

# Chapter 14

---

## ■ Component-Level Design

*Slide Set to accompany*

*Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach, 8/e*

**by Roger S. Pressman**

Slides copyright © 1996, 2001, 2005, 2009 by Roger S. Pressman (revised by Seung-Hoon Na)

***For non-profit educational use only***

May be reproduced ONLY for student use at the university level when used in conjunction with *Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach, 7/e*. Any other reproduction or use is prohibited without the express written permission of the author.

All copyright information MUST appear if these slides are posted on a website for student use.

# What is a Component?

---

- Component: A modular building block for computer software
- Definition of a component by OMG
  - “... a modular, deployable, and replaceable part of a system that encapsulates implementation and exposes a set of interfaces.”
- Components
  - 1) Populate the software architecture
  - 2) Play a role in achieving the objectives and requirements of the system to be built
  - 3) Must communicate and collaborate with other components and with entities (other systems, devices, people) that exist outside the boundaries of the software

# Components – Point of View

---

- *OO view*: a component contains a set of collaborating classes
- *Conventional view*: a component contains processing logic, the internal data structures that are required to implement the processing logic, and an interface that enables the component to be invoked and data to be passed to it.
- *Process-related view*: make use of existing software components or design patterns, by choosing components or design patterns from catalog

# Component: OO View

---


- **a component contains a set of collaborating classes**
  - Each class within a component has been fully elaborated to include all attributes and operations that are relevant to its implementation.
  - All interfaces that enable the classes to communicate and collaborate with other design classes must also be defined.
- To define a component, we begin with the requirements model and elaborate analysis classes (for components that relate to the problem domain) and infrastructure classes (for components that provide support services for the problem domain).

# OO View – Example in PrintShop


---

- Consider software to be built for a sophisticated print shop.
- PrintShop software
  - The overall intent is to **collect** the customer's requirements at the front counter, **cost a print job**, and then **pass the job** on to an automated production facility.
- During requirements engineering, an analysis class called **PrintJob** was derived with the attributes and operations.

## Elaboration of a design component



**PrintJob** has two interfaces, *computeJob*, which provides job costing capability, and *initiateJob*, which passes the job along to the production facility.




Component-level design begins at this point

# OO View – Example in PrintShop

---

- The details of the component **PrintJob** must be **elaborated** to provide sufficient information to guide implementation.
- The original analysis class is **elaborated** to flesh out all attributes and operations required to implement the class as the **component PrintJob**.



The elaborated design class **PrintJob** contains more detailed attribute information as well as an expanded description of operations required to implement the component.

The interfaces *computeJob* and *initiateJob* imply communication and collaboration with other components.



# OO View – Example in PrintShop

---

- The interfaces *computeJob* and *initiateJob*
  - The operation *computePageCost()* (part of the *computeJob* interface) might collaborate with a **PricingTable** component that contains job pricing information.
  - The *checkPriority()* operation (part of the *initiateJob* interface) might collaborate with a **JobQueue** component to determine the types and priorities of jobs currently awaiting production.

# Component: OO View

- This elaboration activity is applied to every component defined as part of the architectural design.
- Once it is completed, further elaboration is applied to each attribute, operation, and interface.
- The data structures appropriate for each attribute must be specified.
- The algorithmic detail required to implement the processing logic associated with each operation is designed.
- Finally, the mechanisms required to implement the interface are designed
  - For object-oriented software, this may encompass the description of all messaging that is required to effect communication between objects within the system.

# Component: Traditional view

---

- **a component is a functional element of a program** that incorporates a component contains ..
- 1) **processing logic**,
- 2) **the internal data structures** that are required to implement the processing logic, and
- 3) **an interface** that enables the component to be invoked and data to be passed to it.

# Component: Traditional view

---


- A traditional component, also called a *module*, resides within the software architecture and serves one of three important roles:
- (1) a *control component* that coordinates the invocation of all other problem domain components,
- (2) a *problem domain component* that implements a complete or partial function that is required by the customer, or
- (3) an *infrastructure component* that is responsible for functions that support the processing required in the problem domain.

# Component: Traditional view

---

- Like object-oriented components, traditional software components are derived from the analysis model.
- In this case, however, **the component elaboration element of the analysis model serves as the basis for the derivation**
- Each component represented in the component hierarchy is mapped into a module hierarchy
- Control components (modules) reside near the top of the hierarchy (program architecture), and
- problem domain components tend to reside toward the bottom of the hierarchy.

