  are  $h$ ,  $i$ , and  $j$ . The siblings of  $h$  are  $i$  and  $j$ . The ancestors of  $e$  are  $c$ ,  $b$ , and  $a$ . The descendants of  $b$  are  $c$ ,  $d$ , and  $e$ .
- (ii) The internal vertices are  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ ,  $g$ ,  $h$ , and  $j$ . The leaves are  $d$ ,  $e$ ,  $f$ ,  $i$ ,  $k$ ,  $l$ , and  $m$ .
- (iii) We display the subtree rooted at  $g$ .



# $m$ -ary Rooted Trees

**Definition:** A rooted tree is called an  $m$ -ary tree if every internal vertex has no more than  $m$  children. The tree is called a *full  $m$ -ary tree* if every internal vertex has exactly  $m$  children. An  $m$ -ary tree with  $m = 2$  is called a *binary tree*.

**Example:** Are the following rooted trees full  $m$ -ary trees for some positive integer  $m$ ?



**Solution:**  $T_1$  is a full binary tree because each of its internal vertices has two children.  $T_2$  is a full 3-ary tree because each of its internal vertices has three children. In  $T_3$  each internal vertex has five children, so  $T_3$  is a full 5-ary tree.  $T_4$  is not a full  $m$ -ary tree for any  $m$  because some of its internal vertices have two children and others have three children.

# Ordered Rooted Trees

**Definition:** An *ordered rooted tree* is a rooted tree where the children of each internal vertex are ordered.

- We draw ordered rooted trees so that the children of each internal vertex are shown in order from left to right.


**Definition:** A *binary tree* is an ordered rooted tree where each internal vertex has at most two children. If an internal vertex of a binary tree has two children, the first is called the *left child* and the second the *right child*. The tree rooted at the left child of a vertex is called the *left subtree* of this vertex, and the tree rooted at the right child of a vertex is called the *right subtree* of this vertex.

**Example:** Consider the binary tree  $T$ .

- (i) What are the left and right children of  $d$ ?
- (ii) What are the left and right subtrees of  $c$ ?

**Solution:**

- (i) The left child of  $d$  is  $f$  and the right child is  $g$ .
- (ii) The left and right subtrees of  $c$  are displayed in (b) and (c).



# Properties of Trees

**Theorem 2:** A tree with  $n$  vertices has  $n - 1$  edges.

*Proof (by mathematical induction):*

*BASIS STEP:* When  $n = 1$ , a tree with one vertex has no edges. Hence, the theorem holds when  $n = 1$ .

*INDUCTIVE STEP:* Assume that every tree with  $k$  vertices has  $k - 1$  edges.

Suppose that a tree  $T$  has  $k + 1$  vertices and that  $v$  is a leaf of  $T$ . Let  $w$  be the parent of  $v$ . Removing the vertex  $v$  and the edge connecting  $w$  to  $v$  produces a tree  $T'$  with  $k$  vertices. By the inductive hypothesis,  $T'$  has  $k - 1$  edges. Because  $T$  has one more edge than  $T'$ , we see that  $T$  has  $k$  edges. This completes the inductive step. ◀

# Counting Vertices in Full $m$ -Ary Trees

**Theorem 3:** A full  $m$ -ary tree with  $i$  internal vertices has  $n = mi + 1$  vertices.

**Proof:** Every vertex, except the root, is the child of an internal vertex. Because each of the  $i$  internal vertices has  $m$  children, there are  $mi$  vertices in the tree other than the root. Hence, the tree contains  $n = mi + 1$  vertices. ◀

# Counting Vertices in Full $m$ -Ary Trees (*continued*)

**Theorem 4:** A full  $m$ -ary tree with

- (i)  $n$  vertices has  $i = (n - 1)/m$  internal vertices and  $l = [(m - 1)n + 1]/m$  leaves,
- (ii)  $i$  internal vertices has  $n = mi + 1$  vertices and  $l = (m - 1)i + 1$  leaves,
- (iii)  $l$  leaves has  $n = (ml - 1)/(m - 1)$  vertices and  $i = (l - 1)/(m - 1)$  internal vertices.

