

Applying Completely-Arbitrary Passage for Pseudo-Relevance Feedback in Language Modeling Approach

<http://kle.postech.ac.kr>

January 16, 2008

Seung-Hoon Na, In-Su Kang, Ye-Ha Lee, Jong-Hyeok Lee

**Knowledge and Language Engineering Lab.
Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
Pohang University of Science and Technology, KOREA**



Necessity of Passage-Level Feedback

❖ **Traditional Pseudo Relevance Feedback**

◆ Document-level feedback

- Assumes that the entire content of a feedback document are relevant to the query

◆ Problem

- Normal documents are topically diverse so that they may contain non-relevant parts as well as relevant parts to a given query
- Likely to use non-relevant expansion terms from relevant documents
- Problem becomes more serious for pseudo-relevance feedback

❖ **Passage-level feedback**

- ◆ Restricting the context for selecting expansion terms to a **query-relevant passage**, rather than the entire content

Previous Passage-Level Feedbacks

❖ Allan's Approach [Allan, SIGIR '95]

- ◆ Use fixed-length window passage for finding the best relevant passage of top-retrieved document
- ◆ Fixed-length window passage is defined as a window of W *contiguous words* where W is prefixed regardless of documents
- ◆ Result was good, however
 - ... Lengths of relevant parts can be various in documents
 - Pre-fixing length maybe bad to optimize the retrieval performance


❖ Our Approach

- ◆ Use completely-arbitrary passage for finding the best passage
 - More variable than Kaszkiel's variable-length arbitrary passage

Fixed-Length Window Passage [Callan, SIGIR' 94]

❖ Fixed-Length Window Passage

- ◆ Break documents into half-overlapping window
- ◆ Each window becomes passage
- ◆ Passage's length is fixed to W




Completely-Arbitrary Passage [Na, AIRS' 08]

❖ Completely-Arbitrary Passage


- ◆ No any restrictions on lengths and positions
- ◆ Unit passage length becomes variable
 - C.f.) variable-length arbitrary passage: fixed unit passage length
- ◆ Definition of passage
 - Any possible subsequent words in a document
 - e.g.) Even single-term passage can be possible
 - consisting of only single term



document



L: # terms in document
 $2^L - 1$: Total # of passage



Baseline


Tao's

Kaszkiel's

Ours

← *Window passage*

← *Complete-Arbitrary Pas*



Baseline

Tao's

Kaszkiel's

Ours


← *Window passage*

← *Complete-Arbitrary Passage*

Proposal: Two-State Passage-level Feedback

Using Completely-arbitrary passage for Passage-level feedback


1) Finding Best Completely-Arbitrary Passage



Feedback doc




Best relevant passage




2) Expanding Context of the Best Passage

Adding sufficient content for selecting extension terms

Context Expanding



Expanded passage



Using for Pseudo Relevance Feedback

Efficiency Issue: Finding the Best Completely-Arbitrary Passage

❖ Finding the Best Passage

- ◆ Passage-level evidence: $\text{Max}_{P \in \text{Passg}(D)} \text{score}(Q, P)$
 - Passg(D): The set of passages in document D

❖ Problem

- ◆ # completely-arbitrary passages: exponentially increasing function over document's length
 - #CAP(L): $2^L - 1$ (L: document's length)
 - ➔ Direct comparison of all passages is intractable !



We use **Cover-set ranking**, instead of direct ranking

Finding Best Passage: Cover-Set Ranking (1)

❖ Definition of Cover

- ◆ Cover: Special passage such that all terms at boundary passage are query terms

■ ■ : Query terms



Finding Best Passage: Cover-Set Ranking (2)

❖ Theorem

- ◆ Let $\text{score}(Q,P)$ be length-normalized function
 - Length-normalized function: Okapi's BM25, Pivoted vector space model, language modeling approaches (two popular smoothing)
- ◆ Then, ***"The best relevant passage among completely-arbitrary passages is always cover"***


❖ Finding the Best Passage = Cover Set Ranking

- ➔ Rank only covers, not all completely-arbitrary passages
- ➔ # covers: $2^{c(Q,D)} - 1$
 - ➔ Much less-than $2^{\text{Len}(D)} - 1$
- ➔ Thus, time complexity can be significantly reduced

Passage Extension (1)

❖ Goal

- ◆ Extend the best passage into a **maximally relevant passage (MRP)**



❖ Our Principle: Center-oriented extension

- ◆ “Best passage is located at a center-position of a final MRP and then enlarges it towards forward and backward directions by the same length” : To reduce checking

Passage Extension (2)

❖ Problem

- ◆ Automatic Determination of L for Each Document



Which one is maximally relevant passage ?