# Traditional view – Example in PrintShop



# Traditional view – Example in PrintShop

---

- Each box represents a software component.
- Note that the shaded boxes are equivalent in function to the operations defined for the **PrintJob** class
- In this case, however, each operation is represented as a separate module that is invoked.
- Other modules are used to control processing and are therefore control components.

# Traditional view - Component-level design

---


- During component-level design, each module is elaborated
- **The module interface is defined explicitly.** That is, each data or control object that flows across the interface is represented.
- **The data structures** that are used internal to the module are defined.
- **The algorithm** that allows the module to accomplish its intended function is designed using the stepwise refinement approach
- **The behavior of the module** is sometimes represented using a state diagram



# Traditional view: Component-level design – Example in PrintShop

- Consider the module *ComputePageCost*
  - The intent of this module is to compute the printing cost per page based on specifications provided by the customer.
- Data required to perform this function are:
  - number of pages in the document, total number of documents to be produced, one- or two-side printing, color requirements, and size requirements.
- These data are passed to *ComputePageCost* via the module's interface.
- *ComputePageCost* uses these data to determine a page cost that is based on the size and complexity of the job
- Page cost is inversely proportional to the size of the job and directly proportional to the complexity of the job.

# Traditional view: Component-level design – Example in PrintShop



# Traditional view: Component-level design – Example in PrintShop

- The *ComputePageCost* module accesses data by invoking the module *getJobData*, which allows all relevant data to be passed to the component, and a database interface, *accessCostsDB*, which enables the module to access a database that contains all printing costs.
- As design continues, the *ComputePageCost* module is elaborated to provide algorithm detail and interface detail
- Algorithm detail can be represented using the pseudocode text shown in the figure or with a UML activity diagram.
- The interfaces are represented as a collection of input and output data objects or items.
- Design elaboration continues until sufficient detail is provided to guide construction of the component.

# Component: Process-Related View

---

- The object-oriented and traditional views of component-level design assume that the component is being designed from scratch.
- That is, we have to create a new component based on specifications derived from the requirements model.
- Over the past two decades, the software engineering community has emphasized the need to build systems that **make use of existing software components or design patterns**.
- In essence, a **catalog of proven design or code-level components is made available** to us as design work proceeds.
- We choose components or design patterns from the catalog and use them to populate the architecture.

# Component: Process-Related View

---

- Because these components have been created with reusability in mind, a complete description of their interface, the function(s) they perform, and the communication and collaboration they require are all available to us.

# Designing Class-Based Components

---

- Component-level design draws on information developed as part of the requirements model and represented as part of the architectural model
- For OO software engineering approach, **component-level design focuses on the elaboration of problem domain specific classes and the definition and refinement of infrastructure classes** contained in the requirements model.
- The detailed description of the attributes, operations, and interfaces used by these classes is **the design detail** required as a precursor to the construction activity.

# Basic Design Principles

---

- **The Open-Closed Principle (OCP).** *“A module [component] should be open for extension but closed for modification.”*
- **The Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP).** *“Subclasses should be substitutable for their base classes.”*
- **Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP).** *“Depend on abstractions. Do not depend on concretions.”*
- **The Interface Segregation Principle (ISP).** *“Many client-specific interfaces are better than one general purpose interface.”*

# Basic Design Principles

---

- In many cases, individual components or classes are organized into subsystems or packages
- The Release Reuse Equivalency Principle (REP). *“The granule of reuse is the granule of release.”*
- The Common Closure Principle (CCP). *“Classes that change together belong together.”*
- The Common Reuse Principle (CRP). *“Classes that aren’t reused together should not be grouped together.”*




# The Open-Closed Principle (OCP)


---

- We should specify the component in a way that allows it to be extended (within the functional domain that it addresses) without the need to make internal (code or logic-level) modifications to the component itself.
- To accomplish this, we create abstractions that serve as a buffer between the functionality that is likely to be extended and the design class itself.

# OCP: Example



The *sensor* interface presents a consistent view of sensors to the detector component. If a new type of sensor is added no change is required for the **Detector** class (component).



The OCP is preserved.