*proofs of parts (ii) and (iii) are left as exercises*

**Proof (of part i):** Solving for  $i$  in  $n = mi + 1$  (from Theorem 3) gives  $i = (n - 1)/m$ . Since each vertex is either a leaf or an internal vertex,  $n = l + i$ . By solving for  $l$  and using the formula for  $i$ , we see that

$$l = n - i = n - (n - 1)/m = [(m - 1)n + 1]/m.$$




# Level of vertices and height of trees

- When working with trees, we often want to have rooted trees where the subtrees at each vertex contain paths of approximately the same length.
- To make this idea precise we need some definitions:
  - The *level* of a vertex  $v$  in a rooted tree is the length of the unique path from the root to this vertex.
  - The *height* of a rooted tree is the maximum of the levels of the vertices.

## Example:

- Find the level of each vertex in the tree to the right.
- What is the height of the tree?



## Solution:


- The root  $a$  is at level 0. Vertices  $b$ ,  $j$ , and  $k$  are at level 1. Vertices  $c$ ,  $e$ ,  $f$ , and  $l$  are at level 2. Vertices  $d$ ,  $g$ ,  $i$ ,  $m$ , and  $n$  are at level 3. Vertex  $h$  is at level 4.
- The height is 4, since 4 is the largest level of any vertex.



# Balanced $m$ -Ary Trees

**Definition:** A rooted  $m$ -ary tree of height  $h$  is *balanced* if all leaves are at levels  $h$  or  $h - 1$ .

**Example:** Which of the rooted trees shown below is balanced?



**Solution:**  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  are balanced, but  $T_2$  is not because it has leaves at levels 2, 3, and 4.


# The Bound for the Number of Leaves in an $m$ -Ary Tree

**Theorem 5:** There are at most  $m^h$  leaves in an  $m$ -ary tree of height  $h$ .

**Proof (by mathematical induction on height):**

**BASIS STEP:** Consider an  $m$ -ary trees of height 1. The tree consists of a root and no more than  $m$  children, all leaves. Hence, there are no more than  $m^1 = m$  leaves in an  $m$ -ary tree of height 1.

**INDUCTIVE STEP:** Assume the result is true for all  $m$ -ary trees of height  $< h$ . Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -ary tree of height  $h$ . The leaves of  $T$  are the leaves of the subtrees of  $T$  we get when we delete the edges from the root to each of the vertices of level 1.



Each of these subtrees has height  $\leq h-1$ . By the inductive hypothesis, each of these subtrees has at most  $m^{h-1}$  leaves. Since there are at most  $m$  such subtrees, there are at most  $m \cdot m^{h-1} = m^h$  leaves in the tree. ◀

**Corollary 1:** If an  $m$ -ary tree of height  $h$  has  $l$  leaves, then  $h \geq \lceil \log_m l \rceil$ . If the  $m$ -ary tree is full and balanced, then  $h = \lceil \log_m l \rceil$ . (see text for the proof)

# Tree Traversal

Section 11.3

# Section Summary


- Universal Address Systems (*not currently included in overheads*)
- Traversal Algorithms
- Infix, Prefix, and Postfix Notation

# Tree Traversal

- Procedures for systematically visiting every vertex of an ordered tree are called *traversals*.
- The three most commonly used *traversals* are *preorder traversal*, *inorder traversal*, and *postorder traversal*.

# Preorder Traversal

**Definition:** Let  $T$  be an ordered rooted tree with root  $r$ . If  $T$  consists only of  $r$ , then  $r$  is the *preorder traversal* of  $T$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  are the subtrees of  $r$  from left to right in  $T$ . The preorder traversal begins by visiting  $r$ , and continues by traversing  $T_1$  in preorder, then  $T_2$  in preorder, and so on, until  $T_n$  is traversed in preorder.



