

# Mixture Models & the EM algorithm


Seung-Hoon Na

Chonbuk National University


# Latent variable models

- **Latent variable models**
  - Model with **hidden variables**
  - Assume that the observed variables are correlated because they arise from a hidden common “cause”
  - Advantages
    - 1) Much fewer parameters than models that directly represent correlation in the visible space
    - 2) Unsupervised learning; Representation learning
      - The hidden variables in an LVM can serve as a **bottleneck**, which computes a compressed representation of the data

# Latent variable models



17 parameters



59 parameters

# Latent variable models

$p(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{z}_i)$	$p(\mathbf{z}_i)$	Name	Section
MVN	Discrete	Mixture of Gaussians	11.2.1
Prod. Discrete	Discrete	Mixture of multinomials	11.2.2
Prod. Gaussian	Prod. Gaussian	Factor analysis/ probabilistic PCA	12.1.5
Prod. Gaussian	Prod. Laplace	Probabilistic ICA/ sparse coding	12.6
Prod. Discrete	Prod. Gaussian	Multinomial PCA	27.2.3
Prod. Discrete	Dirichlet	Latent Dirichlet allocation	27.3
Prod. Noisy-OR	Prod. Bernoulli	BN20/ QMR	10.2.3
Prod. Bernoulli	Prod. Bernoulli	Sigmoid belief net	27.7

# Mixture models


- The simplest form of LVM
    - $z_i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ : representing a discrete latent state
    - Prior:  $p(z_i) = \text{Cat}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$
    - Likelihood:  $p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_i = k) = p_k(\mathbf{x}_i)$
  - Mixture model
- K-the base distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p_k(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

# Mixtures of Gaussians

- Gaussian mixture model or GMM

$$p(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$



# Mixture of multinoullis


- Suppose our data consist of  $D$ -dimensional bit vectors

$$p(\mathbf{x}_i | z_i = k, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^D \text{Ber}(x_{ij} | \mu_{jk}) = \prod_{j=1}^D \mu_{jk}^{x_{ij}} (1 - \mu_{jk})^{1-x_{ij}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \sum_k \pi_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k$$

$$\text{COV}[\mathbf{x}] = \sum_k \pi_k [\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]^T$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k = \text{diag}(\mu_{jk}(1 - \mu_{jk}))$$



the mixture distribution can capture correlations between variables



# Mixtures of experts

- Each submodel is considered to be an “expert” in a certain region of input space

$$p(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i, z_i = k, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(y_i | \mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{x}_i, \sigma_k^2)$$

$$p(z_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{Cat}(z_i | \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x}_i))$$


Gating function






# Mixtures of experts


expert predictions, fixed mixing weights=0



gating functions, fixed mixing weights=0





# Mixtures of experts




# Mixtures of experts

- Useful in solving **inverse problems**
  - Invert a many-to-one mapping.
    - $\mathbf{x} = f^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$  is not unique
    - E.g) Robotics: the location of the end effector (hand)  $\mathbf{y}$  is uniquely determined by the joint angles of the motors,  $\mathbf{x}$



# Mixtures of experts



# Parameter estimation for mixture models

- **Unidentifiability**
- **Computing a MAP estimate is non-convex**
  - The complete data log likelihood: Convex

$$\ell_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_i \log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \left( \sum_i \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i) \right) - N Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- The observed data log likelihood: Non-convex

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_i \log \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \sum_i \log \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{x}_i)} \right] - N \log Z(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

# Parameter estimation for mixture models

- How to compute the ML/MAP estimate?
- A generic gradient-based optimizer?
  - This finds a local minimum of the **negative log likelihood** or **NLL**

$$\text{NLL}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = - \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- However, we often have to enforce **constraints**:
  - covariance matrices must be positive definite
  - mixing weights must sum to one, etc.



Alternatively, **the EM algorithm** is much simpler, often with closed-form updates even automatically enforcing the required constraints

# The EM algorithm

- The log likelihood of the observed data:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right]$$

- The complete data log likelihood:

$$\ell_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- **E-step**: the **expected complete data log likelihood**

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}) = \mathbb{E} [\ell_c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | \mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}]$$

- **M-step**: optimize the Q function wrt  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^t = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1})$$

# The EM algorithm for MAP estimation

- Modify the M step:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^t = \operatorname{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}) + \log p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- The E step remains unchanged



# EM for GMM

- The expected complete data log likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t-1)}) &\triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_i \log p(\mathbf{x}_i, z_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right] \\ &= \sum_i \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \left[ \prod_{k=1}^K (\pi_k p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_k))^{\mathbb{I}(z_i=k)} \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_i \sum_k \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{I}(z_i = k)] \log[\pi_k p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_k)] \\ &= \sum_i \sum_k p(z_i = k | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{t-1}) \log[\pi_k p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_k)] \\ &= \sum_i \sum_k \underbrace{r_{ik}}_{\text{responsibility}} \log \pi_k + \sum_i \sum_k r_{ik} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_k) \end{aligned}$$

The **responsibility** that cluster  $k$  takes for data point  $i$ .