# The Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)

---

- component that uses a base class should continue to function properly if a class derived from the base class is passed to the component instead.
- LSP demands that any class derived from a base class must honor any implied contract between the base class and the components that use it.
- a “contract” is
  - a *precondition* that must be true before the component uses a base class and
  - a *postcondition* that should be true after the component uses a base class.

# The Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP)

---

- Like OCP, abstractions are the place where a design can be extended without great complication.
- The more a component depends on other concrete components (rather than on abstractions such as an interface), the more difficult it will be to extend.

# The Interface Segregation Principle (ISP)

---

- There are many instances in which multiple client components use the operations provided by a server class.
- ISP suggests that we should create a specialized interface to serve each major category of clients.
- Only those operations that are relevant to a particular category of clients should be specified in the interface for that client.
- If multiple clients require the same operations, it should be specified in each of the specialized interfaces.

# ISP – Example in SafeHome Project

- For the **security functions**, **FloorPlan** is used only during configuration activities and uses the operations *placeDevice()*, *showDevice()*, *groupDevice()*, and *removeDevice()* to place, show, group, and remove sensors from the floor plan.
- The *SafeHome* **surveillance** function uses the four operations noted for security, but also requires special operations to manage cameras: *showFOV()* and *showDeviceID()*.
- ➔ The ISP suggests that client components from the two *SafeHome* functions have specialized interfaces defined for them.
- The interface for security: encompass only the operations *placeDevice()*, *showDevice()*, *groupDevice()*, and *removeDevice()*.
- The interface for surveillance: incorporate the operations *placeDevice()*, *showDevice()*, *groupDevice()*, and *removeDevice()*, along with *showFOV()* and *showDeviceID()*.

# The Release Reuse Equivalency Principle (REP).

---

- When classes or components are designed for reuse, there is an implicit contract that is established between the developer of the reusable entity and the people who will use it.
- Rather than addressing each class individually,
- it is often advisable to group reusable classes into packages that can be managed and controlled as newer versions evolve.

# The Common Closure Principle (CCP).

---

- Classes should be packaged cohesively
- when classes are packaged as part of a design, they should address the same functional or behavioral area.
- When some characteristic of that area must change, it is likely that only those classes within the package will require modification.



# The Common Reuse Principle (CRP).

---

- When one or more classes within a package changes, the release number of the package changes
- All other classes or packages that rely on the package that has been changed must now update to the most recent release of the package and be tested to ensure that the new release operates without incident.
- Only classes that are reused together should be included within a package

# Component-Level Design Guidelines

---

## ■ Components

- Naming conventions should be established for components that are specified as part of the architectural model and then refined and elaborated as part of the component-level model

## ■ Interfaces

- Interfaces provide important information about communication and collaboration (as well as helping us to achieve the OPC)


## ■ Dependencies and Inheritance

- it is a good idea to model dependencies from left to right and inheritance from bottom (derived classes) to top (base classes).

# Cohesion

- Conventional view:
  - the “single-mindedness” of a component
- OO view:
  - *cohesion* implies that a component or class encapsulates only attributes and operations that are closely related to one another and to the class or component itself
- Levels of cohesion
  - **Functional**: Exhibited primarily by operations, this level of cohesion occurs when a component performs a targeted computation and then returns a result.
  - **Layer**: Exhibited by packages, components, and classes, this type of cohesion occurs when a higher layer accesses the services of a lower layer, but **lower layers do not access higher layers**.
  - **Communicational**: **All operations that access the same data are defined within one class**. In general, such classes focus solely on the data in question, accessing and storing it.

# Layer-Level Cohesion: Example



- The *SafeHome* security function requirement to make an outgoing phone call if an alarm is sensed.
- The shaded packages contain infrastructure components.
- Access is from the control panel package downward.

# Cohesion

---

- Classes and components that exhibit functional, layer, and communicational cohesion are relatively easy to implement, test, and maintain.
- We should strive to achieve these levels of cohesion whenever possible.
- It is important to note, however, that pragmatic design and implementation issues sometimes force us to opt for lower levels of cohesion.