# Preorder Traversal (*continued*)

**procedure** *preorder* (*T*: ordered rooted tree)


*r* := root of *T*

list *r*

**for** each child *c* of *r* from left to right


*T*(*c*) := subtree with *c* as root

*preorder*(*T*(*c*))



# Inorder Traversal

**Definition:** Let  $T$  be an ordered rooted tree with root  $r$ . If  $T$  consists only of  $r$ , then  $r$  is the *inorder traversal* of  $T$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  are the subtrees of  $r$  from left to right in  $T$ . The inorder traversal begins by traversing  $T_1$  in inorder, then visiting  $r$ , and continues by traversing  $T_2$  in inorder, and so on, until  $T_n$  is traversed in inorder.





# Inorder Traversal (*continued*)

**procedure** *inorder* (*T*: ordered rooted tree)

*r* := root of *T*

**if** *r* is a leaf **then** list *r*

**else**

*l* := first child of *r* from left to right

*T*(*l*) := subtree with *l* as its root


*inorder*(*T*(*l*))

    list(*r*)

**for** each child *c* of *r* from left to right


*T*(*c*) := subtree with *c* as root

*inorder*(*T*(*c*))



# Postorder Traversal


**Definition:** Let  $T$  be an ordered rooted tree with root  $r$ . If  $T$  consists only of  $r$ , then  $r$  is the *postorder traversal* of  $T$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  are the subtrees of  $r$  from left to right in  $T$ . The postorder traversal begins by traversing  $T_1$  in postorder, then  $T_2$  in postorder, and so on, after  $T_n$  is traversed in postorder,  $r$  is visited.



# Postorder Traversal (*continued*)


```

procedure postordered ( $T$ : ordered rooted tree)
 $r := \text{root of } T$ 
for each child  $c$  of  $r$  from left to right
     $T(c) := \text{subtree with } c \text{ as root}$ 
    postorder( $T(c)$ )
list  $r$ 
    
```




# Expression Trees


- Complex expressions can be represented using ordered rooted trees.
- Consider the expression  $((x + y) \uparrow 2) + ((x - 4)/3)$ .
- A binary tree for the expression can be built from the bottom up, as is illustrated here.



# Infix Notation

- An inorder traversal of the tree representing an expression produces the original expression when parentheses are included except for unary operations, which now immediately follow their operands.
- We illustrate why parentheses are needed with an example that displays three trees all yield the same infix representation.





Jan Łukasiewicz  
(1878-1956)

# Prefix Notation

- When we traverse the rooted tree representation of an expression in preorder, we obtain the *prefix* form of the expression. Expressions in prefix form are said to be in *Polish notation*, named after the Polish logician Jan Łukasiewicz.
- Operators precede their operands in the prefix form of an expression. Parentheses are not needed as the representation is unambiguous.
- The prefix form of  $((x + y) \uparrow 2) + ((x - 4)/3)$  is  $+ \uparrow + x y 2 / - x 4 3$ .
- Prefix expressions are evaluated by working from right to left. When we encounter an operator, we perform the corresponding operation with the two operations to the right.

**Example:** We show the steps used to evaluate a particular prefix expression:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} + & - & * & 2 & 3 & 5 & / & \uparrow & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ & & & & & & & & & \underbrace{2 \uparrow 3 = 8} & \\ & & & & & & & & & 8 & 4 \\ & & & & & & & & & \underbrace{8 / 4 = 2} & \\ & & & & & & & & & 2 * 3 = 6 & 5 & 2 \\ & & & & & & & & & \underbrace{2 * 3 = 6} & \\ & & & & & & & & & + & - & 6 & 5 & 2 \\ & & & & & & & & & \underbrace{+ - 6 5 = 1} & \\ & & & & & & & & & + & 1 & 2 \\ & & & & & & & & & \underbrace{+ 1 2 = 3} & \end{array}$$

