Exact Inference for Graphical Models

Seung-Hoon Na
Chonbuk National University

Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- Pairwise MRF $$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{v})} \prod_{s \in \mathcal{V}} \psi_s(x_s) \prod_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{E}} \psi_{s,t}(x_s, x_t)$$

- BP for undirected trees
  - Similar to forwards-backwards on chains.
  - Pick an arbitrary node and call it the root, *r*
  - 1) The **collect evidence** phase
    - Orient all edges away from *r*
    - Send messages up from the leaves to the root *r*
  - 2) The **distribute evidence** phase
    - Send back down from the root *r*

Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- Collect-to-root phase



Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- Compute **bottom-up belief state**

$$\mathrm{bel}_t^-(x_t) \triangleq p(x_t|\mathbf{v}_t^-)$$

  – $\boldsymbol{v_t^-}$: all the evidence at or below node t in tree

- We define the bottom-up belief state recursively.
- Suppose that given t's children s, u and we computed (for induction):

$$m_{s\to t}^-(x_t) = p(x_t|\mathbf{v}_{st}^-)$$

$$m_{u\to t}(x_t) = p(x_t|\mathbf{v}_{ut}^-)$$

all the evidence on the downstream side of the $s-t$ edge

Bottom-up messages

- Then, the bottom-up belief state at t:

Local evidence

$$\mathrm{bel}_t^-(x_t) \triangleq p(x_t|\mathbf{v}_t^-) = \frac{1}{Z_t}\psi_t(x_t) \prod_{c\in \mathrm{ch}(t)} m_{c\to t}^-(x_t)$$

Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- The bottom-up messages are computed by the belief states recursively

$$m^{-}_{s \to t}(x_t) = \sum_{x_s} \psi_{st}(x_s, x_t) \mathrm{bel}^{-}_{s}(x_s)$$

- Continue until we reach the root, we then compute the local belief state at the root:

$$\mathrm{bel}_r(x_r) \triangleq p(x_r|\mathbf{v}) = p(x_t|\mathbf{v}^{-}_{r}) \propto \psi_r(x_r) \prod_{c \in \mathrm{ch}(r)} m^{-}_{c \to r}(x_r)$$

- In addition, the probability of the evidence can be obtained:

$$p(\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{t} Z_t$$

Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- Distribute-from-root phase



Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- Similarly, a top-down message is defined:

$$m^{+}_{t\to s}(x_s) \triangleq p(x_t|\mathbf{v}^{+}_{st})$$

all the evidence on the upstream (root) side of the *s* – *t* edge

- The belief state for *s* is defined based on
  - 1) top-down messages coming to s
  - 2) the bottom-up belief for s

$$\mathrm{bel}_s(x_s) \triangleq p(x_s|\mathbf{v}) \propto \mathrm{bel}^{-}_s(x_s) \prod_{t\in \mathrm{pa}(s)} m^{+}_{t\to s}(x_t)$$

Belief Propagation: Serial protocol

- Compute downward message in two different ways:
- **Belief updating**

$$m^{+}_{t\to s}(x_s) \triangleq p(x_s|\mathbf{v}^{+}_{st}) = \sum_{x_t} \psi_{st}(x_s,x_t)\frac{\mathrm{bel}_t(x_t)}{m^{-}_{s\to t}(x_t)}$$

- **Sum-product**

$$m^{+}_{t\to s}(x_s) = \sum_{x_t} \psi_{st}(x_s,x_t)\psi_t(x_t) \prod_{c\in \mathrm{ch}(t), c\neq s} m^{-}_{c\to t}(x_t) \prod_{p\in \mathrm{pa}(t)} m^{+}_{p\to t}(x_t)$$

$$m^{+}_{t\to s}(x_s) = \sum_{x_t} \psi_{st}(x_s,x_t)\psi_t(x_t)m^{+}_{p\to t}(x_t)$$

t only has one child *s* and one parent *p*

Belief Propagation: Parallel protocol

- Initialize all messages to all 1's vector
- In parallel, each node absorbs messages from its neighbors

$$\text{bel}_s(x_s) \propto \psi_s(x_s) \prod_{t \in \text{nbr}_s} m_{t \to s}(x_s)$$

- In parallel, each node sends messages to its neighbors

$$m_{s \to t}(x_t) \quad = \quad \sum_{x_s} \left(\psi_s(x_s) \psi_{st}(x_s, x_t) \prod_{u \in \text{nbr}_s \setminus t} m_{u \to s}(x_s) \right)$$

- Once converged, the local belief state $bel_s(x_s)$ the correct posterior marginal of x_s on some condition

Belief Propagation: Parallel protocol



$$\mathrm{bel}_s(x_s) \propto \psi_s(x_s) \prod_{t \in \mathrm{nbr}_s} m_{t \to s}(x_s)$$

Belief Propagation: Parallel protocol



$$m_{s\to t}(x_t) \quad = \quad \sum_{x_s} \left(\psi_s(x_s)\psi_{st}(x_s, x_t) \prod_{u \in \mathrm{nbr}_s \setminus t} m_{u\to s}(x_s) \right)$$

