

Probabilistic Graphical Models

David Sontag

New York University

Lecture 1, January 31, 2013

One of the **most exciting advances** in machine learning (AI, signal processing, coding, control, ...) in the last decades

How can we gain **global insight** based on **local observations**?

- 1 **Represent** the world as a collection of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with joint distribution $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- 2 **Learn** the distribution from data
- 3 Perform “**inference**” (compute conditional distributions $p(X_i \mid X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_m = x_m)$)


Reasoning under uncertainty

- As humans, we are continuously making predictions under uncertainty
- Classical AI and ML research ignored this phenomena
- Many of the most recent advances in technology are possible because of this new, *probabilistic*, approach

Applications: Deep question answering



Applications: Machine translation



The screenshot shows the Google Translate web interface. At the top is the Google logo. Below it, the word "Translate" is in red. To the right of "Translate" are two dropdown menus: "From: English" and "To: Spanish", separated by a double-headed arrow icon. To the right of these is a blue "Translate" button. Below the dropdowns, there are two tabs for each language: "Spanish", "Chinese", and "English" for the source language; and "English", "Chinese (Simplified)", and "Spanish" for the target language. The "English" tab is selected for both. The source text box on the left contains the English text: "The top U.S. general, visiting Israel at a delicate and dangerous moment in the global standoff with Tehran, is expected to press for restraint amid fears that the Jewish state is nearing a decision to attack Iran's nuclear program." The target text box on the right contains the Spanish translation: "El máximo general de EE.UU., de visita en Israel en un momento delicado y peligroso en el enfrentamiento global con Teherán, se espera que presione a la moderación en medio de temores de que el estado judío se acerca a una decisión de atacar el programa nuclear de Irán." Below the target text box, there is a note in red: "New! Hold down the shift key, click, and drag the words above to reorder." followed by a purple "Dismiss" link. At the bottom of the interface, there are links: "Turn off instant translation", "About Google Translate", "Mobile", "Privacy", "Help", and "Send feedback".

Google

Translate

From: English To: Spanish Translate

Spanish Chinese English

The top U.S. general, visiting Israel at a delicate and dangerous moment in the global standoff with Tehran, is expected to press for restraint amid fears that the Jewish state is nearing a decision to attack Iran's nuclear program.


English Chinese (Simplified) Spanish

El máximo general de EE.UU., de visita en Israel en un momento delicado y peligroso en el enfrentamiento global con Teherán, se espera que presione a la moderación en medio de temores de que el estado judío se acerca a una decisión de atacar el programa nuclear de Irán.

New! Hold down the shift key, click, and drag the words above to reorder. [Dismiss](#)


[Turn off instant translation](#) [About Google Translate](#) [Mobile](#) [Privacy](#) [Help](#) [Send feedback](#)

Applications: Speech recognition



Applications: Stereo vision

input: two images



output: disparity



Key challenges

- ① **Represent** the world as a collection of random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with joint distribution $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
 - How does one *compactly describe* this joint distribution?
 - Directed graphical models (Bayesian networks)
 - Undirected graphical models (Markov random fields, factor graphs)
- ② **Learn** the distribution from data
 - Maximum likelihood estimation. Other estimation methods?
 - How much data do we need?
 - How much computation does it take?
- ③ Perform “**inference**” (compute conditional distributions $p(X_i \mid X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_m = x_m)$)

- We will study Representation, Inference & Learning
- First in the simplest case
 - Only discrete variables
 - Fully observed models
 - Exact inference & learning
- Then generalize
 - Continuous variables
 - Partially observed data during learning (hidden variables)
 - *Approximate* inference & learning
- Learn about algorithms, theory & applications

- **Class webpage:**
 - <http://cs.nyu.edu/~dsontag/courses/pgm13/>
 - Sign up for mailing list!
 - Draft slides posted before each lecture
- **Book:** *Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques* by Daphne Koller and Nir Friedman, MIT Press (2009)
 - Required readings for each lecture posted to course website.
 - Many additional reference materials available!
- **Office hours:** Wednesday 5-6pm and by appointment. 715 Broadway, 12th floor, Room 1204
- **Teaching Assistant:** Li Wan (wanli@cs.nyu.edu)
- **Li's Office hours:** Monday 5-6pm. 715 Broadway, Room 1231

Logistics: prerequisites & grading

- **Prerequisites:**

- Previous class on machine learning
- Basic concepts from probability and statistics
- Algorithms (e.g., dynamic programming, graphs, complexity)
- Calculus

- **Grading:** problem sets (65%) + in class final exam (30%) + participation (5%)

- Class attendance is required.
- 7-8 assignments (every 1–2 weeks). Both theory and programming.
- First homework out **today**, due next Thursday (Feb. 7) at 5pm
- **Important:** See collaboration policy on class webpage

- Solutions to the theoretical questions require formal proofs.