# Coupling

---

- Conventional view:
  - The degree to which a component is connected to other components and to the external world
- OO view:
  - a qualitative measure of the degree to which classes are connected to one another
- An important objective in component-level design is to keep coupling as low as is possible

# Class coupling [Let01]: Categories

- **Content coupling:** Occurs when one component “surreptitiously modifies data that is internal to another component”
  - This violates information hiding—a basic design concept.
- **Control coupling:** Occurs when operation  $A()$  invokes operation  $B()$  and passes a control flag to  $B$ .
  - The control flag then “directs” logical flow within  $B$ .
  - The problem with this form of coupling is that an unrelated change in  $B$  can result in the necessity to change the meaning of the control flag that  $A$  passes
- **External coupling:** Occurs when a component communicates or collaborates with infrastructure components
  - (e.g., operating system functions, database capability, telecommunication functions).
  - Although this type of coupling is necessary, it should be limited to a small number of components or classes within a system

# Component Level Design-I

- Step 1. Identify all design classes that correspond to the problem domain.
- Step 2. Identify all design classes that correspond to the infrastructure domain.
- Step 3. Elaborate all design classes that are not acquired as reusable components.
- Step 3a. Specify message details when classes or component collaborate.
- Step 3b. Identify appropriate interfaces for each component.



# Component-Level Design-II

- Step 3c. Elaborate attributes and define data types and data structures required to implement them.
- Step 3d. Describe processing flow within each operation in detail.
- Step 4. Describe persistent data sources (databases and files) and identify the classes required to manage them.
- Step 5. Develop and elaborate behavioral representations for a class or component.
- Step 6. Elaborate deployment diagrams to provide additional implementation detail.
- Step 7. Factor every component-level design representation and always consider alternatives.

# Identify All Design Classes

---

- Step 1. Identify all design classes that correspond to the **problem domain**
  - Using the requirements and architectural model, **each analysis class and architectural component is elaborated**
- Step 2. Identify all design classes that correspond to the **infrastructure domain**
  - These classes are not described in the requirements model and are often missing from the architecture model, but they must be described at this point.
  - Classes and components in this category include **GUI components** (often available as reusable components), **operating system components**, and **object and data management components**.


# Elaborate All Design Classes

---

- Step 3. Elaborate all design classes that are not acquired as reusable components
  - Elaboration requires that **all interfaces**, **attributes**, and **operations** necessary to implement the class be described in detail.
  - Design heuristics (e.g., component cohesion and coupling) must be considered as this task is conducted
- Step 3a. Specify message details when classes or components collaborate
  - The requirements model makes use of **a collaboration diagram** to show how analysis classes collaborate with one another.
  - As component-level design proceeds, it is sometimes useful to show **the details of these collaborations** by **specifying the structure of messages** that are passed between objects within a system.

# Step 3a. Specify message details: Example

Collaboration diagram with messaging



Requirement  
analysis

Three objects, **ProductionJob**, **WorkOrder**, and **JobQueue**, collaborate to prepare a print job for submission to the production stream.

Messages are passed between objects as illustrated by the arrows in the figure.

# Step 3a. Specify message details: Example

---

- As design proceeds, each message is elaborated by expanding its syntax in the following manner [Ben02]:
- **[guard condition] sequence expression (return value) : message name (argument list)**
  - A **[guard condition]** is written in Object Constraint Language (OCL) and **specifies any set of conditions** that must be met before the message can be sent
  - **Sequence expression** is an integer value that indicates the sequential order in which a message is sent
  - **(return value)** is the name of the information that is returned by the operation invoked by the message
  - **message name** identifies the operation that is to be invoked
  - **(argument list)** is the list of attributes that are passed to the operation.

# Elaborate All Design Classes


---

- Step 3b. Identify **appropriate interfaces** for each component
  - Within the context of component-level design, a UML interface is “a group of externally visible (i.e., public) operations. The interface contains no internal structure, it has no attributes, no associations . . .
  - Stated more formally, an interface is the equivalent of an abstract class that provides a controlled connection between design classes.
  - In essence, **operations defined for the design class are categorized into one or more abstract classes.**
  - Every operation within the abstract class (the interface) should be **cohesive**
    - it should exhibit processing that focuses on one limited function or subfunction


# Revisit SafeHome Project

it can be argued that the interface *initiateJob* does not exhibit sufficient cohesion.

In actuality, it performs three different subfunctions— building a work order, checking job priority, and passing a job to production.



The interface design should be refactored.




# Refactoring towards Sufficient Cohesion for `initiateJob`

---

- Define a new class **WorkOrder** that would take care of all activities associated with the assembly of a work order.
  - The operation *buildWorkOrder()* becomes a part of that class.
- Similarly, we might define a class **JobQueue** that would incorporate the operation *checkPriority()*.
- A class **ProductionJob** would encompass all information associated with a production job to be passed to the production facility.