Passage Extension (3)

$$P_{ext} = \arg \max_{P \in E(P_{best})} Sim(P, F)$$

P_{best} : the best passage of document

- ◆ Sim(P,F): Criterion for Determining MRP
 - Similarity b/w candidate passage P & feedback documents (F)
 - Dependent to retrieval model

❖ $E(P_{best})$: a set of candidate passages

- ◆ $e(P_{best}, L)$: the expanded passage obtained after applying our center-oriented expansion strategy with the expansion length parameter L

$$E(P_{best}) = \left\{ e(P_{best}, n\Delta L) \mid W_{min} \leq n\Delta L, 1 \leq n \right\}$$

- W_{min} : 100 (To obtain longer MRP even when starting from this extremely short best passage)

Language Modeling Setting for Two-Stage Passage-Level Relevance Feedback

❖ $\text{score}(Q, P)$

- ◆ Query likelihood from passage language models

$$\text{score}(Q, P) = \sum_w P(w | \theta_Q) \log \left(\frac{(1 - \lambda) P(w | \hat{\theta}_P)}{\lambda P(w | \theta_C)} + 1.0 \right)$$

❖ $\text{sim}(P, F)$

- ◆ θ_F : Feedback language model, θ_C : Collection language model
- ◆ *Log-likelihood ratio* between generation probabilities of passage P from θ_F and θ_C

$$\text{sim}(P, F) = \sum_w P(w | \hat{\theta}_P) \log \left(\frac{P(w | \theta_F)}{P(w | \theta_C)} \right)$$

❖ Feedback method: Zhai's model-based feedback

Experimental Setting

❖ Test Collection: TREC English Test Collections


<i>Coll.</i>	<i>Docs</i>	<i>AvgLen</i>	<i># Terms</i>	<i># Topics</i>
TREC4-AP	158,240	156.61	268,232	49
TREC7	528,155	154.99	970,977	50
TREC8				50
WT2G	247,491	254.99	2,585,383	50

❖ Baseline (no feedback)


- ◆ Language modeling approach using Dirichlet smoothing

❖ Baseline document-level feedback

- ◆ Zhai's model-based feedback



- Baseline
- DocFeed
- Allan's (W = 150)
- Ours ($\Delta L=25$)



- Baseline
- DocFeed
- Allan's (W = 150)
- Ours ($\Delta L=25$)

Collection	R	Baseline	Document-level Feedback	Fixed-window passage	Completely-Arbitrary Passage
TREC4-AP	5	0.2560	0.2989	0.3036	0.3063
	10		0.2848	0.2828	0.2915 ↑
	20		0.2910	0.2981↑	0.3051
	30		0.2874	0.2978	0.3025
	50		0.2775	0.2889	0.2902 ¶

Collection	R	Baseline	Document-level Feedback	Fixed-window passage	Completely-Arbitrary Passage
WT2G	5	0.3101	0.3398	0.3508	0.3473
	10		0.3488	0.3604↑	0.3622 ¶
	20		0.3354	0.3548↑	0.3585 ¶
	30		0.3269	0.3448	0.3517 ¶
	50		0.3205	0.3210	0.3318 ¶

↑: Significant improve. (at 95%), ¶: Significant improve. (at 99%)
over Document-level feedback

Conclusion

❖ Proposal Idea: Two-Stage Passage Level Feedback

- ◆ Applying completely-arbitrary passage to passage-level feedback
 - Extremely increase degree of query-dependence, by allowing all subsequence words as candidate passage

❖ Experimental Result

- ◆ More effective than previous state-of-art (Allan's fixed-length passage)

❖ Future Work

- ◆ Iterative scheme for passage extension & estimating feedback model
 - *Feedback documents* → $\theta_f^{(1)}$ → MRP extraction → Refine $\theta_f^{(2)}$ → MRP extraction → Refine $\theta_f^{(3)}$...