- For the programming assignments, I recommend Python, Java, or Matlab. Do not use C++.

Review of probability: outcomes

Reference: Chapter 2 and Appendix A

- An **outcome space** specifies the possible outcomes that we would like to reason about, e.g.

$$\Omega = \{ \text{Lincoln}, \text{Reverse} \} \quad \text{Coin toss}$$

$$\Omega = \{ \text{1}, \text{2}, \text{3}, \text{4}, \text{5}, \text{6} \} \quad \text{Die toss}$$

- We specify a **probability** $p(\omega)$ for each outcome ω such that

$$p(\omega) \geq 0, \quad \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1$$

$$\text{E.g., } p(\text{Lincoln}) = .6$$

$$p(\text{Reverse}) = .4$$

Review of probability: events

- An **event** is a subset of the outcome space, e.g.

$$E = \{ \text{die with 2 dots}, \text{die with 4 dots}, \text{die with 6 dots} \} \quad \text{Even die tosses}$$

$$O = \{ \text{die with 1 dot}, \text{die with 3 dots}, \text{die with 5 dots} \} \quad \text{Odd die tosses}$$

- The **probability** of an event is given by the sum of the probabilities of the outcomes it contains,

$$p(E) = \sum_{\omega \in E} p(\omega)$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{E.g., } p(E) &= p(\text{die with 2 dots}) + p(\text{die with 4 dots}) + p(\text{die with 6 dots}) \\ &= 1/2, \text{ if fair die} \end{aligned}$$

Independence of events

- Two events A and B are **independent** if

$$p(A \cap B) = p(A)p(B)$$

- Are these two events independent?



No! $p(A \cap B) = 0, \quad p(A)p(B) = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2$

- Now suppose our outcome space had two different die:

$$\Omega = \{ \text{brown die}, \text{blue die}, \text{brown die}, \text{blue die}, \text{brown die}, \text{blue die}, \dots, \text{brown die}, \text{blue die} \} \quad \text{2 die tosses}$$

$6^2 = 36 \text{ outcomes}$

and the probability distribution is such that each die is independent,

$$p(\text{brown die}, \text{blue die}) = p(\text{brown die}) p(\text{blue die})$$


$$p(\text{brown die}, \text{blue die}) = p(\text{brown die}) p(\text{blue die})$$

Independence of events

- Two events A and B are **independent** if

$$p(A \cap B) = p(A)p(B)$$

- Are these two events independent?




Yes!

$$p(A \cap B) = p(\text{brown die, blue die})$$

$$p(A)p(B) = p(\text{brown die}) p(\text{blue die})$$

Conditional probability



- Let A, B be events, $p(B) > 0$.

$$p(A \mid B) = \frac{p(A \cap B)}{p(B)}$$

- Claim 1: $\sum_{\omega \in S} p(\omega \mid S) = 1$
- Claim 2: If A and B are independent, then $p(A \mid B) = p(A)$

Two important rules

① **Chain rule** Let S_1, \dots, S_n be events, $p(S_i) > 0$.

$$p(S_1 \cap S_2 \cap \dots \cap S_n) = p(S_1)p(S_2 | S_1) \cdots p(S_n | S_1, \dots, S_{n-1})$$

② **Bayes' rule** Let S_1, S_2 be events, $p(S_1) > 0$ and $p(S_2) > 0$.

$$p(S_1 | S_2) = \frac{p(S_1 \cap S_2)}{p(S_2)} = \frac{p(S_2 | S_1)p(S_1)}{p(S_2)}$$

Discrete random variables

- Often each outcome corresponds to a setting of various *attributes* (e.g., “age”, “gender”, “hasPneumonia”, “hasDiabetes”)
- A **random variable** X is a mapping $X : \Omega \rightarrow D$
 - D is some set (e.g., the integers)
 - Induces a partition of all outcomes Ω
- For some $x \in D$, we say

$$p(X = x) = p(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = x\})$$

“probability that variable X assumes state x ”