Value of expression: 3

# Postfix Notation

- We obtain the *postfix form* of an expression by traversing its binary trees in postorder. Expressions written in postfix form are said to be in *reverse Polish notation*.
- Parentheses are not needed as the postfix form is unambiguous.
- $x y + 2 \uparrow x 4 - 3 / +$  is the postfix form of  $((x + y) \uparrow 2) + ((x - 4)/3)$ .
- A binary operator follows its two operands. So, to evaluate an expression one works from left to right, carrying out an operation represented by an operator on its preceding operands.

**Example:** We show the steps used to evaluate a particular postfix expression.

7 2 3 \* - 4 ↑ 9 3 / +

$2 * 3 = 6$

7 6 - 4 ↑ 9 3 / +

$7 - 6 = 1$

1 4 ↑ 9 3 / +

$1^4 = 1$

1 9 3 / +

$9 / 3 = 3$

1 3 +

$1 + 3 = 4$

Value of expression: 4

# Spanning Trees

Section 11.4




# Section Summary

- Spanning Trees
- Depth-First Search
- Breadth-First Search
- Backtracking Applications (*not currently included in overheads*)
- Depth-First Search in Directed Graphs


# Spanning Trees

**Definition:** Let  $G$  be a simple graph. A spanning tree of  $G$  is a subgraph of  $G$  that is a tree containing every vertex of  $G$ .

**Example:** Find the spanning tree of this graph:



**Solution:** The graph is connected, but is not a tree because it contains simple circuits. Remove the edge  $\{a, e\}$ . Now one simple circuit is gone, but the remaining subgraph still has a simple circuit. Remove the edge  $\{e, f\}$  and then the edge  $\{c, g\}$  to produce a simple graph with no simple circuits. It is a spanning tree, because it contains every vertex of the original graph.



# Spanning Trees (*continued*)

**Theorem:** A simple graph is connected if and only if it has a spanning tree.

**Proof:** Suppose that a simple graph  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$ .  $T$  contains every vertex of  $G$  and there is a path in  $T$  between any two of its vertices. Because  $T$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , there is a path in  $G$  between any two of its vertices. Hence,  $G$  is connected.


Now suppose that  $G$  is connected. If  $G$  is not a tree, it contains a simple circuit. Remove an edge from one of the simple circuits. The resulting subgraph is still connected because any vertices connected via a path containing the removed edge are still connected via a path with the remaining part of the simple circuit. Continue in this fashion until there are no more simple circuits. A tree is produced because the graph remains connected as edges are removed. The resulting tree is a spanning tree because it contains every vertex of  $G$ .

# Depth-First Search


- To use *depth-first search* to build a spanning tree for a connected simple graph first arbitrarily choose a vertex of the graph as the root.
  - Form a path starting at this vertex by successively adding vertices and edges, where each new edge is incident with the last vertex in the path and a vertex not already in the path. Continue adding vertices and edges to this path as long as possible.
  - If the path goes through all vertices of the graph, the tree consisting of this path is a spanning tree.
  - Otherwise, move back to the next to the last vertex in the path, and if possible, form a new path starting at this vertex and passing through vertices not already visited. If this cannot be done, move back another vertex in the path.
  - Repeat this procedure until all vertices are included in the spanning tree.

# Depth-First Search (*continued*)

**Example:** Use depth-first search to find a spanning tree of this graph.




**Solution:** We start arbitrarily with vertex  $f$ . We build a path by successively adding an edge that connects the last vertex added to the path and a vertex not already in the path, as long as this is possible. The result is a path that connects  $f, g, h, k$ , and  $j$ . Next, we return to  $k$ , but find no new vertices to add. So, we return to  $h$  and add the path with one edge that connects  $h$  and  $i$ . We next return to  $f$ , and add the path connecting  $f, d, e, c$ , and  $a$ . Finally, we return to  $c$  and add the path connecting  $c$  and  $b$ . We now stop because all vertices have been added.