# Refactoring interfaces and class definitions for PrintJob



The interface *initiateJob* is now cohesive, focusing on one function. The interface associated with **ProductionJob**, **WorkOrder**, and **JobQueue** are similarly single-minded.

# Elaborate All Design Classes

---

- Step 3c. Elaborate attributes and define data types and data structures required to implement them.
  - In general, data structures and types used to define attributes are **defined within the context of the programming** language that is to be used for implementation
  - UML defines an attribute's data type using the following syntax:
- **name : type-expression initial-value {property string}**
  - **name** is the attribute name
  - **Type expression** is the data type,
  - **initial value** is the value that the attribute takes when an object is created, and
  - **property-string** defines a property or characteristic of the attribute.

# Elaborate attributes and define data types and data structures - Example

---

- During the first component-level design iteration, attributes are normally described by name.
- The attribute list for **PrintJob** lists only the names of the attributes. However, as design elaboration proceeds, each attribute is defined using the UML attribute format noted.
- **paperTypeweight** is defined by:
- **paperType-weight: string “A” { contains 1 of 4 values - A, B, C, or D}**
  - defines paperType-weight as a string variable initialized to the value A that can take on one of four values from the set {A,B,C, D}.

# Elaborate All Design Classes

---

- Step 3d. Describe processing flow within each operation in detail
  - This may be accomplished using a programming **language-based pseudocode** or with **a UML activity diagram**.
  - Each software component is elaborated through a number of iterations that apply the stepwise refinement concept
  - The first iteration defines each operation as part of the design class.
  - In every case, **the operation should be characterized in a way that ensures high cohesion**; that is, the operation should perform a single targeted function or subfunction.
  - The next iteration does **little more than expand the operation name**.

# Describe processing flow within each operation in detail - Example

---


- For example, the operation *computePaperCost()* noted in Figure 10.1 can be expanded in the following manner:
- **computePaperCost (weight, size, color): numeric**
- This indicates that *computePaperCost()* requires the attributes weight, size, and color as input and returns a value that is numeric (actually a dollar value) as output.

# Describe processing flow within each operation in detail - Further design elaboration

---

- If the algorithm required to implement *computePaperCost()* is simple and widely understood, no further design elaboration may be necessary
- if the algorithm is more complex or arcane, further design elaboration is required at this stage.

## UML activity diagram for *compute- PaperCost()*



When activity diagrams are used for component-level design specification, they are generally represented at a level of abstraction that is somewhat higher than source code.

# Describe persistent data sources


---

- Step 4. Describe persistent data sources (databases and files) and identify the classes required to manage them.
  - Databases and files normally transcend the design description of an individual component.
  - In most cases, these persistent
  - data stores are initially specified as part of architectural design.
- However, as design elaboration proceeds, it is often useful to provide **additional detail about the structure and organization of these persistent data sources**



# Develop and elaborate behavioral representations

- Step 5. Develop and elaborate behavioral representations for a class or component.
  - **UML state diagrams** were used as part of the requirements model to represent the externally observable behavior of the system and the more localized behavior of individual analysis classes.
  - During component-level design, it is sometimes necessary to model the behavior of a design class.
  - The dynamic behavior of an object (an instantiation of a design class as the program executes) is affected by events that are external to it and the current state (mode of behavior) of the object.
  - To understand the dynamic behavior of an object, we should examine all use cases that are relevant to the design class throughout its life.
  - The transitions between states are represented using a **UML statechart** [Ben02]



# UML Statechart: Transition

---

- The transition from one state (represented by a rectangle with rounded corners) to another occurs as a consequence of an event that takes the form:
- **Event-name (parameter-list) [guard-condition] / action expression**
  - **event-name** identifies the event, parameter-list incorporates data that are associated with the event,
  - **guard-condition** is written in Object Constraint Language (OCL) and specifies a condition that must be met before the event can occur, and
  - **action expression** defines an action that occurs as the transition takes place.

# UML Statechart: Actions & Indicators

---

- Each state may define *entry/ and exit/ actions* that occur as transition into the state occurs and as transition out of the state occurs, respectively.
  - These actions correspond to operations that are relevant to the class that is being modeled.
- The *do/indicator* provides a mechanism for indicating activities that occur while in the state, and
- the *include/indicator* provides a means for elaborating the behavior by embedding more statechart detail within the definition of a state.