- Notation: $\text{Val}(X) = \text{set } D \text{ of all values assumed by } X$
(will interchangeably call these the “values” or “states” of variable X)
- $p(X)$ is a distribution: $\sum_{x \in \text{Val}(X)} p(X = x) = 1$

Multivariate distributions

- Instead of one random variable, have random *vector*

$$\mathbf{X}(\omega) = [X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)]$$

- $X_i = x_i$ is an event. The **joint distribution**

$$p(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

is simply defined as $p(X_1 = x_1 \cap \dots \cap X_n = x_n)$

- We will often write $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ instead of $p(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$
- Conditioning, chain rule, Bayes' rule, etc. **all apply**

- For example, the **conditional distribution**

$$p(X_1 \mid X_2 = x_2) = \frac{p(X_1, X_2 = x_2)}{p(X_2 = x_2)}.$$

This notation means

$$p(X_1 = x_1 \mid X_2 = x_2) = \frac{p(X_1=x_1, X_2=x_2)}{p(X_2=x_2)} \quad \forall x_1 \in \text{Val}(X_1)$$

- Two random variables are **independent**, $X_1 \perp X_2$, if

$$p(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = p(X_1 = x_1)p(X_2 = x_2)$$

for all values $x_1 \in \text{Val}(X_1)$ and $x_2 \in \text{Val}(X_2)$.

Example

- Consider three binary-valued random variables

$$X_1, X_2, X_3 \quad \text{Val}(X_i) = \{0, 1\}$$

- Let outcome space Ω be the cross-product of their states:

$$\Omega = \text{Val}(X_1) \times \text{Val}(X_2) \times \text{Val}(X_3)$$

- $X_i(\omega)$ is the value for X_i in the assignment $\omega \in \Omega$
- Specify $p(\omega)$ for each outcome $\omega \in \Omega$ by a big table:

x_1	x_2	x_3	$p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$
0	0	0	.11
0	0	1	.02
	\vdots		
1	1	1	.05

- How many parameters do we need to specify?

$$2^3 - 1$$

Marginalization

- Suppose X and Y are random variables with distribution $p(X, Y)$
 X : Intelligence, $\text{Val}(X) = \{\text{"Very High"}, \text{"High"}\}$
 Y : Grade, $\text{Val}(Y) = \{\text{"a"}, \text{"b"}\}$
- Joint distribution specified by:

		X	
		vh	h
Y	a	0.7	0.15
	b	0.1	0.05

- $p(Y = a) = ? = 0.85$
- More generally, suppose we have a joint distribution $p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.
Then,

$$p(X_i = x_i) = \sum_{x_1} \sum_{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_{i-1}} \sum_{x_{i+1}} \cdots \sum_{x_n} p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Conditioning

- Suppose X and Y are random variables with distribution $p(X, Y)$
 X : Intelligence, $\text{Val}(X) = \{\text{"Very High"}, \text{"High"}\}$
 Y : Grade, $\text{Val}(Y) = \{\text{"a"}, \text{"b"}\}$

		X	
		vh	h
Y	a	0.7	0.15
	b	0.1	0.05

- Can compute the conditional probability

$$\begin{aligned} p(Y = a \mid X = vh) &= \frac{p(Y = a, X = vh)}{p(X = vh)} \\ &= \frac{p(Y = a, X = vh)}{p(Y = a, X = vh) + p(Y = b, X = vh)} \\ &= \frac{0.7}{0.7 + 0.1} = 0.875. \end{aligned}$$

Example: Medical diagnosis

- Variable for each **symptom** (e.g. “fever”, “cough”, “fast breathing”, “shaking”, “nausea”, “vomiting”)
- Variable for each **disease** (e.g. “pneumonia”, “flu”, “common cold”, “bronchitis”, “tuberculosis”)
- Diagnosis is performed by **inference** in the model:

$$p(\text{pneumonia} = 1 \mid \text{cough} = 1, \text{fever} = 1, \text{vomiting} = 0)$$

- One famous model, Quick Medical Reference (QMR-DT), has 600 diseases and 4000 findings

Representing the distribution

- Naively, could represent multivariate distributions with table of probabilities for each outcome (assignment)
- How many outcomes are there in QMR-DT? 2^{4600}
- **Estimation** of joint distribution would require a huge amount of data
- **Inference** of conditional probabilities, e.g.