# Depth-First Search (*continued*)

- The edges selected by depth-first search of a graph are called *tree edges*. All other edges of the graph must connect a vertex to an ancestor or descendant of the vertex in the graph. These are called *back edges*.
- In this figure, the tree edges are shown with heavy blue lines. The two thin black edges are back edges.



# Depth-First Search Algorithm

- We now use pseudocode to specify depth-first search. In this recursive algorithm, after adding an edge connecting a vertex  $v$  to the vertex  $w$ , we finish exploring  $w$  before we return to  $v$  to continue exploring from  $v$ .

**procedure** *DFS*( $G$ : connected graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ )

$T :=$  tree consisting only of the vertex  $v_1$

*visit*( $v_1$ )

**procedure** *visit*( $v$ : vertex of  $G$ )

**for** each vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $v$  and not yet in  $T$

add vertex  $w$  and edge  $\{v, w\}$  to  $T$

*visit*( $w$ )


# Breadth-First Search

- We can construct a spanning tree using *breadth-first search*. We first arbitrarily choose a root from the vertices of the graph.
  - Then we add all of the edges incident to this vertex and the other endpoint of each of these edges. We say that these are the vertices at level 1.
  - For each vertex added at the previous level, we add each edge incident to this vertex, as long as it does not produce a simple circuit. The new vertices we find are the vertices at the next level.
  - We continue in this manner until all the vertices have been added and we have a spanning tree.




# Breadth-First Search (*continued*)

**Example:** Use breadth-first search to find a spanning tree for this graph.



**Solution:** We arbitrarily choose vertex  $e$  as the root. We then add the edges from  $e$  to  $b$ ,  $d$ ,  $f$ , and  $i$ . These four vertices make up level 1 in the tree. Next, we add the edges from  $b$  to  $a$  and  $c$ , the edges from  $d$  to  $h$ , the edges from  $f$  to  $j$  and  $g$ , and the edge from  $i$  to  $k$ . The endpoints of these edges not at level 1 are at level 2. Next, add edges from these vertices to adjacent vertices not already in the graph. So, we add edges from  $g$  to  $l$  and from  $k$  to  $m$ . We see that level 3 is made up of the vertices  $l$  and  $m$ . This is the last level because there are no new vertices to find.



# Breadth-First Search Algorithm


- We now use pseudocode to describe breadth-first search.

```
procedure BFS(G: connected graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ )  
   $T :=$  tree consisting only of the vertex  $v_1$   
   $L :=$  empty list visit( $v_1$ )  
  put  $v_1$  in the list  $L$  of unprocessed vertices  
  while  $L$  is not empty  
    remove the first vertex,  $v$ , from  $L$   
    for each neighbor  $w$  of  $v$   
      if  $w$  is not in  $L$  and not in  $T$  then  
        add  $w$  to the end of the list  $L$   
        add  $w$  and edge  $\{v, w\}$  to  $T$ 
```

# Depth-First Search in Directed Graphs

- Both depth-first search and breadth-first search can be easily modified to run on a directed graph. But the result is not necessarily a spanning tree, but rather a spanning forest.

**Example:** For the graph in (a), if we begin at vertex  $a$ , depth-first search adds the path connecting  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $g$ . At  $g$ , we are blocked, so we return to  $c$ . Next, we add the path connecting  $f$  to  $e$ . Next, we return to  $a$  and find that we cannot add a new path. So, we begin another tree with  $d$  as its root. We find that this new tree consists of the path connecting the vertices  $d$ ,  $h$ ,  $l$ ,  $k$ , and  $j$ . Finally, we add a new tree, which only contains  $i$ , its root.



- To index websites, search engines such as Google systematically explore the web starting at known sites. The programs that do this exploration are known as *Web spiders*. They may use both breadth-first search or depth-first search to explore the Web graph.