# Develop and elaborate behavioral representations

---

- The behavioral model often contains information that is not immediately obvious in other design models.
- E.g.) Printshop project
- The dynamic behavior of the **PrintJob** class is contingent upon two customer approvals as costs and schedule data for the print job are derived.
- Without approvals (the guard condition ensures that the customer is authorized to approve) the print job cannot be submitted because there is no way to reach the ***submittingJob*** state.

# Elaborate deployment diagrams

---

- Step 6. Elaborate deployment diagrams to provide additional implementation detail.
  - During component-level design, deployment diagrams can be elaborated to represent the location of key packages of components.
  - The specific hardware and operating system environment(s) that will be used is (are) specified and the location of component packages within this environment is indicated

# Refactor and consider alternatives

---

- Step 7. Refactor every component-level design representation and always consider alternatives
- Design is an iterative process!
- The first component-level model we create will not be as complete, consistent, or accurate as the  $n$ th iteration you apply to the model.
- It is essential to refactor as design work is conducted.

# Component Design for WebApps

---

- WebApp component is
  - (1) a well-defined cohesive function that manipulates content or provides computational or data processing for an end-user, or
  - (2) a cohesive package of content and functionality that provides end-user with some required capability.
- Therefore, component-level design for WebApps often incorporates elements of content design and functional design.



# Content Design for WebApps

---

- focuses on content objects and the manner in which they may be packaged for presentation to a WebApp end-user
- consider a Web-based video surveillance capability within **SafeHomeAssured.com**
  - potential content components can be defined for the video surveillance capability:
    - (1) the content objects that represent the space layout (the floor plan) with additional icons representing the location of sensors and video cameras;
    - (2) the collection of thumbnail video captures (each an separate data object), and
    - (3) the streaming video window for a specific camera.
  - Each of these components can be separately named and manipulated as a package.

# Functional Design for WebApps

---

- Modern Web applications deliver increasingly sophisticated processing functions that:
  - (1) perform localized processing to generate content and navigation capability in a dynamic fashion;
  - (2) provide computation or data processing capability that is appropriate for the WebApp's business domain;
  - (3) provide sophisticated database query and access, or
  - (4) establish data interfaces with external corporate systems.
- To achieve these (and many other) capabilities, you will design and construct WebApp functional components that are identical in form to software components for conventional software.

# Designing Traditional Components

---

- The foundations of component-level design for traditional software components were formed in the early 1960s and were solidified with the work of Edsger Dijkstra and his colleagues
- In the late 1960s, Dijkstra and others proposed the use of **a set of constrained logical constructs** from which any program could be formed.
- The constructs emphasized “maintenance of functional domain.”
  - That is, each construct had a predictable logical structure and was entered at the top and exited at the bottom, enabling a reader to follow procedural flow more easily.

# Designing Traditional Components

---

- The constructs are sequence, condition, and repetition.
  - *Sequence* implements processing steps that are essential in the specification of any algorithm.
  - *Condition* provides the facility for selected processing based on some logical occurrence, and
  - *Repetition* allows for looping.
- These three constructs are fundamental to *structured programming*—an important component-level design technique.
  - Structured programming is a design technique that constrains logic flow to three constructs: sequence, condition, and repetition.

# Designing Traditional Components

---

- The structured constructs were proposed to limit the procedural design of software to a small number of predictable logical structures.
- Complexity metrics indicate that the use of the structured constructs reduces program complexity and thereby enhances readability, testability, and maintainability.
- The use of a limited number of logical constructs also contributes to a human understanding process that psychologists call *chunking*.
  - When reading, we do not read individual letters but rather recognize patterns or chunks of letters that form words or phrases.

# Designing Traditional Components

---

- The structured constructs are logical chunks that allow a reader to recognize procedural elements of a **module**, rather than reading the design or code line by line.
- Understanding is enhanced when readily recognizable logical patterns are encountered.

# Component-Based Development

---

- In the software engineering context, reuse is an idea both old and new.
- Programmers have reused ideas, abstractions, and processes since the earliest days of computing, but the early approach to reuse was ad hoc
- Today, complex, high-quality computer based systems must be built in very short time periods and demand a more organized approach to reuse.