$$p(\text{pneumonia} = 1 \mid \text{cough} = 1, \text{fever} = 1, \text{vomiting} = 0)$$

would require summing over exponentially many variables' values

- Moreover, defeats the purpose of probabilistic modeling, which is to make predictions with *previously unseen observations*

Structure through independence

- If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, then

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(x_1)p(x_2) \cdots p(x_n)$$

- 2^n entries can be described by just n numbers (if $|\text{Val}(X_i)| = 2$)!
- However, this is not a very *useful* model – observing a variable X_i cannot influence our predictions of X_j
- If X_1, \dots, X_n are *conditionally independent* given Y , denoted as $X_i \perp \mathbf{X}_{-i} \mid Y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= p(y)p(x_1 \mid y) \prod_{i=2}^n p(x_i \mid x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y) \\ &= p(y)p(x_1 \mid y) \prod_{i=2}^n p(x_i \mid y). \end{aligned}$$

- This is a simple, yet *powerful*, model

Example: naive Bayes for classification

- Classify e-mails as spam ($Y = 1$) or not spam ($Y = 0$)
 - Let $1 : n$ index the words in our vocabulary (e.g., English)
 - $X_i = 1$ if word i appears in an e-mail, and 0 otherwise
 - E-mails are drawn according to some distribution $p(Y, X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- Suppose that the words are conditionally independent given Y . Then,

$$p(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(y) \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i | y)$$

Estimate the model with maximum likelihood. **Predict** with:

$$p(Y = 1 | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{p(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i | Y = 1)}{\sum_{y=\{0,1\}} p(Y = y) \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i | Y = y)}$$

- Are the independence assumptions made here reasonable?
- Philosophy: Nearly all probabilistic models are “wrong”, but many are nonetheless useful

Bayesian networks

Reference: Chapter 3


- A **Bayesian network** is specified by a directed *acyclic* graph $G = (V, E)$ with:
 - 1 One node $i \in V$ for each random variable X_i
 - 2 One conditional probability distribution (CPD) per node, $p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\text{Pa}(i)})$, specifying the variable's probability conditioned on its parents' values
- Corresponds 1-1 with a particular factorization of the joint distribution:

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i \in V} p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\text{Pa}(i)})$$

- Powerful framework for designing *algorithms* to perform probability computations

Example

- Consider the following Bayesian network:



- What is its joint distribution?


$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i \in V} p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\text{Pa}(i)})$$

$$p(d, i, g, s, l) = p(d)p(i)p(g \mid i, d)p(s \mid i)p(l \mid g)$$

More examples

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i \in V} p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\text{Pa}(i)})$$

Will my car start this morning?



Heckerman *et al.*, Decision-Theoretic Troubleshooting, 1995

More examples

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i \in V} p(x_i \mid \mathbf{x}_{\text{Pa}(i)})$$

What is the differential diagnosis?





Fig. 1 The ALARM network representing causal relationships is shown with diagnostic (●), intermediate (○) and measurement (○) nodes. CO: cardiac output, CVP: central venous pressure, LVED volume: left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LV failure: left ventricular failure, MV: minute ventilation, PA Sat: pulmonary artery oxygen saturation, PAF: pulmonary artery pressure, PCWP: pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, Pres: breathing pressure, RR: respiratory rate, TPR: total peripheral resistance, TV: tidal volume


Beinlich *et al.*, The ALARM Monitoring System, 1989

Bayesian networks are *generative models*



- Evidence is denoted by shading in a node
- Can interpret Bayesian network as a **generative process**. For example, to *generate* an e-mail, we
 - 1 Decide whether it is spam or not spam, by sampling $y \sim p(Y)$
 - 2 For each word $i = 1$ to n , sample $x_i \sim p(X_i | Y = y)$

Bayesian network structure implies conditional independencies!



- The joint distribution corresponding to the above BN factors as

$$p(d, i, g, s, l) = p(d)p(i)p(g | i, d)p(s | i)p(l | g)$$

- However, by the chain rule, *any* distribution can be written as

$$p(d, i, g, s, l) = p(d)p(i | d)p(g | i, d)p(s | i, d, g)p(l | g, d, i, g, s)$$


- Thus, we are assuming the following additional independencies:

$$D \perp I, \quad S \perp \{D, G\} | I, \quad L \perp \{I, D, S\} | G. \quad \text{What else?}$$

Bayesian network structure implies conditional independencies!