# EM for GMM

- **E-step**

$$r_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_k^{(t-1)})}{\sum_{k'} \pi_{k'} p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k'}^{(t-1)})}$$

- **M-step**

$$\pi_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i r_{ik} = \frac{r_k}{N}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) &= \sum_k \sum_i r_{ik} \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}_k) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i r_{ik} [\log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k| + (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k = \frac{\sum_i r_{ik} \mathbf{x}_i}{r_k}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k = \frac{\sum_i r_{ik} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T}{r_k} = \frac{\sum_i r_{ik} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^T}{r_k} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T$$





# EM for GMM




start with  $\mu_1 = (-1, 1)$ ,  $\Sigma_1 = I$ ,  $\mu_2 = (1, -1)$ ,  $\Sigma_2 = I$

# EM for GMM




# EM for GMM

Iteration 5



Iteration 16



# EM for GMM

- **Prior**  $\pi \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$

$$p(\mu_k, \Sigma_k) = \text{NIW}(\mu_k, \Sigma_k | \mathbf{m}_0, \kappa_0, \nu_0, \mathbf{S}_0)$$

- **MAP estimation**

$$\pi_k =$$

$$\hat{\mu}_k =$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}}_k \triangleq$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_k =$$

# EM for mixture of experts

- The expected complete data log likelihood:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{old}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K r_{ik} \log[\pi_{ik} \mathcal{N}(y_i | \mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{x}_i, \sigma_k^2)]$$

$$\pi_{i,k} \triangleq \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x}_i)_k$$

$$r_{ik} \propto \pi_{ik}^{old} \mathcal{N}(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{w}_k^{old}, (\sigma_k^{old})^2)$$

- M-step: maximize  $Q(\theta_k, \theta^{old})$  wrt  $w_k, \sigma_k^2, V$

# Theoretical basis for EM

- Expected complete data log likelihood is a lower bound

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left[ \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q(\mathbf{z}_i) \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\mathbf{z}_i)} \right]$$

arbitrary distribution

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \sum_i \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q_i(\mathbf{z}_i)}$$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, q) \triangleq \sum_i \mathbb{E}_{q_i} [\log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})] + \mathbb{H}(q_i)$$



# Theoretical basis for EM

- Decompose the lower bound:

$$l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \sum_i \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q_i(\mathbf{z}_i)} = \sum_i L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, q_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, q_i) &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q_i(\mathbf{z}_i)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q_i(\mathbf{z}_i)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q_i(\mathbf{z}_i)} + \sum_{\mathbf{z}_i} q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= -\mathbb{KL}(q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) || p(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})) + \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

$q_i$ 는 i마다 다르게 설정

$q_i$  와 독립

# Theoretical basis for EM

- Maximize the lower bound by setting KL term zero:

$$q_i(\mathbf{z}_i) = p(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- E-step at iteration  $t$

$$q_i^t(\mathbf{z}_i) = p(\mathbf{z}_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^t)$$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, q^t) = \sum_i \mathbb{E}_{q_i^t} [\log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})] + \mathbb{H}(q_i^t)$$

- Since KL term is zero, we have:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t, q_i) = \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}^t)$$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t, \boldsymbol{\theta}^t) = \sum_i \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}^t) = \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t)$$

# Theoretical basis for EM


- M-step:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{t+1} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^t) = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_{q_i^t} [\log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z}_i | \boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

- Thus,
- **EM monotonically increases the observed data log likelihood**

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{t+1}) \geq Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^t) \geq Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t, \boldsymbol{\theta}^t) = \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}^t)$$

# Theoretical basis for EM



# Batch EM

```
1 initialize  $\mu$ ;  
2 repeat  
3    $\mu^{new} = \mathbf{0}$  ;  
4   for each example  $i = 1 : N$  do  
5      $\mathbf{s}_i := \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}(\mu)) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z})$  ;  
6      $\mu^{new} := \mu^{new} + \mathbf{s}_i$  ;  
7    $\mu := \mu^{new}$  ;  
8 until converged;
```

Parameter estimation  
from sufficient statistics

Expected sufficient statistics

Sum of ESS

# Incremental EM algorithm

```
1 initialize  $\mathbf{s}_i$  for  $i = 1 : N$ ;  
2  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \sum_i \mathbf{s}_i$ ;  
3 repeat  
4   | for each example  $i = 1 : N$  in a random order do  
5   |   |  $\mathbf{s}_i^{new} := \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\mu})) \phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{z})$  ;  
6   |   |  $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{s}_i^{new} - \mathbf{s}_i$ ;  
7   |   |  $\mathbf{s}_i := \mathbf{s}_i^{new}$ ;  
8 until converged;
```