# Component-Based Development

---

- *Component-based software engineering (CBSE)* is a process that emphasizes the design and construction of computer-based systems using **reusable software “components.”**
- But a number of questions arise.
  - Is it possible to construct complex systems by assembling them from a catalog of reusable software components?
  - Can this be accomplished in a cost- and time-effective manner?
  - Can appropriate incentives be established to encourage software engineers to reuse rather than reinvent?
  - Is management willing to incur the added expense associated with creating reusable software components?
  - ..... → Answer is YES



# Component-Based Development : Domain engineering

---

- The intent of *domain engineering* is to identify, construct, catalog, and disseminate a set of software components that have applicability to existing and future software in a particular application domain
- Establish mechanisms that enable software engineers to share these components—to reuse them—during work on new and existing systems.
- Domain engineering includes three major activities—analysis, construction, and dissemination.

# Domain engineering

---

- The overall approach to *domain analysis* is often characterized within the context of object-oriented software engineering.
- Step 1. Define the domain to be investigated.
- Step 2. Categorize the items extracted from the domain.
- Step 3. Collect a representative sample of applications in the domain.
- Step 4. Analyze each application in the sample and define analysis classes.
- Step 5. Develop a requirements model for the classes.

# Component Qualification, Adaptation, and Composition

---

- Domain engineering provides the library of reusable components that are required for component-based software engineering.
- Some of these reusable components are developed in-house, others can be extracted from existing applications, and still others may be acquired from third parties.
- Unfortunately, the existence of reusable components does not guarantee that these components can be integrated easily or effectively into the architecture chosen for a new application.

# Component Qualification

---

- Component qualification ensures that a candidate component will perform the function required, will properly “fit” into the architectural style (Chapter 9) specified for the system, and will exhibit the quality characteristics (e.g., performance, reliability, usability) that are required for the application.
- An interface description provides useful information about the operation and use of a software component, but it does not provide all of the information required to determine if a proposed component can, in fact, be reused effectively in a new application.

# Component Qualification: Factors to be considered

---

- Application programming interface (API).
- Development and integration tools required by the component.
- Run-time requirements, including resource usage (e.g., memory or storage), timing or speed, and network protocol.
- Service requirements, including operating system interfaces and support from other components.
- Security features, including access controls and authentication protocol.
- Embedded design assumptions, including the use of specific numerical or nonnumerical algorithms.
- Exception handling.

# Component Adaptation

---

- In an ideal setting, domain engineering creates a library of components that can be easily integrated into an application architecture.
- In reality, even after a component has been qualified for use within an application architecture, conflicts may occur in one or more of the areas just noted.
- To avoid these conflicts, **an adaptation technique called *component wrapping*** [Bro96] is sometimes used.
  - *White-box wrapping*
  - *Gray-box wrapping*
  - *Black-box wrapping*

# Component Adaptation

---

- *White-box wrapping* is applied when a **software team has full access to the internal design** and code for a component (often not the case unless open-source COTS components are used),
  - white-box wrapping examines the internal processing details of the component and makes code-level modifications to remove any conflict.
- *Gray-box wrapping* is applied when the component library provides a component extension language or API that enables conflicts to be removed or masked.
- *Black-box wrapping* requires **the introduction of pre- and postprocessing** at the component interface to remove or mask conflicts.

# Component Composition.

---

- The component composition task assembles **qualified, adapted, and engineered components** to populate the architecture established for an application.
- To accomplish this, **an infrastructure must be established to bind the components into an operational system.**
- The infrastructure (usually a library of specialized components) provides a model for the coordination of components and specific services that enable components to coordinate with one another and perform common tasks.



# Standards for Component Software

---

- **OMG/CORBA.** The Object Management Group has published a *common object request broker architecture* (OMG/CORBA).
- **Microsoft COM and .NET.** Microsoft has developed a *component object model* (COM) that provides a specification for using components produced by various vendors within a single application running under the Windows operating system.
- **Sun JavaBeans Components.** The JavaBeans component system is a portable, platform-independent CBSE infrastructure developed using the Java programming language.