Belief Propagation: Example



$$m_{32}(x_2) = \sum_{x_3} \psi_3(x_3)\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3)$$

$$m_{42}(x_2) = \sum_{x_4} \psi_4(x_4)\psi_{24}(x_2, x_4)$$

Belief Propagation: Example



$$m_{21}(x_1) = \sum_{x_2} \psi_2(x_2)\psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)m_{32}(x_2)m_{42}(x_2)$$

$$bel_1(x_1) = k\psi_1(x_1)m_{21}(x_1)$$

$$bel_1(x_1) = p(x_1)$$

Local beliefs are marginal probabilities

$$bel_1(x_1) = k\psi_1(x_1) \sum_{x_2} \psi_2(x_2)\psi_{12}(x_1, x_2)m_{32}(x_2)m_{42}(x_2)$$

$$bel_1(x_1) = k\psi_1(x_1) \sum_{x_2} \psi_2(x_2)\psi_{12}(x_1, x_2) \sum_{x_3} \psi_3(x_3)\psi_{23}(x_2, x_3) \sum_{x_4} \psi_4(x_4)\psi_{24}(x_2, x_4)$$

Max-Product

- Replacing the $\sum$ operator with the max operator
- So compute the local MAP marginal of each node
- Generalize the Viterbi algorithm to trees
  - Use max and argmax in the collect-to-root phase
  - Perform traceback in the distribute-from-root phase

Variable Elimination



$$p(J) = \sum_{L} \sum_{S} \sum_{G} \sum_{H} \sum_{I} \sum_{D} \sum_{C} p(C, D, I, G, S, L, J, H)$$

Junction Tree Algorithm

- First run the VE algorithm "symbolically", adding fill-in edges as we go, according to a given elimination ordering → **chordal graph**

- Extract the maximal cliques of a chordal graph
- Arrange the cliques of a chordal graph into a **junction tree**
  - Enjoys the **running intersection property** (RIP)
    - Any subset of nodes containing a given variable forms a connected component

Junction Tree Algorithm



chordal graph

Junction Tree Algorithm



Maximal cliques

Junction tree



Separating set

Junction Tree Algorithm

- Very similar to belief propagation on a tree
- The sum-product form: **Shafer-Shenoy** algorithm
- The belief updating form: the **Hugin** or the **Lauritzen-Spiegelhalter** algorithm

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \psi_c(\mathbf{x}_c)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}(T)} \psi_c(\mathbf{x}_c)}{\prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}(T)} \psi_s(\mathbf{x}_s)}$$

Junction Tree Algorithm

- Initialization
  - $\psi_{s^*} = 1$ for all separators and $\psi_{c^*} = 1$ for all cliques
  - for each clique $c \in C(G)$, find a clique in the junction tree $c' \in C(T)$, which contains it, $c' \supseteq c$
  - Then, multiply ψ_c onto $\psi_{c'}$: $\psi_{c'} = \psi_{c'}\psi_c$
  - After doing this for all cliques in G, we have:

$$\prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}(T)} \psi_c(\mathbf{x}_c) = \prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \psi_c(\mathbf{x}_c)$$

Junction Tree Algorithm

- **Collect-to-root** phase: upwards pass

$$m_{i \to j}(S_{ij}) = \sum_{C_i \setminus S_{ij}} \psi_i(C_i)$$

Once a node has received messages from all its children

$$\psi_i(C_i) \propto \psi_i(C_i) \prod_{j \in \mathrm{ch}_i} m_{j \to i}(S_{ij})$$

  - At the root, $\psi_r(C_r)$ represents $p(\boldsymbol{x}_{C_r}|\boldsymbol{v})$:
    - Corresponds to the posterior over the nodes in clique C_r conditioned on all the evidence.
    - Its normalization constant: $p(\boldsymbol{v})/Z_0$

Junction Tree Algorithm

- **Distribute-from-root** phase: downwards pass

$$m_{i \to j}(S_{ij}) = \frac{\sum_{C_i \setminus S_{ij}} \psi_i(C_i)}{m_{j \to i}(S_{ij})}$$

Once a node has received a top-down message from its parent

$$\psi_j(C_j) \propto \psi_j(C_j) m_{i \to j}(S_{ij})$$

Junction Tree Algorithm

- Another equivalent algorithm is to store the messages inside separator potentials ➔ **Calibration**

Upwards

$$\psi^*_{ij}(S_{ij}) = \sum_{C_i \setminus S_{ij}} \psi_i(C_i)$$

$$\psi^*_j(C_j) \propto \psi_j(C_j) \frac{\psi^*_{ij}(S_{ij})}{\psi_{ij}(S_{ij})}$$

Downwards

$$\psi^{**}_{ij}(S_{ij}) = \sum_{C_i \setminus S_{ij}} \psi^*_i(C_i)$$

$$\psi^{**}_j(C_j) \propto \psi^*_j(C_j) \frac{\psi^{**}_{ij}(S_{ij})}{\psi^*_{ij}(S_{ij})}$$

Junction Tree Algorithm: Example

- The junction tree derived from an HMM/SSM of length $T = 4$



$$\psi_c(x_{t-1}, x_t) = p(x_t|x_{t-1}) \longleftarrow c = (X_{t-1}, X_t)$$

$$\psi_c(x_t, y_t) = p(y_t|x_t) \longleftarrow c = (X_t, Y_t)$$

Junction Tree Algorithm

- **Computational complexity:** $O(|\mathcal{C}|K^{w+1})$
  - All nodes are discrete with *K* states
  - $|C|$: the number of cliques
  - w: the treewidth of the graph
    - The size of the largest clique minus 1
  - However, choosing a triangulation so as to minimize the treewidth is NP-hard

Computational intractability of exact inference in the worst case

- VE and JTA's time complexity
  - Exponential in the treewidth of a graph
  - But, the treewidth can be O(number of nodes) in the worst case
  - So, exponential in the problem size
- In fact, exact inference is #P-hard (Roth 1996), which is even harder than NP-hard
  - The intuitive reason is that to compute the normalizing constant Z, we have to *count* how many satisfying assignments there are
- MAP estimation is provably easier for some model classes
  - it only requires finding one satisfying assignment, not counting all of Them