- Generalizing the above arguments, we obtain that a variable is independent from its non-descendants given its parents


- Common parent** – fixing B *decouples* A and C
- Cascade** – knowing B *decouples* A and C



- V-structure** – Knowing C *couples* A and B
 - This important phenomena is called **explaining away** and is what makes Bayesian networks so powerful



A simple justification (for common parent)



We'll show that $p(A, C \mid B) = p(A \mid B)p(C \mid B)$ for *any* distribution $p(A, B, C)$ that factors according to this graph structure, i.e.

$$p(A, B, C) = p(B)p(A \mid B)p(C \mid B)$$


Proof.

$$p(A, C \mid B) = \frac{p(A, B, C)}{p(B)} = p(A \mid B)p(C \mid B)$$




D-separation (“directed separated”) in Bayesian networks

- Algorithm to calculate whether $X \perp Z \mid \mathbf{Y}$ by looking at graph separation
- Look to see if there is **active path** between X and Z when variables \mathbf{Y} are observed:





(a)



(b)


D-separation (“directed separated”) in Bayesian networks

- Algorithm to calculate whether $X \perp Z \mid \mathbf{Y}$ by looking at graph separation
- Look to see if there is **active path** between X and Z when variables \mathbf{Y} are observed:




D-separation (“directed separated”) in Bayesian networks

- Algorithm to calculate whether $X \perp Z \mid \mathbf{Y}$ by looking at graph separation
- Look to see if there is **active path** between X and Z when variables \mathbf{Y} are observed:




(a)




(b)

- If no such path, then X and Z are **d-separated** with respect to \mathbf{Y}
- d-separation reduces statistical independencies (hard) to connectivity in graphs (easy)
- Important because it allows us to quickly prune the Bayesian network, finding just the relevant variables for answering a query


D-separation example 1




D-separation example 2



2011 Turing Award was for Bayesian networks





acm
MORE ACM AWARDS



A.M.
TURING
AWARD

A.M. TURING CENTENARY CELEBRATION WEBCAST

 Search





A.M. TURING AWARD WINNERS BY...

ALPHABETICAL LISTING

YEAR OF THE AWARD

RESEARCH SUBJECT



 **Photo-Essay**

BIRTH:
September 4, 1936, Tel Aviv.

EDUCATION:
B.S., Electrical Engineering (Technion, 1960); M.S., Electronics (Newark College of Engineering, 1961); M.S., Physics (Rutgers University, 1965); Ph.D., Electrical Engineering (Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, 1965).


EXPERIENCE:
Research Engineer, New York University Medical School (1960–1961); Instructor,


JUDEA PEARL


United States – 2011


CITATION


For fundamental contributions to artificial intelligence through the development of a calculus for probabilistic and causal reasoning.

 SHORT ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

 ACM DL AUTHOR PROFILE

 ACM TURING AWARD LECTURE VIDEO

 RESEARCH SUBJECTS

 ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

Judea Pearl created the representational and computational foundation for the processing of information under uncertainty.

He is credited with the invention of *Bayesian networks*, a mathematical formalism for defining complex probability models, as well as the principal algorithms used for inference in these models. This work not only revolutionized the field of artificial intelligence but also became an important tool for many other branches of engineering and the natural sciences. He later created a mathematical framework for *causal inference* that has had significant impact in the social sciences.

Judea Pearl was born on September 4, 1936, in Tel Aviv, which was at that time administered under the British Mandate for Palestine. He grew up in *Bnei Brak*, a Biblical town his grandfather went to reestablish in 1924. In 1956, after serving in the Israeli army and joining a Kibbutz, Judea decided to study engineering. He attended the Technion, where he met his wife, Ruth, and received a B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1960. Recalling the Technion faculty members in a 2012 interview in the *Technion Magazine*, he emphasized the thrill of discovery:

David Sontag (NYU)

Graphical Models

Lecture 1, January 31, 2013

43 / 44

- **Bayesian networks** given by (G, P) where P is specified as a set of local **conditional probability distributions** associated with G 's nodes
- One interpretation of a BN is as a **generative model**, where variables are sampled in topological order
- Local and global independence properties identifiable via **d-separation** criteria
- Computing the probability of any assignment is obtained by multiplying CPDs
 - **Bayes' rule** is used to compute conditional probabilities
 - Marginalization or **inference** is often computationally difficult