# Architectural Mismatch

---

- One of the challenges facing widespread reuse is **architectural mismatch**
- The designer of reusable components **often make implicit assumptions about the environment** to which the component is coupled
- These assumptions often focus on the component control model, the nature of the component connections (interfaces), the architectural infrastructure itself, and the nature of the construction process
- If these assumptions are incorrect, architectural mismatch occurs

# Architectural Mismatch

---

- **All the design concepts contribute to the creation of software components that are reusable and prevent architectural mismatch**
  - Abstraction, hiding, functional independence, refinement, and structured programming, along with object-oriented methods, testing, software quality assurance (SQA), and correctness verification methods),

# Architectural Mismatch

---

- Early detection of architectural mismatch can occur if stakeholder assumptions are explicitly documented
- The use of a risk-driven process model emphasizes the definition of early architectural prototypes and points to areas of mismatch
- **Repairing architectural mismatch is often very difficult** without making use of mechanisms like wrappers or adapters
- **Sometimes, it is necessary to completely redesign a component interface or the component itself** to remove coupling issues

# Analysis and Design for Reuse

---

- Elements of the requirements model are compared to descriptions of reusable components in a process that is sometimes referred to as “**specification matching**”
- If specification matching points to an existing component that fits the needs of the current application, you can **extract the component from a reuse library (repository) and use it in the design of a new system.**
- If components cannot be found (i.e., there is no match), a new component is created.
- It is at this point—when you begin to create a new component—that *design for reuse* (DFR) should be considered.

# Analysis and Design for Reuse

---

- DFR requires that you apply solid software design concepts and principles. But the characteristics of the application domain must also be considered.
- Binder [Bin93] suggests a number of key issues that form a basis for design for reuse:
  - **Standard data.** If the application domain has standard global data structures, the component should be designed to make use of these standard data structures
  - **Standard interface protocols** within an application domain should be adopted,
  - An architectural style that is appropriate for the domain can serve as a **template** for the architectural design of new software

# Classifying and Retrieving Components

---

- Consider a large university library. Hundreds of thousands of books, periodicals, and other information resources are available for use.
- But to access these resources, a categorization scheme must be developed. To navigate this large volume of information, librarians have defined a classification scheme that includes a Library of Congress classification code, keywords, author names, and other index entries.
- All enable the user to find the needed resource quickly and easily.

# Classifying and Retrieving Components

---

- A reusable software component can be described in many ways, but an ideal description encompasses what Tracz [Tra95] has called the *3C model*—concept, content, and context,
- 3C model: A description of what the component accomplishes, how this is achieved with content that may be hidden from causal users and need be known only to those who intend to modify or test the component, and where the component resides within its domain of applicability



# Classifying and Retrieving Components

---

- To be of use in a pragmatic setting, concept, content, and context must be translated into a concrete specification scheme.
- Dozens of papers and articles have been written about classification schemes for reusable software components
- Classification enables you to find and retrieve candidate reusable components, but a reuse environment must exist to integrate these components effectively.

# Classifying and Retrieving Components

---

- The characteristics of a reuse environment
  - A component database capable of storing software components and the classification information necessary to retrieve them.
  - A library management system that provides access to the database.
  - A software component retrieval system (e.g., an object request broker) that enables a client application to retrieve components and services from the library server.
  - CBSE tools that support the integration of reused components into a new design or implementation.
- Each of these functions interact with or is embodied within the confines of a **reuse library**, one element of a larger software repository

# Summary

---

- The component-level design process
  - Encompasses a sequence of activities that slowly reduces the level of abstraction with which software is represented.
  - Ultimately depicts the software at a level of abstraction that is close to code.
- Three different views of component-level design may be taken, depending on the nature of the software to be developed.
  - The object-oriented view focuses on the elaboration of design classes that come from both the problem and infrastructure domain.
  - The traditional view refines three different types of components or modules: control modules, problem domain modules, and infrastructure modules.

# Summary

---

- A number of important principles and concepts guide the designer as classes are elaborated.
- To conduct component-level design in this context, classes are elaborated by specifying messaging details, identifying appropriate interfaces, laborating attributes and defining data structures to implement them, describing processing flow within each operation, and representing behavior at a class or component level.
- In every case, design iteration (refactoring) is an essential activity.

# Summary

---

- Structured programming is a procedural design philosophy that constrains the number and type of logical constructs used to represent algorithmic detail.
- The intent of structured programming is to assist the designer in defining algorithms that are less complex and therefore easier to read, test, and maintain.
- Component-based software engineering identifies, constructs, catalogs, and disseminates a set of software components in a particular application domain. These components are then qualified, adapted, and integrated for use in a new system.